## (I) CALL TO ORDER

(II) OPEN FORUM
(III) APPOINTMENTS
(1) Appointment with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) Chairman to receive the Architectural Review Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.

## (IV) CONSENT AGENDA

(2) Approval of Minutes for the December 29, 2020 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

## (V) PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

(3) Z2020-055 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1 , Block A, TCB Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, addressed as 505 N . Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.
(4) Z2020-056 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC on behalf of Jen-Liang Wu of Unison Investment, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change from a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 121.16 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the J. M. Gass Survey, Abstract No. 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned SingleFamily 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District, generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and FM-552, and take any action necessary.
(5) Z2020-057 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development on behalf of GotRocks Properties, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change superseding Specific Use Permit No. 57 (S-57; Ordinance No. 08-39) and changing the zoning from a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for General Retail (GR) District land uses on a 2.96 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A North Lake Shore Daycare Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses and Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Road, and take any action necessary.
(6) Z2020-058 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David LeCour for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory structure on a 0.50 -acre parcel of land identified as Block 107 of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 507 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.
(7) Z2020-060 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Matthew Deyermond of TC Planning and Design Group on behalf of the owners Donald Wallace for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District on a 8.17 -acre portion of a larger 123.00 -acre tract of land identified as Tract $44-01$ and all of Tracts $45-02 \& 45-07$ of the W. W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District, generally located on the north side of H . Wallace Lane north of the intersection of H . Wallace Lane and Horizon Road [FM-3097], and take any action necessary.
(8) SP2020-032 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Phil Craddock, AIA of Craddock Architecture on behalf of Brad Helmer of Heritage Christian Academy for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Gymnasium and Classrooms in conjunction with an existing private school on a 6.64-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Heritage Christian Academy, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District, addressed as 1408 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.
(9) SP2020-033 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on behalf of Andrew Malzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Gas Canopy in conjunction with an existing general retail store with gasoline sales on a 8.240 -acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

## DISCUSSION ITEMS

(10) Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2020-051: Final Plat for Lot 1, Block A, SDI Rockwall Addition (APPROVED)
- P2020-053: Replat for Lot 1, Block I, Lake Rockwall Estates East Addition (APPROVED)
- Z2020-041: Text Amendment to Subsection 06.15, Lake Ray Hubbard Takeline Overlay (TL OV) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the UDC (APPROVED; $2^{\text {nd }}$ READING)
- Z2020-048: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 701 T. L. Townsend Drive (APPROVED; $2^{\text {nd }}$ READING)
- Z2020-049: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 304 E. Bourn Street (APPROVED; 2nd READING)
- Z2020-050: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 501 S. Clark Street (APPROVED; $2^{\text {nd }}$ READING)
- Z2020-051: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 38 Shadydale Lane (APPROVED; $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ READING)
- Z2020-052: Zoning Change (AG to C) for 5651 SH-276 (APPROVED; 2nd READING)
- Z2020-053: Zoning Change (LI to PD) for 1700 Justin Road (APPROVED; 2nd READING)


## (VIII) ADJOURNMENT

The City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time to discuss any matters listed on the agenda above, as authorized by Texas Government Code $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with City Attorney).

This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. Request for accommodations or interpretive services must be made 48 hours prior to this meeting. Please contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 772-6406 for further information.

I, Angelica Gamez, Planning and Zoning Coordinator for the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby certify that this Agenda was posted at City Hall, in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times, on January 8, 2021 at 5:00 PM, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.
I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Chodun called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM. Commissioners present were Mark Moeller, Jean Conway, Sedric Thomas, and Vice-Chairman Jerry Welch. Absent from the meeting were Commissioners Derek Deckard and John Womble. Staff members present were Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller, Civil Engineers Sarah Johnston and Jeremy White, and City Engineer Amy Williams. Absent from the meeting were Planning and Zoning Manager David Gonzales, Planner Henry Lee, and Planning and Zoning Coordinator Angelica Gamez.
II. OPEN FORUM

Chairman Chodun explained how open forum is conducted and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one coming forward, Chairman Chodun closed the open forum.
III. APPOINTMENTS

Appointment with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) Chairman to receive the Architectural Review Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.

A representative from the Architectural Review Board gave a brief explanation concerning the agenda items that were discussed at the Architectural Review Board meeting.
IV. CONSENT AGENDA

1. Approval of Minutes for the December 8, 2020 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.
2. P2020-051 (HENRY LEE)

Consider a request by Bryan Connally of CBG Surveying, TX LLC on behalf of SDI S. Rockwall, LLC for the approval of a Final Plat for Lot 1, Block A, SDI Rockwall Addition being a 0.92-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Mr. M Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 9 (PD-9) for General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the Scenic Overlay (SOV) District, addressed as 2901 Ridge Road, and take any action necessary.

## 3. P2020-053 (HENRY LEE)

Consider a request by J. W. Jones on behalf of Lupe Guardiola for the approval of a Replat for Lot 1, Block I, Lake Rockwall Estates East Addition being a 0.22 -acre tract of land identified as Lot 1051 and a portion of Lot 1050 of the Rockwall Lake Estates \#2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses, addressed as 247 Chris Drive, and take any action necessary.

Vice-Chairman Welch made a motion to approve the consent agenda. Commissioner Thomas seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0 with Commissioners Deckard and Womble absent.
V. ACTION ITEMS

## 4. MIS2020-018 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Tim Lyssy of Rockwall Independent School District (RISD) for the approval of a Miscellaneous Case for a variance to the General Overlay District Requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) to allow the construction of a building on a 27.446 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Rockwall - CCA Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY OV) District, addressed as 2301 John King Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting the approval of a variance for a commercial building on the property. In this case, it falls within an Overlay District which requires it to have a minimum masonry percentage. The applicant is proposing to put the structure in the location in the rear and will be screened on all sides. It will be a Tuff Shed building clad in hardie panel. Due to this being a variance, it does require a $a / 4$ majority vote of the Commissioners present. Mr. Miller then advised the Commission that a representative from the Rockwall Independent School District (RISD) and Staff were present and available for questions.

The representative came forward and provided additional details regarding the request. He stated that the RISD is always seeking and needing additional storage space.

Chairman Chodun asked if it was a fixed building. The applicant replied that it would be.

Vice-Chairman Welch asked if there were similar buildings at other campuses. He then asked Mr. Miller what the difference was between what the applicant was asking and past requests for portable buildings.

Commissioner Moeller made a motion to approve MIS2020-018 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Thomas seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0.

## 5. SP2020-034 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Drew Hayes of METHODarchitecture, PLLC on behalf of the owner Mary Courtin of Courtin Dental for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a daycare facility in conjunction with a medial office on a 1.743 -acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 9, Block A, Flagstone Corners Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 54 (PD-54) for Commercial (C) District land uses, situated at the southeast corner of the intersection of E. Ralph Hall Parkway and Mims Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regards to the request. He reminded the Commission that they had previously approved a site plan for this facility and for a medical office at the last meeting. As part of that, there were elevations for the daycare facility that involved variances that were denied. The applicant has since come back with new elevations that were more in line with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) recommendations. The building itself does have the same variances that were originally requested last time but addressed all the things the ARB asked them to address in order to ask for those variances. They are now asking for a variance on the roof pitch but no compensatory measures are required since it was an ARB recommendation. Again, due to this being a variance request, it does require a $3 / 4$ majority vote from the Commissioners present. Commissioners will be voting tonight on two variances: one for the primary building façade and another for secondary building façade but both for articulation.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
Drew Hayes
3227 McKinney Avenue, Suite 201
Dallas, TX 75204
Mr. Hayes came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Commissioner Thomas expressed appreciation to the applicant for complying with the ARB recommendations.
Commissioner Conway made a motion to approve SP2020-034 with ARB recommendations. Commissioner Thomas seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0.

## VI. DISCUSSION ITEMS

## 6. Z2020-055 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1 , Block A, TCB Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, addressed as 505 N. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller explained that the applicant currently has an established store in the Downtown area and is looking to move to this new location. A general retail store requires a Specific Use Permit (SUP) in Planned Development (PD) area.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
Caroline Harklau
102 E. Rusk
Rockwall, TX 75087
The applicant came forward and requested approval of an SUP due to outgrowing their previous location. She explained that her store is a women's and men's apparel store. She is looking to continue expanding in the Downtown area.

Mr. Miller added that this location was previously granted an SUP for a restaurant and, at that time, they were providing enough parking. The applicant has provided Staff with a floor plan and are in compliance with the parking requirements on the site. He also added that there are no major non-conformities with this request.

Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.

## 7. Z2020-056 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC on behalf of Jen-Liang Wu of Unison Investment, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change from a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 121.16-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the J. M. Gass Survey,

Abstract No. 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District, generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and FM-552, and take any action necessary.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
Adam Buczek
8214 Westchester Drive, Suite 900
Dallas, TX 75225
Mr. Buczek came forward and provided a brief summary in regards to his request. He stated that hos past request had been denied in November by the Commission by a vote of 4-3. The request then went before the City Council and they had two conditions for the applicant which they have followed. One of those was to increase the minimum home size in the PD to 2200 square feet and the other was to make all the 70 -foot wide lots have a 6 -foot side setback. The applicant agreed to the conditions but the motion failed due to them needing super majority (vote was 5-2). Mr. Buczek stated that they have returned with revised plans including both of those conditions that garnered those 5 votes from City Council and modified the lots whereby average lot sizes are larger. Mr. Buczek then presented a PowerPoint showing the new plan design, lot sizes, and amenities.

Commissioner Thomas asked what lot size were shown on the picture renderings given.
Vice-Chairman Welch asked about the side setbacks on the 60 -foot lots.
Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller provided an explanation regarding the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan is built around the idea of having an 80/20 land use mix and as you make changes on one side-it affects the other side. Based on previous changes in other areas of the community and changing this area to low-density residential, it brings us close to that $80 / 20$ mix. However, making a change to the Plan is a discretionary decision. Mr. Miller added that there is also a misunderstanding on how the City calculates density. The City actually calculates land on the gross. With regards to the district strategies, suburban residential calls for a balance of lot products.

Chairman Chodun asked if there were any exceptions for density definition purposes for undeveloped property. He then address the applicant and explained that he wanted to make sure that the intent of the original zoning was in play.

Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.
8. Z2020-057 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development on behalf of GotRocks Properties, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change superseding Specific Use Permit No. 57 (S-57; Ordinance No. 08-39) and changing the zoning from a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for General Retail (GR) District land uses on a 2.96 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A North Lake Shore Daycare Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses and Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The developer is requesting is to come back and establish a medical office there. In order to dot that, along with the daycare, he would need to change the zoning to a General Retail (GR) District. That would either allow us to amend Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) to remove that section and make this a straight General Retail District or bring it into PD-41. Either way, the PD would have to be amended. The applicant has chosen to amend PD-41 and bring in that SF-10 portion and regenerate this property to a General Retail District. There is also a plat that is currently coming thru to subdivide the property and the Commission cannot approve that until the zoning has been approved or denied. Coming thru with that plat is a parking agreement. All three businesses (office, medical office, and daycare) will share parking. Once they turn in a parking study then it'll show that the requirement has been met and they'll be parking sufficient.

Chairman Chodun asked if the intent was to have a General Retail area all along.
Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.
9. Z2020-058 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David LeCour for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory structure on a 0.50 -acre parcel of land identified as Block 107 of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned SingleFamily 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 507 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
David LeCour
507 S. Clark Street
Rockwall, TX 75087

Mr. LeCour came forward and provided a brief summary in regards to his request. When the tornado hit, his barn was destroyed and he was looking for a way to replace it. He did not know he was not in compliance and began work without a permit. He is now coming forward and requesting approval to continue working on his barn.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller asked for the size of the structure to which the applicant replied that is was 782 square feet. Mr. LeCour added that he already has poles in the ground and would like the structure to continue being the same size.

Vice-Chairman Welch asked what the purpose of the shed was or if it was possible to have a driveway to get to the shed.
Mr. Miller added that there is an amount of floodplain in this lot. The applicant must show that this structure is not being built within the floodplain. There is also a sewer easement that traverses the property from east to west and Staff would need to see that the structure is not within that either.

Chairman Chodun asked for clarification on the nonconformance of the property.
Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.
10. Z2020-060 (DAVID GONZALES)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Matthew Deyermond of TC Planning and Design Group on behalf of the owners Donald Wallace for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District on a 8.17-acre portion of a larger 123.00-acre tract of land identified as Tract 44-01 and all of Tracts 45-02 \& 45-07 of the W. W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District, generally located on the north side of H. Wallace Lane north of the intersection of H. Wallace Lane and Horizon Road [FM3097], and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller provided a brief summary in regards to the request. He explained that the applicant had previously requested the zoning change to a SF 2.0 District and has now decided to subdivide into three lots instead of two, changing the zoning to a SF 1.5 District. This is in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan because it is low density residential.

Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.
11. P2020-052 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development on behalf of GotRocks Properties, LLC for the approval of a Replat for
Lots 2,3 \& 4, Block A, North Lake Shore Daycare Addition being a replat of a 2.96 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, North Lake Shore Daycare Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses and Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller explained that he had gone over the details of this in a previous case but it does meet all the technical requirements if the zoning is approved.

Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.
12. SP2020-032 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by Phil Craddock, AIA of Craddock Architecture on behalf of Brad Helmer of Heritage Christian Academy for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Gymnasium and Classrooms in conjunction with an existing private school on a 6.64 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Heritage Christian Academy, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District, addressed as 1408 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
Phil Craddock
828 McCall Drive
Fate, TX 75087
Mr. Craddock provided a brief summary in regards to his request. He made a presentation for the Commission showing the existing buildings and the requested renderings.

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller added that currently the property is subject to the Overlay District requirements and there are variances to the $90 \%$ primary materials which are masonry materials. The building is shy on the articulation standards but the standards are typically written to control square buildings. Another thing that ARB requested that some kind of relief be added to the back wall that has a flat expense along with planting some additional trees along Damascus to conceal that wall more. Other than that, the plan does meet all the technical criteria.

Chairman Chodun asked if the materials were an approved variance for the primary facility.
Commissioner Thomas asked if the applicant had any concerns with the recommendations made by ARB.

Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.

## 13. SP2020-033 (DAVID GONZALES)

Discuss and consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on behalf of Andrew Malzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Gas Canopy in conjunction with an existing general retail store with gasoline sales on a 8.240-acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
David Bond
765 Custer Road
Plano, TX 75075
Mr. Bond came forward and provided a brief summary in regards to his request. He explained that the business (RaceTrac) is in an existing facility that was recently remodeled this year to update the interior product offerings. This site is requesting to add a second canopy meant for the box trucks and F350s. According to the Unified Development Code (UDC), there needs to be 2 new canopies and 4 new ornamental trees in the first 20 -foot buffer. The applicant is asking for a variance to not install the landscape buffer due to the heavy vegetation that is already in place there. At the moment, there are 135 protected trees on site and they would only need to remove one of them with this request.

Vice-Chairman Welch asked if the road was still considered 276, since they have done new construction in the area.
Chairman Chodun asked if semi-trucks are allowed to use those new pumps.
Commissioner Conway asked how they would handle a semi-truck driver wanting to stay there overnight.
Chairman Chodun indicated that the case will come back to the Commission on January 12, 2021 for discussion or action.
14. Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2020-047: Preliminary Plat for the Phase 3 of the Saddle Star South Subdivision [APPROVED]
- Z2020-041: Text Amendment to Subsection 06.15, Lake Ray Hubbard Takeline Overlay (TL OV) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the UDC [APPROVED; 1st READING]
- Z2020-048: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 701 T. L. Townsend Drive [APPROVED; 1st $^{\text {st }}$ READING]
- Z2020-049: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 304 E. Bourn Street [APPRROVED; 1st READING]
- Z2020-050: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 501 S . Clark Street [APPROVED; 1 st READING]
- Z2020-051: SUP for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision at 38 Shadydale Lane [APPROVED; $1^{\text {st }}$ READING]
- Z2020-052: Zoning Change (AG to C) for 5651 SH-276 [APPROVED; $1^{\text {st }}$ READING]
- Z2020-053: Zoning Change (LI to PD) for 1700 Justin Road [APPROVED; $1^{\text {st }}$ READING]
- Z2020-054: SUP for Accessory Structure at 707 Parks Avenue [DENIED]

Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller provided a brief update about the outcome of the above referenced cases at the City Council meeting.
VII. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Chodun adjourned the meeting at 7:36 PM.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, Texas, this
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2021.

Attest:
Angelica Gamez, Planning and Zoning Coordinator

Eric Chodun, Chairman

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
January 12, 2021
Caroline Harklau; Southern Roots, LLC
Z2020-055; SUP for a General Retail Store at 505 N. Goliad Street

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, TCB Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, addressed as 505 N . Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property is a 0.23 -acre parcel of land identified as Block 20B of the Amick Addition. It is situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, and is addressed as 505 N . Goliad Street. Currently situated on the subject property is a 1,916 SF single family home, which according to the Historic Resource Survey -- performed by the City of Rockwall in 2017 -- was constructed circa 1915, and is identified as a Medium Contributing Property (i.e. a property that displays an architectural style, use unusual to construction methods, or for some other reason has a potentially significant historic significance). According to the City's historic zoning maps, the subject property has been zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential Office (RO) District land uses since August 5, 2002. The intent of the Residential-Office (RO) District is to allow for the conversion of older residential homes into low-intensity professional offices and/or retail businesses, which create a transition from the adjacent residential neighborhoods to more intense land uses or higher intensity thoroughfares. In addition, conversion of the homes in Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) would extend the economic life of these structures. With this being said, on June 3, 2019, the City Council approved a Specific Use Permit (SUP) [i.e. Ordinance No. 19-22] allowing for a restaurant less than 2,000 SF without a drive-through or drive-in to be established. Due to site constraints, the subject property was granted the ability to be classified as a limited service restaurant, which carried a parking requirement of one (1) parking space per 250 SF of building area. This equated to eight (8) parking spaces; however, after reviewing the floor plan for the restaurant only seven (7) parking spaces were required. On June 20, 2019, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) [i.e. H2019006] for the proposed parking areas and changes to the exterior of the existing building. On June 25, 2019, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a site plan [i.e. SP2019-015] for the proposed restaurant (i.e. Bonafide Betties Pie Company) on the subject property. This site plan officially converted the use of the property from residential to commercial; however, the restaurant never applied for a Certificate of Occupancy (CO). The property has remained vacant since the completion of the parking lot and changes to the exterior of the existing building.

## PURPOSE

The applicant, Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC, has submitted a request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow for a General Retail Store within Planned Development District 50 (PD-50). According to the applicant the purpose of this request is to allow for the relocation of a clothing boutique (i.e. Southern Roots) from the downtown square to the subject property.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 505 N . Goliad Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is a local boutique (i.e. Hallie B's), situated on a 0.2296 -acre parcel of land. This business is being operated under a Specific Use Permit (SUP) [Ordinance No. 20-28; S-229] allowing a General Retail Store and Hair Salon and/or Manicurist, and is zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential Office (RO) District land uses. Beyond this property is a continuation of Planned Development District 50 (PD-50).

South: $\quad$ Directly south of the subject property are two (2) parcels of vacant land (i.e. a 0.420 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Hazel and Olive Addition and a 0.2065-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 21, Block 19C, of the Amick Addition). These properties are zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential Office (RO) District land uses. Beyond this is the couplet or split dividing N. Goliad Street [SH-205] into N. Goliad Street and N. Alamo Street. This roadway is identified as a P3U (i.e. principal arterial, three [3] lane, divided roadway) -in both directions -- on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

East: Directly east of the subject property is N . Goliad Street [SH-205], which is identified as a M4U-M (i.e. modified major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. East of this is a General Retail store (i.e. The Lakeside Florist) and a single-family residential home located along N. Goliad Street. Both properties are zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential Office District (RO) land uses.

West: Directly west of the subject property are two (2) single-family homes zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is N. Alamo Street, which is classified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are properties zoned SingleFamily 10 (SF-10) District.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

Southern Roots is currently located at 102 E . Rusk Street, and is planning to relocate to a larger facility at 505 N . Goliad Street. This location is located with Planned Development District 50 (PD-50), which has an underlying zoning of Residential Office (RO) District. According to Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the Residential Office (RO) District requires a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the General Retail Store land use. The parking requirement for a General Retail Store is calculated at one (1) parking space per 250 SF of area, which would require eight (8) parking spaces [i.e. 1916 SF/250 SF = 8 Parking Spaces]; however, based on floor plan provided by the applicant, staff was able to adjust the retail square footage to only require a total of seven (7) parking spaces for the site. This is similar to how the parking was calculated for the approved site plan [Case No. SP2019-015], which involved a limited service restaurant at the same parking ratio. Staff has included a copy of the floor plan and an operational condition to the Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance requiring that the second floor only be used for storage purposes.

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

Based on the approved site plan [Case No. SP2019-015] the subject property is in conformance with all density and dimensional requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## INFRASTRUCTURE

The subject property will not require any additional infrastructure to be constructed as a result of the approval of this Specific Use Permit (SUP).

## STAFF ANALYSIS

The intent of the Residential-Office (RO) District is to allow for the conversion of older residential homes into low-intensity professional office and/or retail businesses. This creates a transition from the adjacent residential neighborhoods to more intense land uses or higher intensity thoroughfares. In addition, conversion of this home would extend the economic life of the structure. The use as a General Retail Store is consistent with other properties in the district, and the property directly north of the subject property has successfully operated a similar business since 2013. Included in the attached packet is a concept plan, floor plan, and a draft ordinance containing regulations for the proposed land use. Based on staff's review, the
applicant's request does appear to be consistent with the intent of the district; however, the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On December 22, 2020, staff mailed 92 notices to property owners and residents within 500 -feet of the subject property. There are no Home Owners Associations (HOA's) or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500-feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received five (5) notices from seven (7) properties in favor of the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) allowing a General Retail Store, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the operational conditions contained in the SUP ordinance and summarized as follows:
a) The General Retail Store shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance;
b) The operation of the General Retail Store shall be limited to the area on the first floor and shall generally conform to the Floor Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance;
c) The use of the second-floor area shall be limited to storage only. Any other use will require the approval of an amendment to this Specific Use Permit (SUP) and will require additional parking spaces to be added to the subject property;
d) Parking along, adjacent to, or in the right-of-way of N . Goliad Street [SH-205] shall be prohibited; and,
e) Parking in the front of the building (i.e. between the front façade of the building and the right-of-way of $N$. Goliad Street [SH-205]) shall be prohibited.
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. $-12020-055$

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTLL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

Platting Application Fees:
[ ] Master Plat $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Replat ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat (\$150.00)
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request (\$100.00)
Site Plan Application Fees:
[ ] Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

## Zoning Application Fees:

[ ] Zoning Change $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
W Specific Use Permit $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

Address 505 NORTH
Subdivision
General Location

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

Current Zoning
Current Use
Proposed Zoning
Proposed Use
Acreage
Lots [Current]
Lots [Proposed]
[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]
[Kowner CAROLINE HARKLAUA [ ]Applicant
contact Person CAROLINE HARKLAU Contact Person
Address
Address

City, State \& Zip ROCKWALLiTX $75087 \quad$ City, state \& Zip
Phone $972.333 \cdot 3844 \quad$ Phone
E-Mailhersouthernroots@gmail.com E-Mail
NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appearek lave harke [Owner] the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following:
"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all informgtjop submittedtherein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$ 15$ cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this th\$ day of D2Cemaer , 2020 . By signing this application, I agree that the City of Rockwall (i.e. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no
guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express
or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


BARKER PERRY H \& ELIZABETH D
104 SCENIC DR
HEATH, TX 75032

```
WAGNER GERALD P
    112 LOS PECES
GUN BARRELL, TX 75156
```

MEYERS STUART A \& BRENDA S
1614 S LAKESHORE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ODOM JAY \& ALISON 201 OLIVE ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WARDELL JAKE P AND MEREDITH K
215 GRIFFIN AVE.
ROYSE CITY, TX 75189

FERRIS BETH
301 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

REILLY KELSEY AND DAVID
302 ELM DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANGLE GLENDA ANNE 303 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> R \& S OPERATING CO LP 105 OLIVEST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

R \& S OPERATING CO LP 11508 ROYALSHIRE DR DALLAS, TX 75230

CRAWFORD STEVE 1709 GASLIGHT CT SEABROOK, TX 77586

DAVENPORT RENTAL PROPERTIES LLC 202 INTERURBAN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL RUSTIC RANCH LLC 240 WILLOWCREST ROCKWALL, TX 75032

WATCHMEN REAL ESTATE LLC 301 N GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOY LUTHERAN CHURCH
302 N GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GLASS KATHLEEN J
304 ELM DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
SCHWEIKERT FERN ELLEN
308 ELM DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SWIERCINSKY JOSHUA L
3922 MEDITERRANEAN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TEEL BRITTON \& BARBARA 10925 ROCKSTONE DR BALCH SPRINGS, TX 75180

WRIGHT JOHN M \& SUSAN L 1605 SEASCAPE CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLACK SHIRLEY M 1924 PALMETTO ISLE DR MT. PLEASANT, SC 29466

PEOPLES DOSVILLE 208 W HEATH ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BEDFORD TERRI W 301 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOY LUTHERAN CHURCH 301 N SAN JACINTO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LEAL CAROL RHEA \& ROLAND 303 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELL MARY NELL 306 ELM DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GATES CHARLES H \& BRENDA F
310 ELM DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087


J-PEG PROPERTIES LLC<br>401 N FANNIN ST<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ODOM JAY \& ALISON 405 N FANNIN STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES GERWYN AND JANE 406 N ALAMO ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TREVINO BERTHA \& LOUIS 4917 SAINT JAMES CT MESQUITE, TX 75150

MORENO ANTONIO AND SUZANNE T 402 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087


MILDER SCOTT \& LESLIE 501 CAMP CREEK RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> SMITH MARY SUE 502 W RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087


BYRUM RICKY CONN AND JO ANN 504 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

POINTER PRICE AND MANUEL LOZANO 505 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> FLEMING HALLIE B 507 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FANG PROPERTIES LLC 536 LOMA VISTA HEATH, TX 75032

LAND HEADQUARTERS COMPANY INC C/O FAIR ROAD PROPERTIES INC

404 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STATE OF TEXAS
405 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## DAVENPORT RENTAL PROPERTIES LLC <br> 474 KEYSTONE BEND HEATH, TX 75032

ROMO SEAN R AND STACEY M 501 N ALAMO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CTC TEXAN PROPERTIES LLC<br>502 N ALAMO<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ODOM JAY \& ALISON 503 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CRAWFORD STEVE 504 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAYTON ERIC A
506 N ALAMO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PATEL ASMINI BHAVIK 508 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROMO SEAN R AND STACEY M
544 LAUREL LN FATE, TX 75087

HALL DOUGLAS A \& MARCI 601 N FANNIN ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TREVINO BERTHA \& LOUIS
601 N ALAMO
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMILTON JOANN 603 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CRISWELL BARBARA

 604 N GOLIADROCKWALL, TX 75087


BARKER PERRY H \& ELIZABETH D
703 N ALAMO RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WAGNER GERALD P 705 N GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## WARDELL JAKE P AND MEREDITH K 708 N ALAMO <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHRISTENSEN VALERIE
801 N GOLIAD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KUCERA TIMOTHY M
803 N ALAMO RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

POINTER PRICE AND
MANUEL LOZANO
906 N GOLIAD STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CARDENAS CECILIO \& CARMEN 602 N ALAMO ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WRIGHT JOHN M \& SUSAN L
603 N GOLIAD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RNDI COMPANIES INC 605 N ALAMO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

IRBY DENNIS 703 N GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## J-PEG PROPERTIES LLC

 704 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087TEEL BRITTON \& BARBARA
706 N ALAMO
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SWIERCINSKY JOSHUA L
710 N ALAMO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GUEVARA CARLOS \& MONICA A 802 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MILDER SCOTT \& LESLIE 803 N GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONSELMAN EQUITIES LLC
PO BOX 2284
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAND HEADQUARTERS COMPANY INC C/O FAIR ROAD PROPERTIES INC PO BOX 69 KEY BISCAYNE, FL 33149

LAYTON ERIC A
PO BOX 998 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, TCB Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, addressed as 505 N. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at $6: 00$ PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## David Gonzales

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,


Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning

## MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## ー - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square$ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

> PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWELL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, TCB Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, addressed as 505 N. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

David Gonzales<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

## Ryan Miller, AICP

Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - . - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store

Please-place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square 1 \mathrm{am}$ in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { THIS AREA/ NEIGHBORHOOD IS SUITABLE FOX } \\
& \text { THAIS USE. NO REASON TO NOT ALLOW THY TO APEX. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Name: GDANIO SIMITH
Address: TO2 N. GOLIAL
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Caroline Harklau of Souther Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, TCB Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, addressed as 505 N. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.
For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12. 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at $6: 00$ PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.
As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

David Gonzales<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.
Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

## Ryan Miller, AICP



Director of Planning \& Zoning

## MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## ... PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square 1$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
Great use for this property. Ruckurll should in stall sidewalks on the east side of N. Goling all/ the way to the Thoms Thumb shopping Center + let $N$ solid develop Organically as full retail. Rocknall reeds to expand the mon-residenticl tax base.
Name:
Address:


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either. (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
We have property at 401, 405,503

Name:lind Agatha
Address: 8709 Dalrock Rd. Rowlett Tx.
Tex. Loo. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, TCB Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, addressed as 505 N. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

David Gonzales<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,


Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2020-055: Specific Use Permit for a General Retail Store

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
lam in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square 1$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
SO EXCITED ML BRING SO MUCH TO
THE COMMUNITY: EXTEND THE FUN,


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

## Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form

Case Number *
Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).

Z2020-055

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *
$\checkmark$ I am in favor of the request.
$\square$ I am in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request. Support

## Respondent Information

Please provide your information.

First Name *
Rockwall

Last Name *
Rustic Ranch, LLC

## Address *

```
406 N Goliad
```

City *
Rockwall

State *

TX

## Zip Code *

75087

## Email Address * <br> capricemichelle@gmail.com

Phone Number
214-789-7364

## Please check all that apply: *

$\checkmark$ I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\checkmark$ I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\checkmark$ I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\checkmark$ I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.Other:

How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *I received a property owner notification in the mail.I read about the request on the City's website.I saw a zoning sign on the property.I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.My neighbors told me about the request.Other:

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.


As the owner of Southern Roots during these unprecedented times, it is with great pride I can confirm our success during the year of 2020 . We have not only seen growth but have been able to hire more employees and are looking to expand our growing business by moving to a new location. During this pandemic we have focused our efforts on social media marketing and our website. We opened our doors in 2014 in Rockwall and have seen continual growth and support from our community. Southern Roots prides itself on carrying exclusive brands that are not available outside of this area within 30 miles. We have developed a loyal customer base that chooses now more than ever to support local businesses. I am thrilled to see where the next chapter of my business is headed with the growth and community support we have seen during Covid-19 as well as the last 7 years in our Downtown Rockwall location. Now is the perfect time to move to a new building of our own and continue growing and supporting the Rockwall community.

My plan for the new location will create a specialized shopping environment that gives our customers more space to shop as well as parking specifically available to Southern Roots. I am so excited to create a bright and fun retail environment that customers will continue to enjoy and have the same but "upgraded" shopping experience. Southern Roots has grown so much in the last few years and we are in need of a larger back office space where we can receive merchandise and store extra inventory. Our ecommerce division has also grown and we will operate our website business including shipping procedures from our new location as well. Our social media and marketing team will also occupy the back office space. We will continue to focus on community and growth. We hope to hire more local students and create more jobs within our town. What an amazing time to grow in Rockwall. As a graduate from Rockwall High School it is an honor to see how my hometown has flourished. It is an honor to be a part of this community and to continue to expand my growing business in Rockwall Texas.

Thank you for your time and consideration.


Caroline Harklau


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-XXX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 50 (PD-50) AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) TO ALLOW FOR A GENERAL RETAIL STORE ON A 0.23-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, ZONED PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 50 (PD-50) FOR RESIDENTIAL OFFICE (RO) DISTRICT LAND USES, AND BEING IDENTIFIED AS BLOCK 20B OF THE AMICK ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OR FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, a request has been made by Caroline Harklau of Southern Roots, LLC for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a General Retail Store on a 0.23 -acre parcel of land, zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential Office (RO) District land uses, situated within the North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, and being identified as Block 20B of the Amick Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, addressed as 505 N. Goliad Street, and being more specifically depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) [Ordinance No. 17-19] and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) [Ordinance No. 17-19] and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) allowing for the establishment of a General Retail Store as stipulated by Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used and developed only in the manner and for
the purposes described in this Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance and as specifically set forth in Planned Development District 50 (PD-50), and Subsection 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Subsection 04.02, Residential Office (RO) District, of Section 04, Commercial Districts, of Article 05, District Development Standards, and Subsection 06.04, North Goliad Corridor Overlay (NGC OV) District, of Section 06, Overlay Districts, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by the granting of this zoning change, and as my be amended in the future, and shall be subject to the following additional conditions:

### 2.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the operation of a General Retail Store land use on the Subject Property and conformance to these requirements is necessary for continued operations:

1) The General Retail Store shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance;
2) The operation of the General Retail Store shall be limited to the area on the first floor and shall generally conform to the Floor Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance;
3) The use of the second-floor area shall be limited to storage only. Any other use will require the approval of an amendment to this Specific Use Permit (SUP) and will require additional parking spaces to be added to the subject property;
4) Parking along, adjacent to, or in the right-of-way of N. Goliad Street [SH-205] shall be prohibited; and,
5) Parking in the front of the building (i.e. between the front façade of the building and the right-of-way of N. Goliad Street [SH-205]) shall be prohibited.

### 2.2 COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits, of Article 11, Development and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require compliance to the following:

1) Upon obtaining a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), should any business or establishment operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance
shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $1^{\text {ST }}$ DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021.

ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: January 19, 2021
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: February 1, 2021

Exhibit 'A'
Legal Description and Location Map
Legal Description: Block 20B, Amick Addition
Address: 505 N. Goliad Street




TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
January 12, 2021
Ryan Joyce; Michael Joyce Properties, LLC
Z2020-056; Zoning Change (NS \& SF-16 to PD) for Nelson Lake Estates

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC on behalf of Jen-Liang Wu of Unison Investment, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change form a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 121.16-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the J. M. Gass Survey, Abstract No. 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned SingleFamily 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District, generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and FM-552, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed by the City Council on August 30, 1999 by Ordinance No. 99-33. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On April 4, 2005, the City Council approved Ordinance No. 05-16 [Case No. Z2005-007] changing the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Neighborhood Services (NS) District and a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District. The concept plan included with Ordinance No. 05-16 showed that the subject property would include 104.8 -acres of land zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District with the remainder of the subject property (i.e. 16.36-acres) being designated for Neighborhood Service (NS) District land uses. The residential portion of the concept plan also showed the provision of 106 single-family residential lots, and that $\sim 56.00$-acres of the 104.8 -acres designated for residential land uses would be dedicated for open space. The overall proposed density of this development was 1.01 dwelling units per acre. Despite this plan being adopted by the City Council, the subject property has remained vacant since its annexation into the City. Staff has provided a copy of the case memo and minutes from the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council meetings for this case in the attached packet.

On October 16, 2020, the applicant -- Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from a Neighborhood Services (NS) District and Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Specifically, the applicant was proposing to entitle the subject property for a 264-lot single-family, residential subdivision that would incorporate lots that were $60^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ (i.e. a minimum of $7,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ) and 70 x 120 ( (i.e. a minimum of $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ ). This request went before the Planning and Zoning Commission on November 10, 2020, and a motion to recommend denial of the case was approved by a vote of $4-3$, with Commissioners Womble, Deckard, and Welch dissenting. Following this action -- on November 16, 2020 --, the City Council failed to approve a motion adopting the zoning change by a supermajority vote. The motion to approve failed by a vote of 5-2, with Councilmembers Campbell and Macalik dissenting. Since the motion to approve failed and no subsequent motion was made, the failure was considered to be a denial with prejudice.

In conformance with Subsection 02.05(C), Reapplication, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) the applicant submitted a written request outlining changes to the lot mix, setbacks, and minimum area/dwelling unit square footages. In accordance with the procedures of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the Director of Planning and Zoning forwarded the request to the Planning and Zoning Commission for consideration, and on December 8, 2020 the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a motion to allow the applicant to resubmit an application by a vote of 6-1, with Commissioner Welch dissenting.

## PURPOSE

On December 18, 2020, the applicant -- Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC -- resubmitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from a Neighborhood Services (NS) District and Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Specifically, the applicant is proposing to entitle the subject property for a 260 -lot single-family, residential subdivision that will incorporate lots that are $60^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ (i.e. a minimum of 7,000 SF), $70^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}\left(\right.$ i.e. a minimum of 8,400 SF), and $72^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ (i.e. a minimum of 8,600 SF).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at southeast corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and FM-552. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is FM-552, which is identified as a TXDOT4D (i.e. Texas Department of Transportation, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this thoroughfare is a 47.31 -acre portion of a larger 56.31 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 3 of the M. Simmons Survey, Abstract No. 194), which is zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Currently situated on this property are two (2) agricultural accessory structures. Beyond this property is the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall.

South: Directly south of the subject property is North Country Lane, which is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this thoroughfare are two (2) tracts of land (i.e. Tract 14 of the J. M. Gass Survey), which are zoned Agricultural (AG) District. The 48.267-acre tract of land is owned by the City of Rockwall, is currently vacant (with the exception of the North Country Lane Water Tower), and is the future site for the Alma Williams Park. The other tract of land is a 101.43-acre tract of land that currently has a 660 SF single-family home and multiple agricultural accessory structures situated on it.

East: Directly east of the subject property are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall. Beyond this are residential properties that are situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ).

West: $\quad$ Directly west of the subject property is an Elementary School (i.e. Celia Hays Elementary School) on a 11.036-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 5, Block C, Dalton Ranch, Phase 2 Addition) that is owned by the Rockwall Independent School District (RISD). Also, adjacent to the subject property is Phase 1 of the Dalton Ranch Subdivision, which consists of 151 single-family residential lots on 62.33 -acres. This subdivision is zoned Planned Development District 58 (PD-58) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. Beyond this is the Stoney Hollow Subdivision, which consists of 96 single-family residential lots on 41.88 -acres. This subdivision is zoned Single-Family 16 (SF16) District.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted a concept plan and development standards for the proposed residential subdivision. The concept plan shows that the 121.16-acre subject property will consist of 260 single-family residential lots that will be broken down into three (3) lot types (i.e. 60' x 120', $70^{\prime} \times 120$, and $72^{\prime} \times 120$ '). More specifically, the development will incorporate $134,60^{\prime}$ x 120 ' (i.e. a minimum of $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ ) lots; $68,70^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ (i.e. a minimum of $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ ) lots; and 58,72 x 120 ' (i.e. a minimum of $8,600 \mathrm{SF}$ ) lots. This would translate to a density of 2.15 dwelling units per acre for the total development. The minimum dwelling unit size (i.e. air-condition space) will be $2,200 \mathrm{SF}$. According to the applicant, the proposed housing product will be similar to the product that was constructed in Phases IIA \& IIB of the Breezy Hill Subdivision (i.e. the Type ' $A$ ', 60 ' x 120 ' and Type ' $B$ ', 70 ' x 120 ' lot products from Planned Development District 74 [PD-74] [see example


FIGURE 1: EXAMPLE HOUSING PRODUCT FROM BREEZY HILL, PHASE IIA
in Figure 1]; however, in addition to the J-Swing or Traditional Swing driveway configuration the applicant will be requesting $35 \%$ of the homes (i.e. $40 \%$ of the Type ' $A$ ' Lots and $30 \%$ of the Type ' $B$ ' \& 'C' Lots -- as identified in Table 1: Lot Composition below) be allowed to be constructed with Flat Front Entry garages. The proposed housing product will incorporate a minimum masonry requirement of $90 \%$ (with a minimum of $85 \%$ on each façade), and be subject to the upgraded anti-monotony requirements that were adopted by the City Council in September of 2019. Staff should to point out that this is significant because after the approval of HB2439 (i.e. the building materials bill which prohibited City's from regulating building materials), the current SingleFamily 16 (SF-16) District does not have any material requirements (i.e. the buildings could be built out of any materials allowed by the International Building Code /IBCD; however, by the applicant consenting to the material requirements through the Planned Development District, the City could then hold the applicant to the $90 \%$ minimum masonry requirement. The proposed Planned Development District will also be subject to the land uses and requirements stipulated for the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District unless specifically called out in the Planned Development District ordinance. The following is a summary of the lot composition and density and dimensional standards contained in the proposed Planned Development District ordinance:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

| Lot Type | Minimum Lot Size (FT) | Minimum Lot Size (SF) | Dwelling Units (\#) | Dwelling Units (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $60^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | 7,000 SF | 134 | $51.54 \%$ |
| B | $70^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | 8,400 SF | 68 | $26.15 \%$ |
| C | $72^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | 8,600 SF | 58 | $22.31 \%$ |
| Maximum Permitted Units: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 260 | $100.00 \%$ |  |

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | A | B | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | 70' | 72 |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $120{ }^{\prime}$ | $120^{\prime}$ | $120{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Area | 7,000 SF | 8,400 SF | 8,600 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback (2), (5) \& (6) | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 5 | 6 ' | 6 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2) \&(5)}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Height (3) | $36^{\prime}$ | $36^{\prime}$ | $36^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback ${ }^{(4)}$ | $10^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% |

## General Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2: The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
4: The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
5: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not exceed five (5) feet on Side Yard Setbacks. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6: Flat front entry garage configurations are permitted on up to $35 \%$ (i.e. a maximum of 91 lots) of the total number of lots provided that: [1] no more than $45 \%$ (i.e. a maximum of 60 lots) of the lots for Lot Type ' $A$ ' have a flat front entry garage, [2] no more than $25 \%$ (i.e. a maximum of 31 lots) of the combined total of the Lot Type ' $B$ ' and Lot Type ' $C$ ' may have a flat front entry garage, and [3] the front yard building setback for all lots with a flat front entry garage is increased to a minimum of 25 -feet.

With regard to the proposed amenities, the concept plan provided by the applicant shows that the proposed development will provide [1] ~50.34-acres of open space (17.62-acres will be outside of the floodplain -- after reclamation -- and the development will be credited with 33.98 -acres of open space [i.e. 32.72-acres $x 1 / 2=16.36$-acres +17.62 -acres $=33.98$-acres]), [2] a one (1)
acre amenity center, and [3] a trail system. The open space required for this development is 24.232 -acres, and the applicant is exceeding this by 9.748 -acres (or $8.04 \%$ ). The proposed trail system will be constructed along the edge of the floodplain running north and south, and utilize the required sidewalks along FM-552 and FM-1141 to create a loop through the development. In addition, pedestrian paths connecting the north side of the development to the amenities center via a trail will also be incorporated.

## INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's concept plan and the proposed density, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the proposed development:
(1) Roadways. The applicant shall verify the right-of-way width of FM-1141 and ensure there is 85 -feet of right-of-way, and dedicate any area that is within 42.50 -feet of the centerline of the roadway. The applicant will also need to verify the current right-of-way width of North Country Lane, and dedicate any area within 32.50 -feet of the centerline of the roadway. The applicant shall also verify the right-of-way along FM-552, which currently has approved construction plans per the Texas Department of Transportation (TXDOT).
(2) Water. The applicant shall be required to construct an eight (8) inch looped water line through the site. In addition, the applicant must install a 12-inch water line along FM-552 and FM-1141 per the Master Water Plan.
(3) Wastewater. The applicant shall install the required eight (8) inch sewer line through the subject property and connect it to the 15-inch sanitary sewer line that is currently located on the westside of FM-1141. In addition -- and in accordance with the Master Wastewater Plan --, a 20-foot sewer line easement with a 30-foot temporary construction easement shall be dedicated along Nelson Creek. The applicant will be required to perform an infrastructure study to determine there is capacity in the Stoney Hollow lift station basin and -- if so -- what appurtenances will be required to be upgraded or constructed with the proposed development. The applicant will also be required to pay the required pro-rata on the existing Stoney Hollow basin infrastructure.
(4) Drainage. The applicant shall be required to perform a flood study to delineate the fully developed 100-year floodplain for all ponds, creeks or streams, and draws on the subject property. Detention will be required and sized per the required detention study. The applicant will also be required to perform a Wetlands and Waters of the United States (WOTUS) study for the existing pond, and receive written permission from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) regarding any encroachment or construction around Nelson Lake.

## CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed Planned Development District conforms to the majority of the City's code requirements; however, it should be noted that the development standards contained within the Planned Development District ordinance deviate from the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) and the Engineering Department's Standards of Design and Construction Manual in the following ways:
(1) Alleyways. The Engineering Department's Standards of Design and Construction Manual stipulates that "(a)lleys shall be provided in all residential areas and shall be paved with steel reinforced concrete..." The code does grant the City Council the ability to "... waive the residential alley requirement, if it is in the best interest of the City." [Page 14; Section 2.11 of the Standards of Design and Construction Manual]
(2) Garage Configuration. The Unified Development Code (UDC) requires that, "(i)n single-family or duplex districts, parking garages must be located at least 20 -feet behind the front building façade for front entry garages unless it is a J-Swing [or traditional swing] garage where the garage door is perpendicular to the street."

Applicant's Response to (1) \& (2): In lieu of providing the required alleyways, the applicant is proposing to provide $65 \% \mathrm{~J}$ Swing or Traditional Swing and 35\% Flat Front Entry (i.e. where the garage is even with the front façade). This translates to $40 \%$ of the Type 'A' Lots (i.e. 60 ' x 120' lots) and $20 \%$ of the Type ' $B$ ' \& 'C' Lots (i.e. Type 'B': 70 ' x 120 ' lots and Type 'C': 72 ' x 120 ' lots) being in Flat Front Entry garage configuration. As a compensatory measure the applicant is proposing to increase the front yard building setback from 20 -feet to 25 -feet for homes that have a Flat Front Entry garage
configuration. The applicant is also proposing to provide decorative wood garage doors or garage doors that incorporate a wood overlay on an insulated metal door. All garage doors will also incorporate carriage style hardware. In addition, the applicant will also have the ability to provide Recessed Front Entry (i.e. where the front of the garage is setback a minimum of 20 -feet from the front façade of the house).
(3) Landscape Buffers. According to Subsection 02.01, General Standards for Planned Development Districts, of Article 10, Planned Development Regulations, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a) minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided adjacent to all perimeter roadways (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage."

Applicant's Response to (3): In this case, the proposed Planned Development District ordinance adheres to this requirement along both FM-552 and FM-1141; however, along North Country Lane the applicant is proposing a ten (10) foot landscape buffer with four (4) inch caliper evergreen trees being planted on 15 -foot centers adjacent to where homes will back to the roadway. Staff should point out that the applicant has incorporated language that will allow the Planning and Zoning Commission the ability to review an alternative screening plan making use of the existing trees at the time of PD Site Plan; however, any changes from the stated requirement is a discretionary approval for the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the Land Use Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the Northeast Residential District and is designated for Commercial/Retail and Low Density Residential land uses on the Future Land Use Plan. The applicant's request will necessitate that the portion of the subject property that is designated for Commercial/Retail land uses be changed to Low Density Residential land uses on the Future Land Use Plan. This change is discretionary to the City Council; however, staff should point out that this change will bring the land use ratios closer to the desired $80 \%$ residential/ 20\% commercial land uses called for by the Comprehensive Plan [Goal 01, Policy 1; Section 02.01 of Chapter 1]. Specifically, the proposed zoning change will shift the residential/commercial ratio from $75.92 \% / 24.08 \%$ to $76.24 \% / 23.76 \%$. Should the City Council choose to approve this request staff has added a condition of approval that would make the necessary change to the Future Land Use Map.

According to the Comprehensive Plan, Low Density Residential land uses are defined as "... residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half ( $2^{1} / 2$ ) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses ..." In addition, the Comprehensive Plan defines amenity as, "... developments that provide some of the following: [1] open space beyond the required $20 \%$, [2] a golf course and/or other comparable recreation facilities, [3] amenity/recreation facilities, [4] school site integration, [5] dedication or development of park land beyond the required park land dedication, [6] additional development of trails, [7] other amenities deemed appropriate by the City Council." In this case, the applicant is requesting a 2.15 dwelling units per gross acre, and is proposing to construct [1] an amenity center, [2] open space in excess of 20\% (i.e. 24.232-acres of open space), and [3] a trail system. The proposed amenities do appear to justify the requested density; however, density under any Planned Development District request is a discretionary decision for the City Council.

According to the Northeast Residential District, the district "... is characterized by its established low-density residential subdivisions and rural/estate style lots ... [and] is anticipated to be a future growth center for the City, having several large vacant tracts of land suitable for low-density, residential development." In addition, under the District Strategies for Suburban Residential (i.e. the correct designation for the proposed development according to the Comprehensive Plan), "(a)ny new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential in this district." In this case, the applicant is proposing lot products that range from $60^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ (or a minimum of $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ ) to $72^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ (or a minimum of $8,600 \mathrm{SF}$ ). After reviewing the district, staff has identified the following Suburban Residential developments that have similar lot sizes:
(1) Ladera of Rockwall. This development is platted as one (1) large lot, but calls out Artificial Lots (i.e. setup like a condominium regime) that consist of the following lot products: $20,44.5^{\prime} \times 80^{\prime} ; 31,42^{\prime} \times 77^{\prime} ; 41,54^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$; and $6,64^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$.
(2) Saddle Star. $143,70^{\prime} \times 125^{\prime}$ and $33,80^{\prime} \times 125^{\prime}$.
(3) Dalton Ranch. $75^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ and $80^{\prime} \times 125^{\prime}$.
(4) Gideon Grove. $45,80^{\prime} \times 125^{\prime}$ and $27,100^{\prime} \times 150^{\prime}$.

Staff should point out that since the applicant is proposing a lot size less than 70 ' $\times 125^{\prime}$ (or a minimum of $8,750 \mathrm{SF}$ ), the request does not conform to the district strategy; however, as with all zoning cases this is discretionary to the City Council.

With regard to the policies for residential development contained in the Comprehensive Plan, staff has identified the following non-conformities and provided the following recommendations to the applicant:

## RED: NOT INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.

BLUE: INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.
(1) CH .08 I Sec. 02.03 I Goal 1; Policy 2: To maximize the value of properties that are directly adjacent to or across the street from a park and/or public open space, the house on the property should face onto the park and/or public open space, and should not back or side to the park and/or open space. If homes face onto a park and/or public open space and there is no public street, then the homes should be accessed via a mew-type street design.

Staff Response: The houses at the end of each block face should be turned to front onto the open space areas as opposed to siding to them as currently depicted. The applicant has chosen not to incorporate this into the Planned Development District ordinance.
(2) CH. $08 \mid$ Sec. $02.03 \mid$ Goal 1; Policy 5: Design neighborhoods utilizing the Housing Tree Model (a method of laying of singlefamily lots so that the largest lots are located adjacent to main entries or perimeter streets, and smaller lots are located internal to the subdivision).

Staff Response: The concept plan should be rearranged so that larger lots (i.e. $70^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ lots) are adjacent to the major roadways (i.e. FM-552, FM-1141, and North Country Lane). This will reduce the number of lots backing to these roadways and bring the plan into conformance with the Housing Tree Model. Additionally, a larger lot product (e.g. $80^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ lots) could be incorporated to bring the concept plan into to closer compliance with the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant has incorporated the Housing Tree Model into the concept plan, but has chosen not to incorporate an additional larger lot product.
(3) CH. 08 | Sec. 02.03 Goal 3; Policy 4: Require a larger separation between homes to make neighborhoods feel more spacious. This separation should be no less than 12-feet (i.e. six [6] foot side yard building setback) and should be scaled to the height of the home.

Staff Response: Increase the side yard setbacks to six (6) feet on both lot types to create a greater separation between structures. The applicant has chosen to incorporate this on Lot Types ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' (i.e. 70 ' $\times 120$ ' and 72 ' x 120 ' lots), but has continued to keep the five (5) foot setbacks on Lot Type 'A' (i.e. 60' x 120').
(4) CH. 08 | Sec. 02.02 Goal 3; Policy 4: All parks and open space should provide an integrated trail system that serves the adjacent neighborhood areas.

Staff Response: Provide a trail system that connects to the sidewalks along FM-552 and North Country Lane, and that runs through the open space area adjacent to Nelson Lake. The applicant has incorporated this recommendation into the proposed Planned Development District ordinance.
(5) $\mathrm{CH} .08|\mathrm{Sec} .02 .03|$ Goal 3; Policy 3: In cases where flat front entry garages (i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure) are requested as part of a development no greater than $20 \%$ should be incorporated into the development. In addition, flat front entry garages should have a minimum of a 25 -foot front yard building setback to allow vehicles to be parked in the driveway without overhanging public right-of-way. This type of garage may not be appropriate for all developments and should be generally discouraged.

Staff Response: If a Flat Front Entry Garage configuration is being requested it should be limited to $20 \%$ and the front building setback of these properties should be increased to 25 -feet. The applicant has chosen to request $35 \%$ Flat Front Entry Garages, but has consented to upgraded garage door requirements and a minimum of a $25-$-foot front yard building setbacks on all Flat Front Entry Garages.

Taking all of this into account, the concept plan does maintain general conformance to the residential policies and guidelines contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan; however, the approval of the applicant's request remains a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On December 19, 2020, staff mailed 37 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also sent a notice to the Dalton Ranch Homeowner's Association (HOA), which is the only HOA or Neighborhood Organization within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received the following:
(1) One (1) property owner notification from a property owner within the notification area (i.e. within the 500 -foot buffer) opposed to the applicant's request.
(2) Seven (7) emails from property owners outside of the notification area, but within the City limits of the City of Rockwall opposed to the applicant's request.
(3) Six (6) emails from people who live outside of the City limits.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from a Neighborhood Services (NS) District and Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the conditions contained in the Planned Development District ordinance;
(2) By approving this zoning change, the City Council will effectively be approving changes to the Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Map. Specifically, this will change the designation of portions of the subject property from Commercial/Retail and Low Density Residential designation to a Low Density Residential designation; and,
(3) Any construction resulting from the approval of this zoning change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION 

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BV THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITV ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONL Y ONE BOX]:

## Platting Appllcation Fees:

[ ] Master Plat ( $\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Replat ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat (\$150.00)
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request (\$100.00)
Slte Plan Application Fees:
[ ] Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
( ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

## Zoning Applicatlon Fees:

[ ] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
© PD Development Plans ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$

## Other Application Fees:

[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
1: In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]


## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| Current Zoning | NS and SF-16 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Proposed Zoning | PD - SF -7 |
| Acreage | 121.16 |

[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and foilure to oddress any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/Check the Primary contact/original signatures are required]

| [ ] Owner | Unison Investment, a California LP | [ JApplicant Contact Person | Michael Joyce Properties, LLC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | JEN-LIANG WU, General Partner |  | Ryan Joyce |
| Address | 23545 Crenshaw Blva | Address | 1189 Waters Edge Dr |
|  | Ste 201 |  |  |
| City, State \& Zip | Torrance, CA 90505 | City, State \& Zip | Rockwall, TX 75087 |
| Phone | 310-325-0300 | Phone | 512-965-6280 |
| E-Mail | Uniinv@aol.com | E-Mail | Ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com |

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

 this application to be true and certified the following:
"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$$ $\qquad$ , to cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$ By signing this application, I agree that the City of Rockwall (i.e. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public information."



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


## Miller, Ryan

| From: | Gamez, Angelica |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, December 22, 2020 12:13 PM |
| Cc: | Miller, Ryan; Gonzales, David; Lee, Henry |
| Subject: | Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2020-056] |
| Attachments: | Public Notice (12.21.2020).pdf; HOA Map (12.19.2020).pdf |

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:
Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on December 25, 2020. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website:
https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

## Z2020-056 Zoning Change from SF-16 \& NS to PD

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC on behalf of JenLiang Wu of Unison Investment, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change from a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 121.16-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the J. M. Gass Survey, Abstract No. 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District, generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and FM-552, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

## Angelica Gamez

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com


Case Number: Z2020-056
Case Name: Zoning Change from NS \& SF-16 to PD
Case Type: Zoning
Zoning:
NS \& SF-16
Case Address: SEC of FM 552 and FM 1141


```
WEIR JAMES B & CRYSTAL
            1831 TRAIL DR
                ROCKWALL,TX }7508
```

        FOSTER BRIAN AND DEIDRE
            1834 TRAIL DRIVE
                ROCKWALL, TX 75087
    REAMSBOTTOM DELAYNE
1837 TRAIL DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
ERWIN KARL DANIEL EXECUTOR
KARL W ERWIN ESTATE
2030 CROSSWOOD LANE
IRVING, TX 75063
EIDT WILLIAM H AND
MARGARET E SHEEHAN/JOHN EIDT
2728 MCKINNON ST APT 1902
DALLAS, TX 75201

RODRIQUEZ MONICA CANO \& ISRAEL A JR 2912 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALLEN JAMES JR \& BARBARA A 1835 TRAIL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087


CITY OF ROCKWALL 205 W RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KIM BUNNA 2908 BROKEN SPOKE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FRANCIS SHELBY \& KRISTI 2913 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## MARTIN JEFFREY MICHAEL \& ELIZABETH DIANE 2915 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## DE MASELLIS ADAM CLAUDE \& STEPHANIE

DENISE 2917 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GAY VINCENT NEIL AND KERRI L 2919 BROKEN SPOKE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANNIGAN MICHAEL R \& RACHELLE LE ANN
2921 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MILLER ANGELA KAY \& JOHN RAY 1833 TRAIL DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SANTOSO HARDJO AND SENDYTIAWATI KURNIAWAN

1836 TRAIL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALLISD 1880 TANNERSON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

UNISON INVESTMENT 23545 CRENSHAW BLVD STE 201 TORRANCE, CA 90505

LIPSEY RANDALL L AND KAREN M 2910 BROKEN SPOKE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KOZLOWSKI BRIAN STEPHEN \& JULIE 2914 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CURRY JOANNA \& SHAWN 2916 BROKEN SPOKE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

2018 S M TAYLOR REVOCABLE TRUST STEVEN EUGENE TAYLOR AND MICHELLE DIANE TAYLOR- TRUSTEES
2918 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SANTIAGO ABE D AND ROCIO D SIMENTAL 2920 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENNISON BOBBY \& RAMONA 2922 BROKEN SPOKE LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONAS CHAD \& JOANA 2924 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ERWIN KARL DANIEL EXECUTOR KARL W ERWIN ESTATE 379 N COUNTRYLN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BUNCH LLOYD M \& LINDA G
2925 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DALTON RANCH OWNERS ASSOC C/O VISION COMMUNITIES MANAGEMENT INC 5757 ALPHA RD STE 680

DALLAS, TX 75240

QUINTERO JORGE \& DELILAH
2926 BROKEN SPOKE LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEARCE CAROL ALLEY 721 N COUNTRY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALLISD
801 E WASHINGTON ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-056: Zoning Change from SF-16 \& NS to PD

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC on behalf of Jen-Liang Wu of Unison Investment, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change from a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 121.16-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the J. M. Gass Survey, Abstract No. 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District, generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and FM552, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,


Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

```
- - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM
```



Case No. Z2020-056: Zoning Change from SF-16 \& NS to PD
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-056: Zoning Change from SF-16 \& NS to PD

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties, LLC on behalf of Jen-Liang Wu of Unison Investment, LP for the approval of a Zoning Change from a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses on a 121.16-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the J. M. Gass Survey, Abstract No. 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District and Neighborhood Services (NS) District, generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and FM552, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,

## Ryan Miller, AICP



Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwaliplanning/development/development-cases

## - . - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2020-056: Zoning Change from SF-16 \& NS to PD
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
I AM OPPOSED TO THE REQUEST. T IS NOT IN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. ALSO, THE HIGH DENSITY NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD ADD ADDTTONSL OVERBURDEN TO FM $\mid 141$, OFTEN BACKED UP WITH HAYS ELEM. QUEUE LINE. Name: KEVIN STOVALL
Address: 1847 TANNERSON DR, ROCLWALL, TX 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## Miller, Ryan

## From:

Sent:

```
Tuesday, December 29, 2020 1:09 PM
Miller, Ryan
Development at 552 and 1141
```

To:
Subject:

Sir,
It is with high respect that I address this situation. Our traffic at 205 and Lakeshore Dr. is well above capacity, and allowing this new dense development will further destroy our established communities by increasing accidents and massive traffic.

Please refuse this poorly planned atrocity, it will bring the worst out of what already is a tight situation.
Many lives are at stake here.
Respectfully,

Al Estrada
748 Monterey Drive
ROCKWALL
Tx
75087-6639

This email was scanned by Bitdefender
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Miller, Ryan

From:
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2020 12:43 PM
To:
Subject:
Miller, Ryan
Proposed development 552/1141
Follow Up Flag: FollowUp
Flag Status: Completed

Due to Covid 19 we prefer not to come to the meeting tonight but, as a family living in Dalton Ranch we want it known that we are opposed to any residential development going in on the corner of 1141 and 552 . There are far too many residences going in on this side of Rockwall and the roads, schools and shopping cannot possibly handle more people and more houses. Look at all the houses going in off John King alone. There is an entire development ready to start building more houses across from Stonecreek and Stonecreek is still actively building. Already it is difficult to eat out in North Rockwall, too few restaurants, and grocery shopping on the weekend is a nightmare. North Rockwall needs more shopping and more restaurant choices, get a Trader Joe's, concentrate on giving the people who live here more rather than giving us more people!

Heather Lee
Sent from my iPhone

This email was scanned by Bitdefender
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Miller, Ryan

## From:

Sent: Uuesday, December 29, 2020 1:31 PM
To:
Subject:
Miller, Ryan
Development on 552 and 1141

## Good Afternoon,

The proposed development on 552 and 1141 does not fit the area. Rockwall is growing too fast. If we continue to take away the beautiful land and mature trees the appeal of Rockwall will get lost in a sea of houses. The appeal of North Rockwall is the large estates on large lots, not maximized housing profit- that is Frisco!!

I have first hand experience to how these new housing developments have effected the existing residents. My daughter attends Hays Elementary. In 2nd grade she had to take her lunch at 10:45 to accommodate all of the students to get through the lunch line. In 3rd grade the school got rid of their pre-k program and to accommodate a influx of students that all enrolled last minute the school put my daughter in a classroom in the pre-k hall Isolated away from the 3rd grade hall. Nearly $50 \%$ of the class were new students. My daughter was in the only self contained classroom Isolated in the pre-k hall because there was no room for the extra kids to switch classrooms like the other 3rd grade classes for different subjects during the day. She saw none of her friends and was pretty miserable. It felt so unfair considering I live 6 houses away from the school. This is our neighborhood school and there was no room for us.

There needs to be consideration for existing residents when these profit hungry builders come through our town. Their actions affect our quality of life.

Me and my family are opposed to this new development.
Janae McMillan
Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S9, an AT\&T 5G Evolution capable smartphone
Get Outlook for Android

This email was scanned by Bitdefender
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## Miller, Ryan

| From: | julie barrow [julie_barrow@sbcglobal.net](mailto:julie_barrow@sbcglobal.net) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Saturday, January 2, 2021 9:59 PM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Z2020-056 |

Dear planning and Zoning - my email is to document my opposition to the current proposed development. As a homeowner in Dalton Ranch the number of houses being proposed is not in keeping with the city's master plan of estate sized lots. The developer is attempting to count the over 30 acres of flood plain for density purposes and l'm sure you can agree that is shady. The home lot sizes will not be estate sized and the look and feel will not be what the master plan outlines. Lastly - the number of students that will result from this proposed number of homes will cause a significant strain to the already over populated schools of RiSD. We have seen trailers down the street erected to accommodate children and my now freshman attended Hays during the "trailer" years and it is not the best situation for student and / or teachers. We couldn't begin to social distance during the pandemic at the high school so I think it would be prudent to hold off on creating more new students than the plan calls for by the city approved master plan.

Please vote no the proposed increase deviation of the plan and keep the look and feel that the tax paying residents desire.
Sincerely, Julie Hall-Barrow
3018 Panhandle Dr.
501-950-4932

[^0]| From: | Kate Wells [katenricky@aol.com](mailto:katenricky@aol.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, January 8, 2021 9:57 AM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Z2020-056 |

No reply necessary- I just wanted to share my insight as a fairly new to Rockwall (17 months here now) resident. I have 2 areas of concern and opposition to this proposed project/plan. Coming from the Houston area and seeing what over-building (small lots, lots of houses and concrete) without the infrastructure to support the increased residents, lack of drainage and runoff issues does to not only that direct area but the areas around it I can say if this occurs we will be moving from the area. You can look up Longwood subdivision in Cypress, Tx and see a (once upscale) neighborhood with a "small creek" that has a golf course as a flood plain area. After living there for years nearby neighborhood/development overbuilding resulted in our small creek to start flooding all of the homes that were at the time in a 500 year flood plain. We won't stick around to have that happen here. Second we have 2 children at Hays elementary. Even if I wasn't concerned with the roads and traffic and overbuilding/flooding, a development this size across from the school is going to fill it up quickly. We knew that there would be one year of overcrowding before Hamm Elementry opened but it's been a drastic change in class sizes since.
All that to say, I'm not opposed to this being developed in a way that would be far less houses on larger lots resulting in less vehicles traveling the already overcrowded roads as well as the developer (not tax \$) making adjustments to drainage and flooding concerns.
Thanks in advance.
Kate Wells
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## Miller, Ryan

## From:

Sent:
Tuesday, December 29, 2020 11:26 PIM
To:
Subject:
Miller, Ryan
Planned dev corner 1141 \& 552

Regarding the above planned development I would like to voice my disproval on this. Our city is becoming over populated with new developments. That results in more traffic with roads that can't accommodate the number of cars!

Also FM 552 and 1141 are too small for the amount of traffic this development will bring to the area.
I live on Saddlebrook off 1141. This area of Rockwall still has the country feel but with this development and others around that country feel is slipping away!

Martha Griffey
Sent from AT\&T Yahoo Mail on Android
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| From: | Natalie Roberts [nataliejroberts71@icloud.com](mailto:nataliejroberts71@icloud.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, January 4, 2021 8:39 AM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Z2020-056 |

I am writing in regard to the proposed plan to build 262 houses on the north side of Rockwall by Hayes. I am strongly against this proposal. This area is out in the country part of Rockwall where we don't need City density. The homes should be built on larger half acre plus lots to maintain the country feel of the area just like Heath does. Land is very valuable in Rockwall and houses on lots this small would harm the overall value of the area. Thank you.

## Natalie Roberts

Sent from my iPhone
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## Miller, Ryan

| From: | Ajsmith890 [ajsmith890@gmail.com](mailto:ajsmith890@gmail.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Saturday, January 2, 2021 10:18 PM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Subject: Z2020-056 |

To whom it may concern,
I live off of Old Millwood road ... and Camp Creek bisects my property. A decade ago, it would take 11 inches of rain for the creek to swell and breach.... flooding the land at Beth Talleys place and my place and on down.

Today, with half that, the creek breaches. The continual development of the North side of town has increased the run off to a point where those of us impacted by flood plains are being washed away. The rain absorbing pasture land is being stripped away and replaced with concrete and the waters pushed on to camp creek and those of us down stream.

Rockwall has a thousand or more lots available for building. This plat of land is mostly flood plain and would be wise to be developed as a green belt or park like Harry Meyers. A housing development would add to the existing flooding issue as well as impact traffic to 552 as well as the school.

Celia Hays is finally not popping at the seams from Overcrowding. Please veto this proposal and keep North Rockwall with the country and Ag feel that those of us that have been here a long time made it to be

AJ Smith
844 Old Millwood Rd
Sent from my iPhone

[^1]
## Miller, Ryan

## From:

Sent:
Tuesday, December 29, 2020 1:35 PIM
To:
Miller, Ryan
Subject:
1141 and 552 project

Please include this Email as part of the packet for the city review tonight of the project At the intersection of 552 and 11 41 area my name is Doug pritchard and I live at 36 to farm lane rockwall 750873 this is basically around the corner from where that project will be located. The city has done nothing to Decrease traffic congestion in this area particularly as relates to that intersection. As it is right now it is very dangerous interaction it will only get more dangerous with a significant vehicle traffic increase. A traffic signal is not the only solution.
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## Miller, Ryan

| From: | Elizabeth A C Talley [canchaser16000@me.com](mailto:canchaser16000@me.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Sunday, January 3, 2021 12:00 PM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Z2020-056 |

I am opposing the developer that is trying to add 262 houses to a small piece of land across from Hays Elementary School on 1141. My understanding is the issue that they are using 33 acres of flood plain land to calculate housing density. Building in and around the flood plain will result in even more flooding of Camp Creek and land in the Anna Cade/ Camp Creek/ Old Millwood area.
The high home density development causes a lot of problems for those of us along the creek.
Please contact me, Beth Talley, as I would like to have information for the next meeting for this developer.
Beth Talley
214-460-2818
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## Miller, Ryan

| From: | jdaleale@yahoo.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, January 5, 2021 7:54 PM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Z2020-056 |

## Sir/Madam

I am very concerned about the proposed development being considered on FM1141 across from Hays Elementary School.
In addition to the massive increase in traffic on the sub standard roads in the area, it will also increase the velocity of the drainage into Camp Creek, resulting in increased flooding on Old Millwood and Camp Creek Residences who already have problems during heavy rain. Many times, even recently the road has been closed due to flooding. Adding these residences along with the concrete run off will decrease the seepage into the soil and increase the runoff into the creek.
I urge you to vote down this proposal until a more detailed plan can be developed to accommodate the concerns of the existing home owners in the area.
Sincerely
John Dale
Camp Creek Resident.

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

## Miller, Ryan

| From: | Rick Wells [r_wells@sbcglobal.net](mailto:r_wells@sbcglobal.net) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Sunday, January 3, 2021 12:45 PM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Z2020-056 |

The density calculations of this development appears to include the flood zone area. That is misleading the density calculations. At 262 homes, 121 acres minus 33 for flood supports 2.9 houses per acres. Those smaller lots in the middle of the development are to small. To maintain proposed density of 2.16 , total home count should be 190.

Rick Wells
200 camp creek rd
Rockwall
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## Miller, Ryan

## From:

Sent:
Tuesday, December 29, 2020 1:55 PM
To:
Subject:

Miller, Ryan
Item number 8 for public hearing

This question was asked, addressed and answered in November 2020. The same issues exist today as then.

One additional consideration; how will the City answer the future residents (voters and tax payers) of that new development when the creek floods? Will the City's answer be the HOA is responsible for flood damage repair to common areas?

Steve Taylor
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City of Rockwall
Attn: Ryan Miller, AICP
385 S Goliad St
Rockwall, TX 75087

Dear Mr. Miller,
Michael Joyce Properties, LLC is requesting that our project be taken to the November $10^{\text {th }}, 2020$
Planning and Zoning Meeting. This project is the development of 121.16 Acres in the J.M. Glass Survey, Tract 2 Abstract 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, located at the Southeast corner of F.M. 552 and F.M. 1141.

The property is currently zoned NS and SF - 16. We are proposing a development of Single-Family Residential homes on 7,000-8,400 square foot lots. This community will provide for a greater variety of housing that the market demands and will still reflect the beautiful aesthetic of the surrounding communities like Stone Creek and Breezy Hill, and the City of Rockwall as a whole.

We look forward to working with the City once again to develop another gorgeous development.

Cordially Yours,

Ryan Joyce

## Miller, Ryan

From: Adam Buczek [abuczek@skorburgcompany.com](mailto:abuczek@skorburgcompany.com)
Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 3:06 PM
To: Miller, Ryan
Cc: Kevin Harrell; JR Johnson
Subject: $\quad$ Nelson Lakes - revised PD mark ups and concept plan
Attachments: Draft Ordinance Mark-ups_(1.05.2021).pdf; Nelson Lake Concept Plan_1-5-2021.pdf

Ryan,
Please see attached Nelson Lakes edits / revisions.
Highlighted / summary of the concept plan changes to even more follow P\&Z guidance:

- Removed 2 more lots (so we've reduced total lot count by 5 lots from the initial submittal)
- We further reduced the $60^{\prime}$ lot type from $57 \%$ to $51.5 \%$ ( 5 fewer 60 's from the work session version)
- We increased the 70 's by 7 lots (from $23.2 \%$ to $26.1 \%$ of the total lots)
- We increased the 72 's by 5 lots (from $19.8 \%$ to $22.2 \%$ of the total lots)

So now - this is now in all material respects a plan that has essentially half of all lots being $70^{\prime}$ wide or greater. As an aside - there are several $60^{\prime}$ 's on end / corner lots that are wider than $70^{\prime}$ but are still yellow because they couldn't meet the $70^{\prime}$ side setback requirement, but from a streetscape standpoint - they will look and feel like 70 's because of their extra lot width.

Best Regards,
Adam J. Buczek
Development Partner
Skorburg Company
8214 Westchester Drive, Suite 900
Dallas, Texas 75225
Ph: (214) 888-8843
Cell: (817) 657-5548
Fax: (214) 888-8861
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TX-256
EASEMENT
THIS INDENTURE, made this 27 day of apiel, 1956, by and bētween Mrs. Jom. Nelson etux and his wife, residents of the County of Rookiall , State of Texas, hereinafter referred to as the first party, and Kaufman Van Zandt Soil Conservadfon District hereinafter referred to as the second party,
${ }^{\circ}$ WITKESSETH THAT:


WHEREAS; The Seeretary of. Agrioulture, United States Department of Agriculture, has been authorized by the Congress to carry out a program of assistance to local agencies and organizations in planning and installing works and measures for watershed protection, flood prevention, and agricultural phases of the conservation, development, utilization and disposal of water, and

WHEREAS, the second party is cooperating in said program in the Trinity River Upere East Fork Iatiarels watershed, State of Texas, in connection with which the second party desires to secure certain rights in, over and upon tie hereinafter described land of the first party,

THEREFORE, for and in consideration of One Dollar ( 1.00 ) and the benefits accruing to the first party from the installation of said program and other good and valdáble considerations, the receipt whereof is hereby acknorledged, the first party does here grant and convey unto the second party an easement in, over and upon the followin described land situated in the County of Rockprall $\qquad$ , State of Texes, to-wit:
125.76 ac. tract of. land deoded to J.M. Nelson by S.R. MGereary in the J.Mb. Goss survey and recorded in Book 10 page 299 of the Rockwaill C̈ounfy, Texas Dood Records.
… ... The second party..shald. have the right, privilege and authority to use said land for the installation, operation, maintenance and inspection of the following described works and measures, a nd for the storage of waters that may be impounded by any dam or other reservoir structure decnribed belows
Floodwater retarding structure, including dam, emergency spillway, adiacent work areas, Find portion of the sediment and detention pools. Trees and brush will be cleared from dem,
fipillway and sediment pool area as determined necessary by the second party. Fill materilil
filll be taken from the sediment pool and spillway if needed and suitable. Project involves
30 acres, more or less of the above described lands.
c. Ine second party shall be responsible for operating, maintaining, and keep. ing in good repair the works and measures herein described.
t.n 3. The first party reserves the right to use said land or any part thereof at any time and for any purpose, provided such use does not damage the structure or interfere with the full enjoynent by the second party of the easement herein conveyed.
4. The-second party shall have the $r i_{\bar{\varepsilon}}$ ht to construct fences and gates around the structures, and such fences and gates shall not be changed in any way except by the consent of the second party.
5. This easement shall include.the right .an ingress and egress at any time over and upon saic land wem adjoinine land cumed by the first paxity.
6. This easenent shall include all easements, rights-of-way, richts, privileges and appurtenances in or to said land that may be necessary, useful or convenient for the full enjoyment of the easement herein conveyped.
7. The first party hereby releases the second party from ar. $f$ and all cleims for damages arising out of or in connection with the installation, operation and maintenance.of -.the-worke and measures herein described:
Floodizater Retarding' Structure .. Sité 3 E
8. The first party hereby warrants the title to said land; however, the easement herein conveyed shall be subject to any easements, rights-of -way, or mineral reservations or rights now outstanding in third persons. This ease. ment shall not pass, nor shall same be construed to pass, to the second party any fee simple interest or titie to the above described lands.
9. In the event the easement described herein is abandoned, the rights, privileges, end authority granted hereunder to the second party shall cease and determine.

IN'UITNESE-HREOF, the parties hereto have kereunto subscribed their names and fiffed thoir seals as of the day and year first above written.

 Kaufman Van Zandt Soil Conservation Distriot Soil Conservation District



SEAL
My Commission Expires:

$\qquad$ County, $\qquad$

THE STATE OF Te天as
COUNTY OF Roclawali
BEFORE ME, the undersigned, á Notary Public in and for said County and State, on this day personally appeared Mrs. J.M. Nolson known me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this, the 27 day of April A. D. 1 6 $6:$


SEAL
VIV Commission Expires: June 1, 1957
THE STATE OF TeXAS I

COUNTY OF
BEFORE ME, the undersigned, a Notary; Public 1 n and for said County and State, on this day personally appeared LIon 1, olson and
 Mons Die. $H$ ilion, his wife, both known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they each executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and the said Mary Doe Nolson wife of the said.
Alton A. Nelson
having been examined by me privily and apart from her husband and having the same fully explained to her, she, the said Mary Doe Nelson
acknowledged such instrument to be
her act and deed, and she declared that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it.

GIUEN UNDER MI HAND AND SEAL CF OFFICE this, the 1 day of tach , A. Di 1957-.


BEFORE 1,2, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, on this day personally appeared

 persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they each executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and the said $\qquad$ wife of the said
Henry A. Carr Lonnie Nelson Carr , having been examined by me privily and apart from her husband, and having the same fully explained to her, she, the said Lonnie Nelson Carr acknowledged such instrument to be her act and deed, and she declared that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAD AND SEAL OF OFFICE THIS, the $\angle J$ day of $\qquad$ A. D. 1957 .


SEAL:
Mil commission expires:

## WIFE'S SEPARATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

THE STATE OF TEXAS, COUNTY OF Rockwail BEFORE ME, the undersigned, a Notary Public,
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ , in and for said County, Texas, on this day personally appeared Jennie Nelson Rodgers..., wife of K. Barton Rodgers
known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and having been examined by me privily and apart from her husband, and having the same fully explained to her, she, the said

Jennie Nelson Rodgers $\qquad$ ..acknowledged such instrument to be her act and deed, and she declared that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it.

$\qquad$ Texas 1

COUNTY OF $\qquad$

BEFORE IE, the undersigned, a Notary: Public in and for said County, and State, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
and (isle Nelson Wathina conlternw. Nh. Wraverez and (lisle Nelson Watheiza his wife, both known to me to be the .解 to me that they each executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and the said Asloe Nelson Watkins, ,., wife of the said

Melbaran I Watkins
having been examined by me privily and apart from her husband, and having the same fully explained to her, she, the said Ashe Nelson Watkins acknowledged such instrument to De her act and deed, and she caclared that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it.

M penaltern
GIVEN UNDER MY HAD AND SEAL OF OFFICE THIS, the 2 学 day of ganuay, A. D. $195^{\circ} 7$.


SEAL:
My commission expires: $\varepsilon / 4 / 57$

THE STATE OF $\qquad$
$\qquad$
COUNTY OF $\qquad$ 1
BEFORE ME, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and sons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they each executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed, and the said Omaree Nelson Murphagee of the said James R. Murphree having been examined by me privily and apart from her husband and having the same fully explained to herr, she, the said

Omaree Nelson Murphreo
acknowledged such instrument to be her act and deed, and she declared that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and consideggtion therein expressed, and that she did not wish to retract it, Chancre Mi son Knur
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL CF OFFICE this, the 29 A. D. 195 1.

| SEAL |
| :--- |
| My commission expires: $6-1-57$ |



THE STATE OF $\qquad$ $l$

COUNTY OF $\qquad$ $\downarrow$

BEFORE ME；the undersigned，a Notary Public in and for said County and State，on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ trees ow －
known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument，and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed．

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this，the $\qquad$ day of Jan． － A．D． 1957 。

prat．
THE STATE OF TEXAS 1
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL
BEFORE ME，the undersigned，a Notary Public in arg for said County and State $p^{\text {on }}$ this day personally appeared $\qquad$
dis，Dele dustney，his wife，both known to me to bo the per－ sons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they each executed the some for the purposes and consideration therein expressed，and the said doa M，Nueneymwife of the said．臽M解 D Nedneva having been examined by me privily and apart from （fer husiond and haviff the same fully explained to her，she，the said
tea on．Sudney $\qquad$ acknowledged such instrument to be her act and deed，and she declared that she had willingly signed the same for the purposes and consideration therein pxpressed，and that she did not wish to retract it．S1GNED；SOMESkSuotverg－

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL CF OFFICE this，the 28th day of $\qquad$ g A．D． $1955^{\circ}$

SEAL Mr commotion expires： $6-/ 1 / 957$


THE STATE OF Texas Recurs $x$

COUNTY OF $\qquad$
BEFORF ME，the undersigned，a Notary Public in and for said County and State，on this day personally appeared K，Sarto Rodgers known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument，and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed．

GIVEN UNDER IN HAND AND SEAI OF OFFICE this，the 3 day of Jan． A．D．19＿57


SEAL
livy Commission Expires：to $-1-1937$
FIIED FOR RECORD ZG DAY OF CQN2 A．D．2958，AT L－3ه M．


DERWOOD WIMPLE，CLERK COUNTY COURT：ROCKWELL COUNTY，TEXAS．

## FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS TOOL

ASSUMPTIONS: (1) All values are based on the Appraised Value and not the Market Value; (2) All Agricultural (AG) District land is assumed to be residential under Current Zoning and zoned in accordance to the Future Land Use Map under Current Zoning at Build Out
DISCLAIMER: The information provided below is not a reasonable basis for the approval or denial of any zoning case. This is a general tool that is meant to assist elected and appointed officials in the understanding the potential fiscal impacts of a zoning request, and to track conformance to the Comprehensive Plan's targeted land use ratios of $80 \%$ residential to $20 \%$ commercial land use, which is intended to yield a $67 \%$ residential value to $33 \%$ commercial value. around the City's current fiscal year costs versus the percentage of land area that is currently residential and non-residential. A per capita multiplier and average cost method were used to estimate sales tax.
CASENO.: Z2020-045
CASE NAME: Zoning Change (SF-16 \& NS to PD) [Nelson Lake Estates]


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 04-38] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM A NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES (NS) DISTRICT AND A SINGLEFAMILY 16 (SF-16) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT XX (PD-XX) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 121.16-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 2 OF THE J. M. GASS SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 88, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of Ryan Michael Joyce Properties, LLC on behalf of Jen-Liang Wu of Unison Investment, LP for the approval of a zoning change from a Neighborhood Services (NS) District and a Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, on a 121.16-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2 of the J. M. Gass Survey, Abstract No. 88, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Concept Plan, depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with
the Density and Development Standards, outlined in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That a Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the Planned Development Concept Plan described in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the Subject Property shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the Subject Property.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). The City Council shall act on an application for a Master Parks and Open Space Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat
(c) Master Parks and Open Space Plan. A Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.
(d) Master Plat. A Master Plat for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A Master Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(e) Preliminary Plat. A Preliminary Plat for each phase of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the Master Plat and shall include a Treescape Plan for the phase being Preliminary Platted. A Preliminary Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Plat and a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(f) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan for each phase of the development of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat application for the development.
(g) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, conforming to the Preliminary Plat, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $1^{\text {ST }}$ DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021.


All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the J.M. GLASS SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 88, Rockwall County, Texas, and being all of that tract of land as described in a Warranty Deed from Charles I. Cheshire to Marvin Menaker, Trustee, dated April 23, 1980, and being recorded in Volume 154, Page 625 of the Deed Records of Rockwell County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for corner at the east cut back corner at the intersection of the east right-of-way line of FM-1141 (80' ROW) with the South right-of-way line of FM-552 ( 80 ' ROW);

THENCE along the south right-of-way line of said FM-552 the following:
S. 89 DEG. 44 MIN. 00 SEC. E. (Controlling Bearing) a distance of 1681.27 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for corner;
N. 88 DEG. 45 MIN. 05 SEC. E. a distance of 700.30 -feet to a tack found in wood monument for corner;
N. 54 DEG. 06 MIN .00 SEC. E. a distance of 77.79 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for corner;

THENCE S. 87 DEG. 51 MIN. 31 SEC. E. leaving the South line of said FM-552, a distance of 156.34 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod set for corner at the base of a fence corner post for corner at the northeast corner of said Meneker Tract;

THENCE S. 00 DEG. 06 MIN. 49 SEC. E. along the east line of said Meneker Tract a distance of 2,041.03-feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for corner in the center of North Country Lane;

THENCE N. 89 DEG. 56 MIN. 19 SEC. W. along and near said center of North Country Lane a distance of $2,645.47$-feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for corner at the southwest corner of said Meneker Tract at the intersection of the center of said road with the east right-of-way line of said FM-1141;

THENCE N. 00 DEG. 01 MIN. 46 SEC. W. with the east right-of-way line of said FM-1141 a distance of $1,941.18$-feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 45 DEG. 02 MIN. 47 SEC. E. along the east right-of-way line of said highway a distance of 70.50 -feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 121.16-acres or 5,277,595 SF of land.



## Exhibit 'D':

## Density and Development Standards

## Density and Development Standards.

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the Subject Property.
(2) Lot Composition and Layout. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' and stated in Table 1, which is as follows:

Table 1: Lot Composition
 Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the Subject Property. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 2.15 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed $\underline{260}$ units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | A | $B$ | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | 60' | 70' | 72' |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 120' | 120' | 120' |
| Minimum Lot Area | 7,000 SF | 8,400 SF | 8,600 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{(2), ~(5) ~ \& ~(6) ~}$ | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 5' | 6 ' | 6 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2)}$ \& (5) | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | 36 | 36' | $36^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback ${ }^{(4)}$ | 10' | 10' | 10' |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% |

## General Notes:

1: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2: The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
3. The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the singlefamily home.
4. The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
${ }^{5}$ : Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback by up to ten (10) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not exceed five (5) feet on Side Yard Setbacks. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of
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the encroaching faces.
${ }^{6}$ : Flat front entry garage configurations are permitted on up to $35 \%$ (i.e. a maximum of 91 lots) of the total number of lots provided that: [1] no more than $45 \%$ (i.e. a maximum of 60 lots) of the lots for Lot Type ' $A$ ' have a flat front entry garage, [2] no more than $25 \%$ (i.e. a maximum of 31 lots) of the combined total of the Lot Type ' $B$ ' and Lot Type ' $C$ ' may have a flat front entry garage, and [3] the front yard building setback for all lots with a flat front entry garage is increased to a minimum of 25 -feet.
(4) Building Standards. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirement. The minimum masonry requirement for the total exterior façade area of all buildings shall be $90 \%$ (excluding dormers and walls over roof areas); however, no individual façade shall be less than $85 \%$ masonry. For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard horizontal lap-siding (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) and stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -- to be determined by staff) may be used for up to $50 \%$ of the masonry requirement; however, stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or a comparable -to be determined by staff) shall be permitted through a Specific Use Permit (SUP) only. Excluding dormers and walls over roof areas, siding products (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) shall not be visible on homes abutting any major thoroughfare (i.e. FM-552 and FM-1141 as shown on Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance).
(b) Roof Pitch. A minimum of an 8:12 roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a 4:12 roof pitch.
(c) Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. This development shall adhere to the following garage design and orientation requirements:
(1) Type ' $A$ ' Lots. Garages shall be oriented in a traditional swing (or $j$-swing) -where the two (2) car garage is situated facing the side property line and the driveway swings into the garage in a ' $J$ ' configuration -- or in a flat front entry configuration (i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure). On traditional swing (or j-swing) garage configurations, a second single garage door facing the street is permitted if it is located behind the width of the double garage door. Garages configured in a flat front entry configuration shall be allowed on a maximum of $45 \%$ of the lots (i.e. a maximum of 60 lots) provided that the front yard building setback is increased to 25 -feet. All garage configurations not conforming to this section shall meet the requirements of Article 09, Parking and Loading, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).
(2) Type ' $B$ ' and ' $C$ ' Lots. Garages shall be oriented in a traditional swing (or $j$ swing) -- where the two (2) car garage is situated facing the side property line and the driveway swings into the garage in a ' $J$ ' configuration -- or in a flat front entry configuration (i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure). On traditional swing (or j-swing) garage configurations, a second single garage door facing the street is permitted if it is located behind the width of the double garage door. Garages configured in a flat front entry configuration shall be allowed on a maximum of $25 \%$ of the lots (i.e. a maximum of 31 lots of the combined total of the Lot Type ' $B$ ' and Lot Type ' $C$ ' Lots) provided that the front yard building setback is increased to 25 -feet. All garage configurations not
conforming to this section shall meet the requirements of Article 09, Parking and Loading, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

All garage doors shall be required to have decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors. The design between the garage door and home shall use the same or complementary colors and materials. All garages shall include carriage style hardware. An example of carriage style hardware is depicted in Figure 1.

(5) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in Table 3 below (for spacing requirements see Figures $3 \& 4$ below).

Table 3: Anti-Monotony Matrix

| Lot Type | Minimum Lot Size | Elevation Features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $60^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |
| B | $70^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | (1), (2), (3), (4) |
| C | $72^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | (1), (2), (3), (4) |

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (side-by-side) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open spaces, FM-552, FM-1141, or North Country Lane shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
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(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade

(c) Permitted encroachment (i.e. porches and sunroom) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.
(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited).


Figure 4: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.
(6) Fencing Standards. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:
(a) Front Yard Fences. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.
(b) Wood Fences. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (spruce fencing is prohibited) that are a minimum of $1 / 2$-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be board-on-board panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (i.e. facing towards the home) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.
(c) Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (i.e. FM552, FM-1141 and North Country Lane), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height.
(d) Corner Lots. Corner lots fences (i.e. adjacent to the street) shall provide masonry columns at 45 -feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid board-on-board panel fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.
(e) Solid Fences (including Wood Fences). All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.
(7) Landscape and Hardscape Standards.
(a) Landscape. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height.
(b) Landscape Buffers. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(1) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-552). A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-552 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), that shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage. A meandering five (5) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer. In addition, additional three (3) tiered landscaping (i.e. small to mid-sized shrubs, large shrubs or accent trees, and canopy trees) shall be required adjacent to the cul-de-sacs along FM-552 as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(2) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100 -feet of linear frontage. A meandering five (5) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(3) Landscape Buffers (North Country Lane). A minimum of a 10-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along North Country Lane (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication). This landscape buffer shall incorporate a solid living screen utilizing evergreen trees -- either Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress unless approved otherwise approved by the Director of Planning and Zoning --, a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size, that will be planted on $15-$ foot centers along the entire frontage of North Country Lane. An alternative screening plan proposing the use of existing trees, for the area directly adjacent to North Country Lane, may be submitted by the developer with the PD Site Plan. This alternative plan can be approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission upon a finding that the proposed plan will provide adequate screening that is equal to or exceeds the standards stated in this section.
(c) Street Trees. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the PD Site Plan.
(d) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(e) Hardscape. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan.
(8) Street. All streets (excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas) shall be built according to City street standards.
(9) Lighting. Light poles shall not exceed 20 -feet in total height (i.e. base and lighting standard). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.
(10) Sidewalks. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.
(11) Buried Utilities. New distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.
(12) Open Space. The development shall consist of a minimum of $20 \%$ open space (or a minimum of 24.232-acres -- as calculated by the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance. All open space areas (including landscape buffers) shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(13) Trails. A concrete trail system shall be constructed in generally the same areas and of the same sizes as what is depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(14) Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be permitted at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the $P D$ Site Plan. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the Subject Property. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the $P D$ Site Plan.
(15) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 3815 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (including drainage facilities), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development.
(16) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to


# CITY OF ROCKWALL CITY COUNCIL MEMO 

AGENDA DATE: $3 / 21 / 2005$<br>APPLICANT: Kimley-Horn \& Associates<br>AGENDA ITEM: Z2005-007; Nelson Lake - (Ag) to (SF-16) \& (NS)<br>Hold a public hearing and consider a request from Jason Faigle of Kimley-Horn \& Associates to rezone 104.8-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (SF-16) Single Family Residential district, and 16.4-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (NS) Neighborhood Service district. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of FM 1141 and FM 552, and currently described as Tract 2, Abstract 88, J.M. Gass Survey.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The applicant has submitted a zoning request to zone property, containing approximately 121.16 acres, from (Ag) Agricultural district to (SF-16) Single Family Residential and (NS) Neighborhood Service. The proposed SF-16 zoning will contain approximately 104.8 acres and the NS zoning will contain approximately 16.4 acres. The property is located at the southeast corner of the intersection of F.M. 1141 and F.M. 552. The vacant property located across FM 552 directly to the north of this site was recently annexed into the City and is zoned (Ag) Agricultural. Property to the south is also zoned (Ag) Agricultural and is currently used for agricultural purposes along with a few residential homes. The property to west (i.e. Dalton Ranch) has been zoned (PD58) Planned Development and preliminary platted for single family residential development with a density of less than two units per acre, and also incorporates an elementary school site.

The zoning exhibit illustrates a plan for 111 total lots with 106 residential lots, 4 open space areas and 1 retail lot (Neighborhood Service area). As indicated on the exhibit, the SF-16 portion of the zoning proposal yields a density level of 1.01 units per acre. The Land Use Plan indicates this area to be Single Family Low Density Residential. Low density is defined within the Comprehensive Plan as less than two units per acre of land.

The Comprehensive Plan also states that all residential lots which are 16,000 square feet in area or less should be served by an alley. However, the applicant's request is for minimum 16,000-sf lots (concept plan indicates an average lot size of 19,509-sf), and the plan indicates no alleys. The development pattern for SF-16 and greater has been the elimination of the alley requirement.

The Comprehensive Plan states that in determining appropriate zoning, existing surrounding conditions such as lot size, house styles and existing development patterns should be considered. The Dalton Ranch development to the west of this property has
been preliminary platted and zoned for a minimum 10,000 square foot lot area with lots ranging from over 10,000 square foot up to 30,000 square foot in area. The applicant's proposal meets the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan in terms of density, and is comparable land within the general area.

This proposal also indicates approximately 56 acres of open space which includes Nelson Lake as an amenity to the proposed development. The applicant has indicated the open space and lake area will be private and maintained by a Homeowner's Association. In conjunction with the open space, the applicant has proposed 16 acres of Neighborhood Service zoning which is the most restrictive retail-type district within the Unified Development Code. The Land Use Plan does indicate this intersection as commercial/retail land use. We have included a list of uses that are allowed within the Neighborhood Service District for review. The overall amount of open space being proposed, primarily required because of the lake and flood plain, and the proposed NS zoning will regulate the residential density to less than 2 units per acre. In conjunction with the zoning request, the applicant has also submitted a preliminary plat of the property. Issues dealing with landscape buffers along F.M. 552 and F.M. 1141 and entry features will be taken up with approval of the preliminary plat.

Notices were mailed to eight (8) property owners located in the City within $200-\mathrm{ft}$ of the subject tract, and at this time, none had been returned.

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

Staff Recommends approval of the request.
On 3/8/05 the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval the zoning change to (SF-16) and (NS) by a vote of 5 to 0 (Jackson and Smith absent).

Tract 134-12, Abstract 207, E. Teal Survey (2.564-acres), located along the south side of Henry M. Chandler Drive and immediately east of the Chandler's Landing Marina.

The motion failed due to a lack of a second.
Burgamy made a motion to deny the request by Austin Lewis of Lewis Real Estate Investments to amend (PD-8) Planned Development district, specifically on a vacant, 6.889-acre tract comprised of Spyglass Hill \#4 Addition (4.324-acres) and Tract 134-12, Abstract 207, E. Teal Survey (2.564-acres), located along the south side of Henry M. Chandler Drive and immediately east of the Chandler's Landing Marina.

Langdon seconded the motion. The motion was voted on and passed by a vote of 3 to 1 (Lucas against; Carroll abstaining; Jackson and Smith absent).

## Carroll returned to the meeting.


#### Abstract

Z2005-007 Hold a public hearing and consider a request from Jason Faigle of Kimley-Horn \& Associates to rezone 104.8-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (SF-16) Single Family Residential district, and 16.4-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (NS) Neighborhood Services district. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of FM 1141 and FM 552, and currently described as Tract 2, Abstract 88, J.M. Gass Survey.


Hampton outlined the request stating the applicant has submitted a zoning request to zone property, containing approximately 121.16 acres, from (Ag) Agricultural district to (SF-16) Single Family Residential and (NS) Neighborhood Service. The proposed SF-16 zoning will contain approximately 104.8 acres and the NS zoning will contain approximately 16.4 acres. The property is located at the southeast corner of the intersection of F.M. 1141 and F.M. 552. The vacant property located across FM 552 directly to the north of this site was recently annexed into the City and is zoned (Ag) Agricultural. Property to the south is also zoned (Ag) Agricultural and is currently used for agricultural purposes along with a few residential homes. The property to west (i.e. Dalton Ranch) has been zoned (PD-58) Planned Development and preliminary platted for single family residential development with a density of less than two units per acre, and also incorporates an elementary school site.

The zoning exhibit illustrates a plan for 111 total lots with 106 residential lots, 4 open space areas and 1 retail lot (Neighborhood Service area). As indicated on the exhibit, the SF-16 portion of the zoning proposal yields a density level of 1.01 units per acre. The Land Use Plan indicates this area to be Single Family Low Density Residential. Low density is defined within the Comprehensive Plan as less than 2 units per acre of land.

The Comprehensive Plan also states that all residential lots which are 16,000 square feet in area or less should be served by an alley. However, the applicant's request is for minimum 16,000 -sf lots (concept plan indicates an average lot size of $19,509-\mathrm{sf}$ ), and the plan indicates no alleys. The development pattern for SF-16 and greater has been the elimination of the alley requirement.

The Comprehensive Plan states that in determining appropriate zoning, existing surrounding conditions such as lot size, house styles and existing development patterns should be considered. The Dalton Ranch development to the west of this property has been preliminary platted and zoned for a minimum 10,000 square foot lot area with lots ranging from over 10,000 square foot up to 30,000 square foot in area. The applicant's proposal meets the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan in terms of density, and is comparable land within the general area.

This proposal also indicates approximately 56 acres of open space which includes Nelson Lake as an amenity to the proposed development. The applicant has indicated the open space and lake area will be private and maintained by a Homeowner's Association. In conjunction with the open space, the applicant has proposed 16 acres of Neighborhood Service zoning which is the most restrictive retail-type district within the Unified Development Code. The Land Use Plan does indicate this intersection as commercial/retail land use. We have included a list of uses that are allowed within the Neighborhood Service District for review. The overall amount of open space being proposed, primarily required because of the lake and flood plain, and the proposed NS zoning will regulate the residential density to less than 2 units per acre. In conjunction with the zoning request, the applicant has also submitted a preliminary plat of the property. Issues dealing with landscape buffers along F.M. 552 and F.M. 1141 and entry features will be taken up with approval of the preliminary plat.

Notices were mailed to eight (8) property owners located in the City within 200-ft of the subject tract, and at this time, none had been returned.

Herbst opened the public hearing.
Rob Whittle, applicant addressed requesting approval of the request and to answer questions.

Herbst closed the public hearing.
Carroll made a motion to approve the request from Jason Faigle of Kimley-Horn \& Associates to rezone 104.8-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (SF-16) Single Family Residential district, and 16.4 -acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (NS) Neighborhood Services district. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of FM 1141 and FM 552, and currently described as Tract 2, Abstract 88, J.M. Gass Survey.

Langdon seconded the motion. The motion was voted on and passed by a vote of 5 to 0 .

P2005-011
Discuss and consider a request from Jason Faigle of Kimley-Horn \& Associates for approval of a preliminary plat of Nelson Lake Addition, a 121.2-acre tract comprised of 106 single-family residential lots (104.8-acres) and one lot designated for "NS" Neighborhood Services uses (16.4-acres). The subject property is located at the southeast corner of FM 1141 and FM 552, and currently described as Tract 2, Abstract 88, J.M. Gass Survey.

Hampton outlined the request stating the preliminary plat for Nelson Lake lays out 106 single-family residential lots, four (4) open space and/or drainage easements, one (1) lot designated for a sewer lift station and one (1) lot designated for future non-residential development. The preliminary plat application is running concurrently with a zoning application to rezone the 121.2-acre subject tract from (Ag) Agricultural to (SF-16) Single-Family Residential (104.8-acres) and (NS) Neighborhood Services (16.4-acres).

Right-of-way and Access
The site is bordered by FM 552 to the north, FM 1141 to the west, N. Country Lane to the south and the City limits to the east. Access for the residential portion of the development is proposed via "Street A" from FM 1141 and via "Street G" from FM 552. A Traffic Impact Analysis will be required as part of the engineering review. Each of these proposed street connections will require TXDOT approval, and there is some concern from Staff that TXDOT will require "Street $A$ " to align with the proposed street (Limestone Way) in Dalton Ranch.

A 10-ft ROW dedication is provided along FM 1141 and a $20-\mathrm{ft}$ ROW dedication along FM 552 for the future widening of those arterials. Left-turn lanes and/or deceleration lanes will be required as per Engineering standards and TXDOT requirements. Access to the proposed 16.4-acre (NS) site will be provided subject to TXDOT and City engineering standards, and will be reviewed at the time of final platting and/or site plan approval for that property. No access is proposed to N. Country Lane; however, the developer will be responsible for the dedication of 32.5ft of Right-of-way and improvement of a minimum 24 -ft street section of this road as it abuts the subject tract.

## Utilities and Engineering Issues

The subject tract currently is situated within Mt. Zion's water district, and it is believed there are not adequate fire flows or capacity to support the proposed development. However, the developer has agreed to participate in a facilities agreement with the City to acquire the right to serve this area, which will be finalized during engineering review/final platting. Development of this tract will require extensions of water and sewer lines to and along the subject tract, as well as installation of a lift station in the northeastern quadrant (i.e. Lot 57, Block C). The Preliminary Utility Layout outlines the proposal; however, the City Engineer has

There being no one further to address the Council, Mayor Jones closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Raulston made a motion to approve the request with Staff recommendations and Councilmember Cotti seconded the motion. The ordinance was read as follows:

> AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT TO ALLOW A TEMPORARY PORTABLE BEVERAGE SERVICE FACILITY ON A TRACT OF LAND KNOWN AS LOTS 4 AND 5, CANUP ADDITION, LOCATED AT 907 S. GOLIAD; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.OD) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

The motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes and 1 absent [King].
f. Z2005-007 - Hold a public hearing and consider approval of an Ordinance a request from Jason Faigle of Kimley-Horn \& Associates to rezone 104.8-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (SF-16) Single Family Residential district, and 16.4-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (NS) Neighborhood Services district. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of FM 1141 and FM 552, and currently described as Tract 2, Abstract 88, J.M. Gass Survey and take any action necessary. [ $1^{\text {st }}$ Reading]

Michael Hampton discussed the background of the request and stated Rob Whittle was the landowner. Mayor Jones opened the public hearing and the following persons came forward to address the Council:

> Jason Faigle (Applicant) and Rob Whittle
> Whittle stated that this will be a custom home community and believes it will be a catalyst for development of the north area.

There being no one further to address the Council, Mayor Jones closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Raulston made a motion to approve the request with Staff recommendations and Councilmember Cecil seconded the motion. The ordinance was read as follows:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM (AG), AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT TO (SF-16) SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT AND (NS), NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICE DISTRICT, ON A TRACT OF LAND CONTAINING 121.2 ACRES AND KNOWN AS TRACT 2, ABSTRACT 88, J.M. GASS SURVEY, AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS EXHIBIT "A"; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

The motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes and 1 absent [King].
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## 1. Call to Order

Mayor Jones called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. Present were Mayor Ken Jones and Councilmembers Bob Cotti, Stephen Straughan, Tim McCallum, Bill Cecil and John King. Councilmember Terry Raulston was absent. Also present were City Manager Julie Couch and City Attorney Pete Eckert. Mayor Jones immediately adjourned the meeting into Executive Session.

## 2. Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance - COUNCiLMEMBER Stephen Straughan

## 3. Proclamations

a. Miss Teen Rockwall - Sabra Davis

## 4. Open Forum

Mayor Jones advised the audience that the floor was open to anyone who wished to address the Council on any subject not on tonight's agenda. The following persons came forward to address the Council:

Linda Jaresh - Spoke about the Ms. Teen Texas competition.
Sam Buffington - Requested that the Southside Coalition Association be put on the next agenda to discuss the land at Davy Crockett \& Ross.

There being no one further to address the Council, Mayor Jones closed the open forum.

## 5. Consent Agenda

a. Consider approval of the Minutes from the March 7, 2005 City Council meeting and take any action necessary.
b. Consider approval of the Minutes from the March 21, 2005 City Council meeting and take any action necessary.
c. Consider approval of the Annual Contract for Street Maintenance Materials and take any action necessary.
d. Consider approval of an Ordinance for a request by Maureen Green (Z2005-009) for a change in zoning from (SF-7) Single-family Residential district to (PD-50) Planned Development No. 50 district on a 0.23 -acre tract being part of Block 20, Amick Addition, situated at 603 North Goliad and take any action necessary. [2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Reading]

[^3]e. Consider approval of an Ordinance for a request from Bill and Glenda Bradshaw (Z2005-011) for a Specific Use Permit to allow for a portable beverage service facility within the (C) Commercial zoning district, on a 0.25 -acre tract located at 907 S . Goliad and take any action necessary. [2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Reading]
f. Consider approval of an Ordinance a request from Jason Faigle of Kimley-Horn \& Associates (Z2005-007) to rezone 104.8-acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (SF-16) Single Family Residential district, and 16.4acres from (Ag) Agricultural district to (NS) Neighborhood Services district. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of FM 1141 and FM 552, and currently described as Tract 2, Abstract 88, J.M. Gass Survey and take any action necessary. [2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Reading]
g. Consider approval of a Facilities Agreement with Jerry Kissick for Ranch Trail Drive and take any action necessary.
h. Consider approval of a Facilities Agreement with Lake Pointe Church for use of Yellowjacket Park and take any action necessary.
i. Consider approval of a Resolution designating the officers for the General Election to be held on May 7, 2005 and take any action necessary.

Councilmember John King requested that Consent Agenda Items 5(a) and (b) be pulled. Councilmember Cotti made a motion to approve the remaining Consent Agenda Items and Councilmember Straughan seconded the motion. The ordinances were read as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 05-08
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM "SF-7" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO "PD-50"; PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT NO. 50 ON A 0.460 -ACRE TRACT KNOWN AS PART OF A, B, \& E, BLOCK 21, AMICK ADDITION; 603 N. GOLIAD STREET AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO; CORRECTING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

## ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{05-15}$

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT TO ALLOW A TEMPORARY PORTABLE BEVERAGE SERVICE FACILITY ON A TRACT OF LAND KNOWN AS LOTS 4 AND 5, CANUP ADDITION, LOCATED AT 907 S. GOLIAD; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CASE MEMO
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745•EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
January 12, 2021
Doug Galloway; Viaduct Development
Z2020-057; Zoning Change (PD-41 \& SF-10 to PD-41)

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development on behalf of GotRocks Properties, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change superseding Specific Use Permit No. 57 (S-57; Ordinance No. 08-39) and changing the zoning from a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses on a 2.96 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A North Lake Shore Daycare Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses and Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Road, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annex by the City Council on June 20, 1959 by Ordinance No. 59-02. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Based on the historic zoning maps from January 3, 1972, the subject property was zoned Single-Family 2 (SF-2) District and Multi-Family 1 (MF-1) District. This zoning map also showed the right-of-way for East Fork Road (called out as N. Alamo Road at the time) bisecting the subject property along the two (2) zoning designations (see Figure 1 below). On December 3, 1973, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 73-52, which changed the southern portion of the subject property -- the portion zoned Multi-Family 1 (MF-1) District -- to Planned Development District 11 (PD-11) for single-family residential land uses. This portion of the subject property remained designated as Planned Development District 11 (PD-11) until April 4, 1994 when the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 94-15 changing the zoning designation to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses (see Figure 2 below). The remainder of the subject property appears to have changed zoning from a Single-Family 2 (SF-2) District to a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District prior to May 16, 1983 according to the historic zoning maps. The right-of-way for East Fork Road (N. Alamo Road) was depicted as bisecting the subject property up until the December 3, 2007 zoning map (see Figure 3 below) where it is shown in its present-day alignment.

FIGURE 1: JANUARY 3, 1972 ZONING MAP


FIGURE 2: APRIL 5, 2005 ZONING MAP


FIGURE 3: DECEMBER 3, 2007 ZONING MAP


RED CIRCLE = SUBJECT PROPERTY
On July 21, 2008, the City Council approved Ordinance No. 08-39 [Specific Use Permit No. S-57; Case No. Z2008-015], which allowed a Daycare Facility (with seven [7] or more children) in a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District. Despite this approval, the project remained inactive until 2016 when the present-day applicant submitted a site plan for a daycare facility [Case No. SP2016-019]. This site plan was approved on October 11, 2016. A subsequent site plan amendment [Case No. SP2019-014]
was approved on May 9, 2017, and a final plat of the property [Case No. P2017-043] was approved on September 5, 2017. Following these approvals, a building permit [BLD2019-0378] was approved and a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) [CO20190118] was issued for the daycare facility on January 30, 2020.

## PURPOSE

On December 18, 2020, the applicant - Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the 2.96 -acre subject property from Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District and Planned Development District 41 (PD41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses. In the applicant's letter, the applicant indicated the intent of the zoning change was to provide two (2) additional pad sites to allow the construction of two (2) office buildings.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property is a 5.006 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 11-2 of the N. Butler Survey, Abstract No. 21), which is zoned Planned Development District 3 (PD-3) for Commercial (C) District land uses. Beyond this is N. Lakeshore Drive, which is identified as a M4D (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is Phase 1 of the Crestview Subdivision, which consists of 38 single-family residential lots on 10.34 -acres. This property is zoned Planned Development District 3 (PD-3) for single-family detached land uses.

South: Directly south of the subject property is East Fork Road, which is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is Phase 3 of the Preserve Subdivision, which consists of 114 single-family residential lots on 60.21-acres. This property is zoned Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is a 9.623 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract $11-3$ of the A, Hanna Survey, Abstract No. 98), which is zoned Planned Development District 3 (PD-3) for Commercial (C) District land uses. This property is currently being operated as an Urban Farm (i.e. Blasé Family Farm) under Specific Use Permit No. S-088 [Ordinance No. 11-44]. Beyond this is a single-family home on a 5.37 -acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 3, Block 1, Blasé Addition), which is zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District.

West: $\quad$ Directly west of the subject property is N. Lakeshore Drive, which is identified as a M4D (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is Phase 3 of the Hillcrest Shores Subdivision, which consists of 110 singlefamily residential lots on 37.40-acres. This property is zoned Planned Development District 11 (PD-11) for SingleFamily 10 (SF-10) District land uses.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

As stated above, the subject property is currently zoned both Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District and Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses. The division of these two (2) zoning designations on the subject property is the general location of the old alignment of East Fork Road (i.e. N. Alamo Road on older maps). When the City changed the alignment of the roadway, the zoning designations were not changed, and eventually a $\sim 10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ daycare facility was constructed on the subject property under a Specific Use Permit (SUP) [Ordinance No. 08-39; S-57]. This land use -- a Daycare with Seven (7) or More Children -- was permitted under both zoning designations by Specific Use Permit (SUP) and did not require the property owner to rezone the property at that time.

When the applicant approached staff about constructing two (2) office buildings on the subject property, staff explained to the applicant that the property needed to be rezoned and that there were two (2) options for the request: [1] remove the portion of the property within Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) and seek a simple General Retail (GR) District zoning classification (this would represent the least restrictive path), or [2] incorporate the 1.46-acres of land zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District
into Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) and reclassifying the 2.96 -acre subject property to allow General Retail (GR) District land uses (this would represent the most restrictive option). With either option Planned Development District 41 (PD41) would have to be amended and all property owners within the Planned Development District would need to be notified. Ultimately, the applicant chose the more restrictive option (i.e. to increase the boundaries of the Planned Development District), and limit the permitted land uses allowed on the subject property to [1] an Office Building, [2] a Medical Office Building, and [3] a Daycare with Seven (7) or More Children.

In addition, the subject property would be subject to the density and dimensional standards permitted for the General Retail (GR) District, and which are as follows:

| Density and Dimensional Requirements | General Retail (GR) District |
| :--- | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | 6,000 SF |
| Minimum Lot Width at Front Building Setback | $60-$ Feet |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $83-$ Feet ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | $15-$ Feet |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback without a Fire Rated Wall | $10-$ Feet |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback with a Fire Rated Wall | $0-$ Feet |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback without a Fire Rated Wall | $10-$ Feet |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback with a Fire Rated Wall | $0-$ Feet |
| Maximum Building Size | 25,000 SF |
| Minimum Distance Between Buildings without a Fire Rated Wall | $15-$ Feet |
| Minimum Distance Between Buildings with a Fire Rated Wall | $0-$ Feet |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | $40 \%$ |
| Maximum Height | $36-$ Feet |

Notes: ${ }^{(1)}$ see the Conformance to the City's Codes section below.
The concept plan submitted by the applicant shows that two (2) additional office buildings will be added to the subject property west of the daycare facility and adjacent to N. Lakeshore Drive. These buildings are depicted as a 3,444 SF building and a 2,545 SF building that will share a common wall and property line. The parking areas, landscaping, and detention area will remain unchanged.

## INFRASTRUCTURE

All public infrastructure required for the applicant's request as depicted on the submitted zoning exhibit was constructed with the adjacent daycare facility.

## CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed Planned Development District conforms to the majority of the City's code requirements; however, it should be noted that the development standards contained within the Planned Development District ordinance deviate from the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) in the following ways:
(1) Minimum Lot Depth. According to the Subsection 07.03, Non-Residential District Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the minimum lot depth for properties in a General Retail (GR) District is 100 -feet. Currently, the lot -- identified as Lot 1 on the zoning exhibit -- is 83.17 -feet in depth.

Staff Response. Staff has included a provision in the proposed draft ordinance that would allow a lot configuration with a minimum depth of 83 -feet; however, the approval of this request is discretionary to the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the Land Use Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the North Lakeshore District and is designated for Commercial/Retail land uses on the Future Land Use Plan. Based on the applicant's request, the Commercial/Retail designation is an appropriate designation for the subject property and
no changes to the Future Land Use Plan would be required (i.e. the applicant's request conforms to the Future Land Use Plan designation). In addition, according to the District Strategies for this district, "(t)he commercial in this district is intended to support the existing residential subdivisions and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures." In this case, the applicant's proposal of allowing General Retail (GR) District land uses is an appropriate transition from the property zoned Commercial (C) District directly north of the subject property to the residential areas south of the subject property; however, this request is a discretionary call for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On December 19, 2020, staff mailed 756 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also sent a notice to the Shores on Lake Ray Hubbard, Lakeview Summit, Caruth Lakes, and the Hillcrest at the Shores Homeowner's Associations (HOA's), which are the only HOA's or Neighborhood Organizations within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received the following:
(1) 16 property owner notifications, one (1) online Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form, and one (1) email from property owners within the notification area (i.e. within the 500 -foot buffer) opposed to the applicant's request; however, staff should point out that several of these notices site an opposition a land use not being proposed with this case (i.e. retail and multifamily).
(2) One (1) email from a property owner within the notification area (i.e. within the 500 -foot buffer) stating no objection to the applicant's request.
(3) Two (2) emails and one (1) online Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form from property owners outside of the notification area (i.e. outside of the 500 -foot buffer) opposed to the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District and Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) to Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District to a Planned Development District for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the conditions contained in the Planned Development District ordinance;
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this zoning change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY

PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat (\$100.00 + \$15.00 Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Replat $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat (\$150.00)
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request (\$100.00)
Site Plan Application Fees:
[ ] Site Plan (\$250.00 + \$20.00 Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

## Zoning Application Fees:

[x] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]



ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACt/original signatures are required]


## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ [Owner] the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following:
"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of \$...244.40 , to cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the 18th day of December__ , 20 20_ By signing this application, I agree that the City of Rockwall (i.e. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public information."




City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
(

Case Number:
Z2020-057
Case Name:
Zoning Change from PD-41 \& SF-10 to PD-41
Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address: NEC of E. Fork Drive and N. Lakeshore Drive

Date Created: 12/19/2020


## Miller, Ryan

| From: | Gamez, Angelica |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, December 22, 2020 12:13 PM |
| Cc: | Miller, Ryan; Gonzales, David; Lee, Henry |
| Subject: | Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2020-057] |
| Attachments: | Public Notice (12.21.2020).pdf; HOA Map (12.19.2020).pdf |

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on December 25, 2020. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website:
https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

## Z2020-057 Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development on behalf of GotRocks Properties, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change superseding Specific Use Permit No. 57 (S-57; Ordinance No. 08-39) and changing the zoning from a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for General Retail (GR) District land uses on a 2.96-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A North Lake Shore Daycare Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Park/Greenbelt land uses and Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Road, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

## Angelica Gamez

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com


LOFLAND WILLIAM B
1 TOPSIDE LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MATHIAS ERIC J \& ROBIN A
1011 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087


HERNANDEZ JORGE A AND MILDRED AMARILIS CHACON
1028 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OLEARY KENNETH F \& TERRI A
1036 HILLCREST CIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PONCINIE ERIC AND TRACI
1044 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LOFLAND WILLIAM B
105 E KAUFMAN ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PYBURN WESTON \& SUZANNE V 1066 WOODHAVEN CR ROCKWALL, TX 75087


MORRIS MORGAN LJR AND JANETT E 1106 ASPEN COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DONAHOE JOHN M \& KATHRINE E
1001 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAUCHMAN NANCY 1019 WOODHAVEN CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HAUER MELVIN C \& VIRGINIA L 1020 WOODHAVEN CIRCLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLIAMS CHARLES \& KRISTEN 1029 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUMPHREY MICHAEL D \& SAMANTHA 1037 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SIMPSON NEIL 1045 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KUPTZ PAUL 1052 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CURANOVIC JOHN
109 ELM CREST DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WEBER VERNA M
1104 ASPEN CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOUSER GERALD M \& SHERYL
1108 ASPEN CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SNEAD GENE T ETUX 102 WAGON WHEEL LN WYLIE, TX 75098

FAGNER JOHN \& EILEEN 1021 HILLCREST CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CORRIGAN DERRICK \& STEFANIE 1030 WOODHAVEN CIRCLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## GOCHINAS GREGORY F \& MARY D

 1038 WOODHAVEN CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
## WOOD KAREN 1046 WOODHAVEN CIRCLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAMIREZ RAYNALDO J 1054 WOODHAVEN CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087


CHU CHUNHSIN AND KOYI CHOU CHU 1105 SHORES BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MILLICAN GARY \& TINA
1110 ASPEN CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PHILLIPS HUBERT SYVELLE \& LOVIE E REV LIV TR
LOVIE E PHILLIPS TRUSTEE
1110 VAIL CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CARD KIMBERLY \& JONATHAN
1114 ASPEN CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL
1116 ASPEN CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALTAMAR LINA 1120 VAIL CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TROMBINI SOLANGE L \& ENRICO 1155 SHORES BOULEVARD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JEFFUS JUSTIN AND ERIN 1214 E FORK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LU LISA YUMEI 1225 LADY DE VANCE LN LEWISVILLE, TX 75056

PATMAN RALPH DON
1250 HIGHLAND DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL
1251 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDERSON CARLOS G AND LAURA K 1252 PETALUMA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DICKERSON RONALD O \& SHERILYN M
1112 ASPEN CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MOORE GEORGE W II REVOCABLE TRUST AND JEANNE A RICHESON
1114 VAIL COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MOORE DAVID Y \& BEVERLY J
1116 VAIL CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TEAT SHANNON NEAL 1125 SHORES BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HPA JV TEXAS SUBSIDIARY 2019-1 ML LLC 120 S RIVERSIDE PLZ STE 2000 CHICAGO, IL 60606

BLASE CHRISTOPHER \& JILL
1220 E FORK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANZAI BYRON H 1239 MISSION DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOVENKERK JAMES E JR 1251 ANTIOCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VINSON DON \& GABRIEL
1251 STANFORD DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STANFORD DRIVE 1252 LAND TRUST KATHRYN BALL TRUSTEE 1252 STANFORD DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAJICH MIKE AND GRETCHEN M
1112 VAIL CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SITES SANDRA 1115 SHORES BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087


JARED LANDON CAIN 2005 TRUST 1150 CRESTCOVE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HPA TEXAS SUB 2018-1 ML LLC 120 S RIVERSIDE SUITE 2000 CHICAGO, IL 60606

BREC ENTERPRISES LLC 1220 E FORK DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUX MARK 1245 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TALLEY BLANCA JESSENIA AND JACOB 1251 HIGHLAND DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALFORD THOMAS \& SUE 1252 ANTIOCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BRANKS JEREMY B \& JENNIFER A 1256 HIGHLAND DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PATTERSON DITALLIANNA \& OLUGBEMILEKE SHITTU
1257 ANTIOCH DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PERKINS BLAKE JORDAN AND JAIME ADAIR 1257 STANFORD DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MILLER SHIRLEY C 1258 STANFORD DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KIEU THERESA AND
DAT TIEN DINH
1261 HIGHLAND DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## AMERICAN HOMES 4 RENT PROPERTIES EIGHT <br> LLC <br> 1263 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COMRADD ROBERT AND DANA
1264 HIGHLAND DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARRIS CYNTHIA ANN 1266 CALISTOGA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAHROUMI MOHAMMAD AND PARISA
SHAHBAZI
MANOCHEHR KIANPOUR
1269 MISSION DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

POTTS JOSEPH \& KELSEY 1270 STANFORD DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PHAM TONY T AND

 IRENE M YEO 1275 ANTIOCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087WASLIEN A WAYNE \& RHONDA D 1257 HIGHLAND DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ADAMS CHRISTOPHER H \& JODY E
1258 ANTIOCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PELLERIN DAVID P \& JODY J 1260 CALISTOGA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FLORES WILLIAM NELSON 1262 PETALUMA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## KEES MATTHEW AND LYDIA 1263 STANFORD DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087



OLAN ROD A \& AMY C 1266 PETALUMA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AMH 2014-2 BORROWER LLC 1269 STANFORD DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RWC HILLCREST SHORES THREE HOMEOWNERS ASSOC INC
C/O PRINCIPAL MANAGEMENT GROUP 12700 PARK CENTRAL DRIVE, SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75251

JAMES DAVID AND SARAH A 1275 CALISTOGA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SWIFT JEREMY DAVID 1258 PETALUMA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILSON NICKE \& CONROY CHRISTOPHER<br>1260 HIGHLAND DR<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LU LISA YUMEI
1263 ANTIOCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAZAIMEH MAHMOUD OMAR 1264 ANTIOCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUTCHINSON RANDI F 1265 HIGHLAND DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AMERICAN RESIDENTIAL LEASING COMPANY LLC 1269 ANTIOCHDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TINKLE JEFFREY H \& TAMMY L
1270 ANTIOCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FORBIS WILLIAM RUSSELL III \& LYNN
1272 CALISTOGA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALSER JERRY B \& DEBBIE K 1275 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FRENCH RENEE J
1275 STANFORD DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEDMAN ALICIA R
1276 ANTIOCH DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TEMPLETON ROBERT WILLIAM AND MARILINE
ANDEME NGUA
128 SALINAS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHNSON RONALD C \& DIXIE S
1282 SALINAS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WATSON STEPHEN BROOKS \& HARRIET S
1287 CALISTOGA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HILL JAMES A \& JANE M 1290 CALISTOGA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAYES LAKISHA 1294 SALINAS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> TUCKER PAUL A \& KIM M 1300 SALINAS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087


MUNCY JILL S 1407 WILLOW LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DONOGHUE MICHAEL J AND DANA
1409 WILLOW LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

REED BEVERLY 1276 STANFORD DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SAMANTA SANTANU
1278 CALISTOGADR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOODENPYLE PAMELA JEAN 1281 MISSION DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ELLIOTT JAMES J \& NANCY J 1284 CALISTOGA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TEMPLETON ROBERT WILLIAM AND MARILINE
ANDEME NGUA
1288 SALINASDR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUNT ROBERT 1293 SALINAS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MORTADA FOUAD A
HIBA ABDALLAH
1299 SALINAS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALLIS D
1325 PETALUMA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROHLF MICHAEL G AND BEVERLY J
1406 WILLOW LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHMITT JEFF AND TAMMI
1408 WILLOW LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARQUEZ IRMA AND NIEVES MARQUEZ 1281 CALISTOGA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCCOY ELI 12838 W HEMINGWAY DR SAN FERNANDO, CA 91340

PRENSA MANUEL ALEJANDRO
1287 SALINAS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAYES SHANE AND SARAH
1293 CALISTOGA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL
1296 CALISTOGA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SIVERTSON JAMES CHARLES \& CHARLOTTE KAY
1305 SALINAS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GREGORY GORDON L AND DEBRAH G 1405 WILLOW LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VANDERSLICE R D AND LYNN 1408 S LAKESHORE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SUMRALL CYNTHIA A AND DONNA L PRUNTY 1411 WILLOW LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KOVACS NICOLLE A
1413 WILLOW LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HALL RALPH H AND
BRETT A HALL AND J BLAKEKEY HALL
1500 SUNSET HILLDR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

REINHARDT DAVID WAYNE \& ALYSON SUE
1567 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OWEN DAVE M AND COLLEEN M 1573 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALVARADO GARY AND MARIA 1578 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CRESPO WIMPER A AND WENDY 1580 EDMONDSON TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STANLEY ROBERT WAYNE II AND CHERYL RAE 1581 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALDEN ALFRED F 1583 EDMONDSON TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## WHITE TODD E AND PAIGE LEIGH

1584 NORTH HILLS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILKOWSKI MATTHEW AND CATHERINE
1586 EDMONDSON TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALSER JERRY B \& DEBBIE K
1450 ASHBOURNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DOUBLE T VENTURES LLC 1500 S KREYMER LN WYLIE, TX 75098

## COBLE JOHN D DR \& PATRICIA P

1501 SUNSET HILL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAUER SCOTT J AND CARLA G
1569 NORTH HILLS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BACH DAVID M AND BETHANY N
1575 NORTH HILLS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## MACDONALD AMY A AND MICHAEL C <br> 1579 EDMONDSON TR <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COOPER TRAVIS AND MICHELLE
1580 NORTH HILLS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HURLEY CLINT \& PAIGE NICOLE
1582 EDMONDSON TR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ORTIZ MIGUEL \& CIARA
1583 N HILLS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COSKUN HASAN \& SIBEL COSKUN
1585 EDMONDSON TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STEWART CANDY MARIE \& BENJAMIN EARL
1586 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHANEY CHARLES \& PAMELA
1571 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MASLO PAUL B AND MIHUI LAURA KIM 1577 EDMONDSON TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> MCCLENDON PAULA S 1579 N HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PENNINGTON MICHAEL AND IVY R 1581 EDMONDSON TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BUCKNER GARY
1582 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087
MA KIM H
1584 EDMONDSON TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALDRICH KEVIN JAMES \& DOTTIE R
1585 NORTH HILLS DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OWEN ALLEN J \& JESICA L 1587 EDMONDSON TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

| ORTIZ JESUS AND CINDY 1587 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | BEAUBIEN ALAN AND <br> REVI MENASCHE <br> 1588 NORTH HILLSDR <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | BANAN SAEED \& SANDRE 1588 SUNSET HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUKTAR JEMIL M 1589 EDMONDSON TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | ANDERSON JAMES R \& E GAY 1589 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | MITCHELL HEIDI M AND AARON A 1590 EDMONDSON TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| KELLY JAMES D \& SARA SOLIS 1590 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | ANDERSON JASON \& KELLY 1590 SUNSET HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | CRUZ JUAN G AND MARIA D 1591 EDMONDSON TRACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| PARAMOUNT LAURELS LLC 1591 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | BALLI EMILY \& DAVID 1592 EDMONDSON TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | FIDGER REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST <br> BRIAN FIDGER - TRUSTEE 1592 NORTH HILLS ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| MCCOY ELI 1593 EDMONDSON TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | FRAGA JAVIER SANTOS 1593 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | SANDERS JERRY W \& MARINA 1594 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| GROOVER ANTHONY AND DONNA MARRIE 1595 EDMONDSON TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | GONZALES JAMES E \& DEENA L 1595 HAVEN HILL CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | SAMRA ISSAM F \& REEM M ABOU-SAMRA 1595 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| LAGRANGE DONALD AND AMY C 1596 EDMONDSON TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | LUSTIK KENNETH \& ROBERT HOPSON 1596 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | JONES LALANII 1597 EDMONDSON TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| SHEEHAN JAMES C \& JULIA 1597 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | RUSSELL ALAN DUANE 1598 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | COWAN JOHN CAMERON AND KATHLEEN VANWAGNER 1599 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| CHESMAR HOMES LLC 1600 N COLLINS SUITE 1400 RICHARDSON, TX 75080 | JONES JOHNNY DEWAYNE \& MARTHA A 1600 N LAKESHORE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | TUNKS TERRY AND ELIZABETH 1601 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| SAMPSON DEAN \& BARBARA KELLUM 1602 MONTCLAIR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | LAZY DALE PARTNERS LP 1602 NORTH HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | CONFIDENTIAL 1603 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |

```
PHILIPS PAUL AND PATRA M
1604 MONTCLAIR ST
ROCKWALL, TX }7508
```

JISTEL MICHAEL \& SABRA 1606 MONTCLAIR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONNALLY DAVID \& VICKIE 1608 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HONEYCUTT CLINTON R \& DAWN C 1625 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
FOSTER DAVID L AND VICKI H
    1655 CRESTHILL DRIVE
    ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

ELY JAMES 1670 PARK VIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARRETT DAVID W \& JULIE L 1680 LAKE BROOK CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EFENEY W MICHAEL JR \& AMY LYNN 1685 LAKE BROOK CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EDWARDS DENISE 1690 LAKE FOREST DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DELLINGER TRUST
MARVIN L DELLINGER AND ELAINE S DELLINGER CO-TRUSTEES
1695 LAKE BROOKCIR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KLUTTS BEN A JR \& JULIE C 1604 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CLARK LUTHER A ETUX 1605 N HILLS DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
WATSON MATTHEW W \& JAMIE D 1606 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CONFIDENTIAL

 1610 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087BARBAY TERRY \& ZELENA 1635 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELCEVIC DRAGOS \& DENIE 1665 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PYLE JERRY W \& JUDY K 1675 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CATLETTJAMES W \& PATRICIA A TRUSTEES JAMES W \& PATRICIA A CATLETT REV LVING TRUST 1680 PARK VIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PRODAHL DALE A \& KAREN S 1685 PLUMMER DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CROWELL DANIEL \& CYNTHIA N 1690 PARK VIEW DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STARR RICHARD AND KATRINA STARR 1607 NORTH HILLS DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROBERTS KYLE L \& SUNDEE L 1615 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WESLEY RANDALL \& CYNTHIA 1645 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KOLLEHNER STEVEN AND AMY 1670 LAKE BROOK CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087


MALCHEV CHARLES G \& IVANKA 1685 CRESTHILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FORINASH JONATHAN 1690 LAKE BROOK CR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PRODAHL DALE A \& KAREN S 1695 CRESTHILLDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENRIQUEZ ERICK J ASHLEY NICOLE ONEY 1700 CRESTHILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087


SIMS DAVID E AND ANITA L 1704 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## GOODWIN FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST

1705 CRESTHILL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HEATHINGTON ERNESTINE
1710 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VAUGHN STEPHEN MICHAEL
1712 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COTTON JAMES THOMAS AND AMYE LYN 1715 CRESTHILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEDDIE STACIE
1720 LAKE BREEZE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HIETBRINK BERNARD D \& MELISSA L 1725 BAY WATCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VILLARREAL GLORIA M \& JOSE C<br>1727 BAY HILLDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HIGGINS BRADLEY STEPHEN AND
AMANDA JO BURT HIGGINS 1730 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HURST RICK \& KIM
1700 LAKE FOREST DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LYNCH CHRISTOPHER J
1705 BAY WATCH DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MANCUSO TYLER J \& TAMMY B
1705 LAKE FOREST DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEARING JAMES AND KANESHA
1710 LAKE FOREST DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHITAKER MARTIN \& DORIS
1715 BAY WATCH DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TAYLOR MICHAEL JOSEPH JR AND HEATHER L
1717 LAKE BREEZE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PRISOCK ANGELA RENEE
1720 LAKE FOREST DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BENS MARK S \& JOANNA N 1725 CRESTHILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KETON JAMES H \& JEANNETTE S
1727 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KIM SEONG CHEOL \& HEE SOOK 1730 LAKE FOREST DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHNSON CLARENCE R \& CASANDRA L
1704 BAY WATCH DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RYAN JEFFREY \& DARLA 1705 BAYHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL 1707 LAKE BREEZE DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PAULSEN LOGAN \& BRANDI A 1712 BAY WATCH DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087



WHIPPLE JOHN \& COLLEEN 1720 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KEETON KENNETH W \& REBECCA
1722 BAY WATCH DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NORRIS TRENT \& MARY K 1725 LAKE FOREST DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SATRIO FAMILY LIVING TRUST
1730 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BREEN ROBERT AND LAURA
1732 BAY WATCHDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHALIN GREGORY L \& TERRI B 1733 BAY WATCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LOCKWOOD CHARLES DOUGLAS
1737 BAYHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JubBS LAJUAN C 1740 BAY WATCH DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GEHRING CAROLYN S 1745 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KLECHA MARK AND ANGELA 1748 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LOWNDES ROBERT 1763 BAYHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SAMANTA SANTANU 18610 SHANGRI LA DR CANYON COUNTRY, CA 91351

VANILLA BEAN PROPERTIES, SERIES 5 LLC
2 MANOR COURT
HEATH, TX 75032

PRITCHETT JOHNETTA
206 W HEATH ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TETLEY GEOFFREY \& PAULA SCRUBBS TETLEY 1735 CRESTHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOWEN RONALD L \& DEANNA K 1737 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ULAND HARRY E \& JERI M 1740 LAKE FOREST DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARTIN DONALD
1745 LAKE FOREST DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

THOMPSON RONALD G \& CAROLYN E 1755 BAYHILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BARNETT JOSEPH RODNEY \& LADONNA 1855 HIDDEN HILLS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GOTROCKS PROPERTIES LLC ATTN: GENE COOPER, MANAGER 1940 N LAKESHORE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAZY DALE PARTNERS LP 202 N SAN JACINTO ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HALL RALPH H AND BRETT A HALL AND J BLAKEKEY HALL 207 EAST RUSK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES DOSVILLE 208 W HEATH ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MARSHALL JOHN \& HEATHER 1735 LAKE FOREST DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BYROM JOHNNY R \& LYNETTE 1738 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANZONE FAMILY LIVING TRUST CHRIS A LANZONE AND DIANE M LANZONETRUSTEES 1741 BAY WATCH DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PHILLIPS JACOB AND CAREEN NAKHLEH 1747 BAYHILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BARKER MARK A 1755 LAKE BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLALOCK DAVID PAUL
1859 KELLY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## 917 PROPERTIES LLC 2 MANOR COURT HEATH, TX 75032

## CASTRO MICHAEL AND RENE

 204 W HEATH ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087ADAMS JAMES \& DEBBIE 208 SUMMIT RIDGE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FIRST TEXAS HOMES INC 2221 E LAMAR BLVD STE 840 ARLINGTON, TX 76006

MASTER DEVELOPERS-SNB LLC
2400 DALLAS PARKWAY SUITE 560 PLANO, TX 75093

THE SHORES ON LAKE RAY HUBBARD OWNERS ASSOCIATION INC 2650 CHAMPIONS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES WILLIAM P AND DEBRA L STODDARDJONES 301 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SMITH PEG PANNELL 3021 RIDGE RD \#A-155 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

DOOLEY MORRIS D. \& CAROL 305 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AMERICAN HOMES 4 RENT PROPERTIES EIGHT LLC
30601 AGOURA RD SUITE 200 AGOURA HILLS, CA 91301

FALLS DAVID C \& TERRI L
309 ROOKERY CT MARCO ISLAND, FL 34145

HARROD JAMES G 311 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAKER JAY HENRY 314 SHEPARDS HILLDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

METOYER GREGORY K AND FRANCES M 317 COOPER STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CHU CHUNHSIN AND KOYI CHOU CHU 2678 TREVOR PKWY PLEASANTON, CA 94588

BANKS-KNIGHTEN STACY RENEE \& CLARENCE EDWARD JR 302 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SNEAD GENE T ETUX 303 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HINCKLEY NANCY L 306 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHUENEMAN ZACHARI 307 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TENNER COLLEEN
309 SHEPARDS HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STOKES HAROLD W JR 312 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BUMELIS ANTHONY A \& MELISSA L
315 SHEPARDS HILL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FUEHRER RICHARD W \& LISA 317 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AUSTIN MICHAEL CAIN 2005 TRUST 301 COOPER COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VICK JOHN C AND ANDREA E 302 SHEPARDS HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHITE PAULA LYNN 304 SHEPHARDS HILL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AMH 2014-2 BORROWER LLC 30601 AGOURA RD SUITE 200 AGOURA HILLS, CA 91301


WILLIAMSON KIRBY E \& KASIE L 310 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCAFEE TED N/GAYLE B 313 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLALOCK DAVID PAUL 316 SHEPARDS HILLDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WEAST BRIAN J AND CYNTHIA C BROWN 318 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WEBER MARY JANE
318 COOPER CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LOSEKE GREGORY 319 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COLVIN ANDREW CODY 322 SHEPARDS HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRAVES JILL J AND WILLIAM J 325 SHEPARDS HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BROWN AILEEN S 328 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LENSCH ELIZABETH 331 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PESTA DAVID M AND LYNDA M 334 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PARANJAPE SHARADCHANDRA V AND SUSHAMA

3630 VANOUVER DR
DALLAS, TX 75229
LINGAMANENI LAKSHIMIKALA
401 ARCADIA WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STRIFLER SHERRI AND DONALD 404 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087


BURNS TOMMY AND DOREEN 320 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHNSON CHARLES AND STEPHANIE 323 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GREEN SUSAN MELANIE \& KYLE B 326 SHEPARDS HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RILEY TERRI L
329 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ARROYAVE INES M 332 SHEPARDS HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PESTA DAVID \& LYNDA
334 SHEPARDS HILL DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DONAHOE JOHN M \& KATHRINE E 38 DEWEY PLACER DR BRECKENRIDGE, CO 80424

LAKEVIEW SUMMIT HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION
C/O VCM, INC. 401 MONTERREYDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ERSKINE HUGH DWIGHT AND DIANNE ELIZABETH 404 CENTENARY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DANG YUNKUN \& ZILAI ZHANG
319 LOS ALTOS DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAYDEN ROBERT M ET UX 321 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PESTA DAVID M AND LYNDA M

 324 SHEPARDS HILLDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087WARD MATTHEW D AND KARI N 327 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VALENZUELA LINETTA 330 SHEPARDS HILL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOLER RICKY D JR AND NANCY L GUTIERREZ 334 COOPER COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JARED LANDON CAIN 2005 TRUST
348 COOPER CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAKEVIEW SUMMIT HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION
C/O VCM, INC. 395 MONTERREYDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STRONG ASHTON MARIE AND JEFFREY BRIAN 402 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RHIESE ENTERPRISES LLC 405 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BULLOCK HAROLD AND HEATHER<br>405 CENTENARY LANE<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLIAMS HORACE LEE JR
411 VALLEY DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GWELLEM VALENTINE AND FELICITAS<br>417 ARCADIA WAY<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MORRIS CHERYL A 418 E COACHLIGHT TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ADAMSON CHRISTOPHER D \& DANA 412 DRIFTWOOD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAKEVIEW SUMMIT HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION C/O VCM, INC. 417 MONTERREYDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TRAINER JOSEPH CARTER III AND MELISSA ANN 418 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DYER LELA A
420 E COACHLIGHT TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOLMSTROM JAMES CHRISTIAN AND LAUREN
MAY
422 E COACHLIGHT TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVENPORT RENTAL PROPERTIES - SERIES 426
COACHLIGHT TRAIL
426 COACHLIGHTTRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

REININGER DAVID W \& ELLEN
430 COACHLIGHTTRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LIFE SPRING CHURCH
433 SOUTHWESTERN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LEWIS PETRINA AND JAMES V
434 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## LAKEVIEW SUMMIT HOMEOWNERS

MCCREARY LYN 410 DRIFTWOOD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ASSOCIATION
C/O VCM, INC.
411 MONTERREY DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SCHAIBLY CURTIS 416 E COACHLIGHT TRAIL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GAMARA JEFFREY AND ERIN 418 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MORENO WILFRED AND VENUS D 420 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CANNON CORY A AND EVA M<br>421 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAUVAIS CLIFFORD AND JACQUELINE 423 MONTEREY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PRATER SAMUEL LEE AND LAUREN NICOLE
428 COACHLIGHT TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SATRIO FAMILY LIVING TRUST
4309 WASKOM DR
PLANO, TX 75024
WHITE JASON AND CATHRINE
433 ARCADIA WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWELL RONALD \& MICHELE
434 JORDAN FARM CIRCLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
CASTRO BRANDON T AND KRISTINA
4 3 6 \text { BEDFORD FALLS LANE}
ROCKWALL, TX }7508
```

FOSTER EUGENE AND CAROLYN 436 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MOELLER DEBORAH \& MARK C
441 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GASHI VALON \& VLORA GLLAREVA 449 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PATTERSON KYLE WESTON AND SHILOH
450 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RHIESE ANDREAS 453 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GOODWIN FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST 4559 LANDEROS AVE LA VERNE, CA 91750 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LEWIS CORAL SUE 465 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARCIA CRAIG R \& LORENA
466 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CLAYTON DAMEON AND TYRA
468 CENTENARY LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
SWAN DANIEL AND CAMILLE
    4 7 1 ~ M O N T E R E Y ~ D R ~
    ROCKWALL, TX }7508
```

471 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NICHOLSON DRUE E III \& NANCY R 465 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MORROW JEFFREY SCOTT \& CHRISTY DAWN 466 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75089

COX FAMILY TRUST
WENDELL J COX AND SHANA BECTON COXTRUSTEES
469 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVENPORT RENTAL PROPERTIES - SERIES 426
COACHLIGHT TRAIL
474 KEYSTONE BEND
HEATH, TX 75032

CACERES HECTOR U AND MERICYL D 437 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENRY MATTHEW C
447 MONTEREYDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FOOTE RUSSELL KIRK LINDSAY POW THORPE
450 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CUPITO ALISON AND CHRISTOPHER K 452 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARZA GARYL L AND TRACY H 453 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ARCENEAUX HOWARD \& SALLY 459 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## WYNNE MATTHEW \& SAMANTHA 465 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCONNOR ROBERT AND KERRI 468 BEDFORD FALLS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PARANJAPE SHARADCHANDRA V AND SUSHAMA S
469 CENTENARYLN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TRAN HOA T AND
HAI M PHAM 477 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HODGES PATRICK LEE AND TERESA ANN<br>481 ARCADIA WAY<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHESMAR HOMES DFW LTD 481 SOUTHWESTERNDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KIM JAMES I \& MELISSA E 483 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## PATEL YOGESH AND MITALBEN Y 485 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VILLARREAL GLORIA M \& JOSE C
4914 W 106TH ST OAK LAWN, IL 60453

## 489 MONTEREY DRIVE

ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DORN DANIEL LAWRENCE AND LOURDES MARIA BUSH 500 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ONEAL LARRY B AND TRACIE 501 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## LASTER STEVEN D AND CYNTHIS G RICHARDSON 503 BEDFORD FALLS LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWELL RONALD \& MICHELE 505 WILDWOODTERRACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DURAN SAMUEL T \& LINDA M 507 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BIFFLE SUZANNE M 511 CARRIAGE TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FIRST TEXAS HOMES INC 500 CRESCENT COURT SUITE 350 DALLAS, TX 75201

NERGER JONATHAN JEFFREY AND SARA
ELIZABETH
502 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PARRA-LOZA JOSE IGNACIO 503 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HLADKY LAUREN ASHLEY AND CALEB DALTON
506 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## ALLEN TRACY 508 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087



MCANGUS JODIE AND ROBERT 502 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NASH M CALVIN ETUX 504 WILDWOOD TER ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HICKERSON JON D 506 WILDWOOD TER ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL 509 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087


CONFIDENTIAL 512 HIGHVIEW LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EDET ROSE 514 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PATEL AMAR C AND HETAL AMAR 515 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FIRST TEXAS HOMES INC 516 SOUTHWESTERNDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES LAVITA DIANNE 528 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GEORGEI REHAM N AND EHAB YOUSSEF 529 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENNARD STACEY AND DARREN 531 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MASON ATOYA L AND LONDON JERMAINE 542 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAMIREZ RAY AND VALERIE
544 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## MCDERMOTT JOHN MICHAEL AND KAREN MURRAY <br> 545 CENTENARY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FITZGERALD PATRICK \& NICOLE
513 CARRIAGE TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHANNON SETH R
514 HIGHVIEW LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SHERARD SCOTT THOMAS AND CATHERINE ANN <br> 516 ARCADIA WAY <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## GASHI EMRUSH AND MIRADIJE 517 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DUNLAP BRIAN AND MICHELLE
528 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STEWART MATTHEW C AND KIMBERLY KAY 530 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHEPARD ALVIN K AND JENNIFER A
531 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLIAMS BRANDON B AND TERRI L
543 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FAKHRI MOHAMMED F 544 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDERSON STEVEN K AND HEATHER 556 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MILLER BENJAMIN MICHAEL AND SHANNA 514 BEDFORD FALLS LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BENSON TODD EVAN JR AND ANSLEY ELIZABETH<br>515 ARCADIA WAY<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BASSELIN TIMOTHY J \& ROBIN M 516 HIGHVIEW LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHHABRA MANOHAR AND NEELAM 517 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HICKS NICOLE AND WILLIE<br>529 ARCADIA WAY<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FIRST TEXAS HOMES INC 530 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHESMAR HOMES LLC 542 BEDFORD FALLS LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHESMAR HOMES LLC 543 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KONIECZNY MICHAEL EDWARD AND CARINE RICE-KONIECZNY
545 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAULADAD MUNAL AND NICHOLA WATTS 556 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WOMACK LAWRENCE JAMES AND SHIRLEY ANN
557 ARCADIA WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BODIN CHARLOTTE WILSON
559 CENTENARYLN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SAMPLE CRAIG ALLEN AND MISTY MICHELLE
557 SOUTHWESTERN DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PAYNE TIMOTHY D 560 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCLEROY JIMMY D AND AMY W 571 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BROCK CHRISTOPHER JOHN AND LEASHA KAROL 572 CENTENARY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> JOHNSON SEAN AND BRITTNEY
> 574 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHUBAT LEE-MING AND THEODORE 586 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HASTON JAMES C AND KATHRYN G 588 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RIDDLE STEPHEN \& DANIELLE 596 BEDFORD FALLS LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SUMMERS JEFFREY TODD AND EDEN LYNN 599 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAUREA PETER A AND BRENDA M 601 HIGHVIEW LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
HENDRICKS JAMES AND BRENDA 559 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

WICH PAUL HENRY AND LAINE QUENBY
STRUSIS-WICH 560 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN ZACHARY D AND ANGELA 571 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GASHI VALON AND
VLORA GLLAREVA 573 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## LAKEVIEW SUMMIT HOMEOWNERS

 ASSOCIATION C/O VCM, INC. 5757 ALPHA ROAD SUITE 680 DALLAS, TX 75240ROHLF DAVID E 587 BEDFORD FALLS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HEFNER STEPHEN MARK 593 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## MARTINEZ AMY J AND GILBERT 596 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CAMACHO ADAM J AND MARIA S <br> 600 HIGHVIEW LN <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TIREVOLD TODD R \& SHARI L 601 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARLESS TY \& SHELLY
602 ARCADIA WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HEAD ACE AND LOIS
603 HIGHVIEW LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SCHMIDT CARA D AND JUSTIN C <br> 606 ARCADIA WAY <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELL ZACHARY A AND ELIZABEH H
608 WILDWOOD LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES DONALD R \& JANE YOUNG JONES
610 HIGHLAND DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOAG TRUST
BRIAN A \& MICHELE D HOAG TRUSTEES 611 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLAKELY JAMES H AND TRACY E<br>613 SOUTHWESTERN<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALLISD
615 HIGHLANDDR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
EDSALL PATRICK J \& SUSAN E 617 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

DICKINSON SHANE \& SARA 619 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SMILEY THOMAS S \& LYDIA DENISE
602 HIGHVIEW
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KENDALL DANIEL \& JESSICA 604 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURRAY JAMES \& VIRGINIA 606 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NOLAN KRISTIN N 609 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DANG YUNKUN \& ZILAI ZHANG 6109 WINSTEAD DR PLANO, TX 75024

SULLIVAN JAMIE LEANNE 612 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SANBORN MARK D \& STEHANIE N 614 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHERIDAN EDMUND M \& ALYSE L
615 SOUTHWESTERN DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LeE SEUNG WON AND HEE YEON RHEE 617 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHNSTON LYENDA 620 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HANSARD STANLEY E ETUX DALE 602 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROONEY CATHERINE 605 HIGHVIEW LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARRIS ROBERT G AND JANICE L 608 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OLORTEGUI CLAUDIA MARINA 610 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SENS GREGORY B AND
MILTON A SENS
611 MONTEREY DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BEKDASH HANI \& MARITZA
612 HIGHLAND DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAKER JAY HENRY 614 KNOLLWOOD DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARLAND PAMELA M \& MARK 616 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DRAPER CASEY AND LINDSAY 618 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANGLEY RONALD AND SHERI TILLMAN 621 SOUTHWESTERN DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BYRD CHRISTOPHER A \& CARRIE E
622 ARCADIA WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KLEINHEKSEL TERRY A \& BETSY A
623 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HERRERA JOSE G \& ASHLEIGH R 624 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
BARKER MARION CARLISLE JR AND DENISE JIMENEZ
626 ARCADIA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

QUAGLIANA DOUGLAS 628 ARCADIA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDERSON LEONARD S II \& ANA 630 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEVILLIER JENNIFER L AND BARCY C 632 ARACADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HODGES SEAN AND STEPHANIE 635 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KNACK DANIEL ROBERT AND ASHLEE NICOLE 638 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
ANDERSON MARK D \& TONYA R 643 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

SMITH DOUGLAS S \& ROBYN R 645 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MOORE RICHARD C \& MICHELLE A 623 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NICHOLL JONATHAN CHRISTOPHER AND SUSAN FRANCE
625 ARCADIA WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GROENING WAYNE LEWIS \& JANET LYNN 627 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAMPBELL DUNCAN AND TIFFANY 2012 REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST 629 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FITHIAN GRANT AND ALLISON 631 ARACADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## GLASS STEVEN R AND KRISTINE E <br> 633 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> CHESMAR HOMES LLC
> 636 ARCADIAWAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

THOMPSON BRUCE DEAN AND ARDIS ELIZABETH 639 ARCADIA WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CHAW GLORY AND TIMOTHY AULBAUGH 643 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDSON GINA AND HERMAN LJR 645 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087
SOUDERS BRANDON ROBERT AND ASHLIE
ELIZABETH
646 GILLON WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAMOS ALFREDO
647 GILLON WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
ROBERTS ERICA AND TASLOW D 648 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087
WHITE CATHY M AND ROBERT L
649 GILLON WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
GRAVES CASEY AND STACY 650 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MOELLER DEBORAH \& MARK C 651 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CHALAMBAGA ALMA DELIA AND DAVID 651 GANNON HEIGHTS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GIBBS AMY 651 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CONFIDENTIAL
651 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BREDY RHETT AND
ROXANNE BREDY
652 GILLON WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
DWORSHAK DANIEL AND JANICA D BECKSTRAND 654 GILLON WAY
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```


## ROBBINS CHARLES STEPHEN \& DIANE ELIZABETH <br> 656 GILLION WAY <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
LATHAM REY AND JEWELLYN 659 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

VILLAFANA BILL G \& DIANE B 660 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CUMMINGS TERRENCE J \& CHERYL L 661 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EBEIER JOHN C \& DANIELLE B EBEIER 664 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## MARKEE STEVEN JAMES AND JENNIFER LYNN 653 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> JONES TYLER \& LAUREN 655 GILLON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JANAK JENNIFER L AND JOHN P
656 MISSION DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HULL JEFFREY C AND CARLA R
659 MISSION DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

REININGER DAVID W \& ELLEN
6601 BANDALIA DR ROWLETT, TX 75089

WELLS WESTLEY ADAM AND LEEANN
662 MISSION DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAMSEY JAMES \& CYNTHIA
665 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BREEN ROBERT AND LAURA
661 JUSTIN RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COLLIER JAMES P AND LINDA K 663 MISSION DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLACKWELL DEREK AND CASSIE 667 MISSION DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

|  |  | STUDENNIKOV VITALY AND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROVES JOSEPH M \& MARIE E 668 BRYN MAHR LN | JACKSON TRACY \& RETHA 668 MISSION DR | VLADIMIR STUDENNIKOV AND MARINA STUDENNIKOVA |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | 670 BRYN MAHR LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
|  | HPA TEXAS SUB 2018-1 ML LLC | COBB STEPHEN L \& MELANIE E |
| 670 MISSION DRIVE | 670 PRINCETON WAY | 671 BRYN MAHR |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| BYRNES KATHRYN AND <br> KENNETH BYRNES <br> 671 PRINCETON WAY <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | SCHWERTNER RAY \& SHEILA <br> 672 BRYN MAHR LANE <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | CARTER CALVIN DALE AND EMILY KATHRYN 672 PRINCETON WAY <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { STEWART KIMBERLYN \& CAROLYN } \\ 672 \text { ST JOHNS PLACE } \\ \text { ROCKWALL, TX } 75087 \end{array}\right)$ | GRAVES JAMES GREGORY \& ALISHA DIANE <br> 673 BRYN MAHR LN <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | BURKHOLDER DANIEL AND JENNIFER 673 PRINCETON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| VOTTERI CHRISTOPHER MARK \& BONNIE <br> DARLINDA <br> 674 BRYN MAHR LN <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | FLYNT FAMILY LIVING TRUST MARK A FLYNT AND JOAN L FLYNT- TRUSTEES 674 PRINCETON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | CHESMAR HOMES LLC <br> 674 ST JOHNS PLACE <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| TREVINO RAUL \& MARIA J 675 BRYN MAHR LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | PERKINS RUSSELL AND LESLIE 675 PRINCETON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | SMITH STUART C AND MICHELLE 676 BRYN MAHR LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| KARRETT JAMES M JR AND RAFFAELA 676 PRINCETON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | NIELSEN MATTHEW GRIFFITH <br> 676 SAINT JOHNS PL <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | PALMER JAMIE A AND MITCHELL 677 BRYN MAHR LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| SOLOMON BRIAN \& KELLY 678 BRYN MAHR LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | OGBONNA OBIOMA 678 PRINCETON WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | CHAPMAN LIVIA AND PATRICK MELTON 678 SAINT JOHNS PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| HUDSON MATTHEW AND JESSICA <br> 679 PRINCETON WAY <br> ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | BARKER CHARLES W \& LISA M 680 BRYN MAHR LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | CORONADO JIMMY AND SHANNA V 681 BRYN MAHR LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |
| COX CHADWICK JEREMY AND ANGELA M | RIST CHARLES E JR AND AUDREY N | CASTRO MICHAEL AND RENE |
| 685 GANNON HEIGHTS LANE | 700 MONTEREY DR | 700 WINDSONG LN |
| ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | ROCKWALL, TX 75087 |

CROWLEY RICHARD R \& LAUREN D
701 CORNELL DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FRANK RYAN ANDREW AND WHITNEY JANE 702 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COOPER BRADY \& DIANE J
703 MONTEREYDR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WORKS PAUL D AND JENNIFER K
706 MONTERREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVIS PHYLLIS ELAINE 707 VALLEJO DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHNSON CRAIG A AND THERESA J 709 HIGHLAND DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DOLAS TAMARA AND DALIBOR
710 BELFORT DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PROTHRO LANCE G AND DERONDA
711 CORNELL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARRELL JOHN W AND JENNIFER
711 ROSEWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LONGRUN HOLDINGS LLC SERIES C
712 MONTEREY DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MORTON GEORGE P \& DARLENE
701 VALLEJO DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLIAMS HORACE LEE JR 7020 CREEK BEND RD DALLAS, TX 75252

KIRK DAVID AND KATIE CHEY
705 CORNELL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VOORHIES JON AND TERESA 707 CORNELL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VALENTINE DERICK TYRON AND AMI PATEL 708 MCFARLIN PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ADCOCK REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST
MARY LOU ADCOCK TRUSTEE
709 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MORRISON BRETT \& SUSAN 710 MCFARLIN PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NICKLES TAMESHA D \& JOE L NICHLES JR 711 HIGHLAND DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANDERSON STEPHEN R \& CHRISTINE R
712 BELFORT DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LIESVELD ALLAN T
712 ROSEWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EIZELDIN SAM AND RAZITA 701 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087


DAVIS KRISTOFER \& HOLLY LEANNE 705 HIGHLAND DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

REUSINK JARED AND ASHLEE
707 HIGHLAND DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VATS AKHIL AND DEEPTI 709 CORNELL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVIS JAMES NICHOLAS \& JANA LADAWN 709 ROSEWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DALE MICHAEL \& LYNNE 710 ROSEWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VILLARREAL GONZALO FLORES AND EDITH
711 MCFARLIN PL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MERCER JEFF L \& HEIDI E 712 MCFARLIN PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AKHTAR USMAN AND AQSA MAHMOOD 713 CORNELL DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
CALHOUN GARY AND TANDRA CALHOUN
713 HIGHLAND DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

THOMPSON BENJAMIN
713 MCFARLIN PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## MORRIS ALBERT \& CATHERINE

 713 ROSEWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087REID SHANNON L \& JASON J
713 VALLEJO DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TRAN KELLY H
715 CORNELL DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAPEL JOHN J 715 ROSEWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FUHLER JOZEF AND MARGARET
716 MCFARLIN PL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WELCH MATTHEW D AND TARA W 718 BELFORT DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FOLK JERRY A AND RHONDA G
719 BELFORT DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHANNONHOUSE VALERIE RENEE AND JORDAN
THOMAS SHANNONHOUSE
719 VALLEJO DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BUENO RICKEY AND CHRISTIE
721 FAIRFAX LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUMPHREY JEFF H AND SHANNA A
723 BELFORT DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

2013 ABBONDANDOLO FAMILY TRUST
714 BELFORT DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TAYLOR MICHAEL PAUL \& JACQUELINE
715 MCFARLIN PL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROSENBARGER PERRY D \& MARY LEHRMANN
715 WILDWOOD LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HIGHFILL NANCY JOLENE 717 BELFORT DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NYGHT BRADFORD AND DANIELLE 718 MONTEREY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RENDON MARSHALL SR \& VIRGINIA 719 FAIRFAX LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COLEMAN DANIEL G AND MARIA 720 BELFORT DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STOCKING MARLON S \& CHONG J
721 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PENNINGTON GRANT MARTIN \& EDITH A
723 FAIRFAX LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GAISER NICOLE 714 MCFARLIN PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WEINBERG ARI \& TARA 715 MONTEREY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RENEE BETTE REVOCABLE TRUST RENEE BETTE WORKINGS AS TRUSTEE 716 BELFORT DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RILEY JOHN ALEXANDER \& CAROLYN DIXON 717 MCFARLIN PLACE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SANDERSON SCOTT FREDERICK AND CELESTIAL DAWN 718 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## ALBA JOHN ARTHUR AND TRICIA

 719 MCFARLIN PL ROCKWALL, TX 75087DUNCAN DESTINY R AND ROHAN D 721 BELFORT DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PHILIP ROSHAN SIM AND JISSY RACHEL
722 BELFORT DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAILEY LESLIE ANN AND SHAWN A
724 FAIRFAX LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BURRESS RACHEL E AND ERIC N 724 MONTEREY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NASH JARED AND HOLLI
725 FAIRFAX LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CORLETTO GABRIELA \& CARSON EDWARD BROOKS 725 VALLEJO DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HPA JV TEXAS SUBSIDIARY 2019-1 ML LLC
727 FAIRFAXLN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANDRY CHARLES R \& TRACEY L
729 FAIRFAX LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHESMAR HOMES DFW LTD
731 FAIRFAXLN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CHESMAR HOMES LLC

732 FAIRFAX LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## FLITCRAFT CHRISTOPHER BERNARD AND NANCY <br> MARGO 734 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PATTERSON RONYA R \& MARY W
737 VALLEJO DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHASE MICHAEL B \& TIFFANY CHASE
743 VALLEJO DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
COOPER BRADY \& DIANE J
749 VALLEJO DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

MCDONALD JR EDWARD \& KAYLA 752 WILDWOOD LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FROHMADER RICARDO AND SHELBY HEBERT
727 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CORCORAN CYNTHIA L

730 FAIRFAX LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARCIA RUDOLPH L \& ALMA C
731 VALLEJO DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ALVARADO JOSE \& ROXANA
733 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CHESMAR HOMES LLC 735 FAIRFAX LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087
BLACKNALL SHARITA \& ANTHONY
739 MONTEREY DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENDRICKSON PAUL A AND PATRICIA A 747 WILDWOOD LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> COOPER BRADY E \& DIANE J
> 749 VALLEJO DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BUCHANAN DONALD BLAKE AND CHRISTINA MARIE 754 MONTEREY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SIMMONS INVESTMENTS LLC 736 MONTEREYDR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MATTHEWS SAMUEL JERRY LIFE ESTATE SARAH J MATTHEWS 742 MONTEREY DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087


DODSON HUA
751 MONTEREY DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087
ALSROUJI ALAA AND DOAA ALSROUJI
755 VALLEJO DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
CRAWFORD KIMBERLY K \& HAROLD E JR
763 WILDWOODLN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MASON MARK S \& TAMARA M 802 POTOMAC DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OLDEN FREDERICK EINER AND LISA HALSTEAD 768 WILDWOODLN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FOURTE DARRYL E AND WYVONIA
805 HIGHLAND DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN JAMES O
815 N ALAMO RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CULLINANE JOHN AND BRENNA 834 POTOMAC DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MILLER CAROLYN FAYE AND ROBERT FRANKLIN
III
853 POTOMAC DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HURLEY C I
901 N ALAMO ROAD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MOMSEN KIMBERLY
903 N ALAMO ROAD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES DOSVILLE
905 N WEST ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JC GAERLAN LLC
907 NORTH ALAMO ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAM JOSHUA L 905 N ALAMO ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLIAMS ALEX RAY \&
PATRICIA LWILLIAMS WILKERSON 906 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DOUBLE T VENTURES LLC 907 N GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SIMMONS INVESTMENTS LLC 8172 CHURCH STEEPLE ST LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

MCCULLEY DARREN WAYNE AND MICHELE 837 POTOMAC DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HENRY MATTHEW C 8530 FOREST HILLS BLVD

DALLAS, TX 75218

EAGLE SEIKI SALES LTD C/O ELIZABETH BURKS 902 PALO PINTO ST WEATHERFORD, TX 76086

WILLIAMS ALEX RAY \& PATRICIA LWILLIAMS WILKERSON 905 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BRIONES RONALD AND THERESA
906 N WEST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PIERCE CAROLYN GREEN 908 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCFADIN SARA TERESA 909 N WEST STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DOUBLE T VENTURES LLC<br>909 N GOLIAD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ZAVALA VICTOR V<br>910 N ALAMO RD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> PERRY RUBY DELL 910 N WEST ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RECSA LLC 911 NORTH GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAXTER JAKE STEPHEN AND CATHERINE ELIZABETH
915 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

917 PROPERTIES LLC 917 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FARMER BARBARA A 919 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BARRY BARBARA
922 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## MONK MARCELLE A LAZARE \& PAUL <br> 924 N ALAMO RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TURNER JASON C AND SUSAN L LIDE 933 GANNON HEIGHTS ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AMERICAN RESIDENTIAL LEASING COMPANY LLC ATTN: PROPERTY TAX DEPARTMENT 30601

AGOURA ROAD, SUITE 200PT AGOURA HILLS, CA 91301

## BODIN CHARLOTTE WILSON

P. O. BOX 109

ROWLETT, TX 75030

VANDERSLICE R D AND LYNN
919 N GOLIAD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HUDSON SHELI O
923 N ALAMO
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CRAWFORD JUANITA LIFE ESTATE AND MICHAEL CRAWFORD

925 N ALAMO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EISAMAN KELLY J
971 GANNON HEIGHTS LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## STANFORD DRIVE 1252 LAND TRUST KATHRYN BALL TRUSTEE <br> P O BOX 1088 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CRAWFORD KIMBERLY K \& HAROLD E JR
PO BOX 1651 ROCKWALL, TX 75087
CFPC INVESTMENTS LLC
PO BOX 1731
MARBLE FALLS, TX 78654

PHILLIPS HUBERT SYVELLE \& LOVIE E REV LIV TR
LOVIE E PHILLIPS TRUSTEE
PO BOX 627 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OLDEN FREDERICK EINER AND LISA HALSTEAD

PARAMOUNT LAURELS LLC
PO BOX 786
WYLIE, TX 75098

TRAN KELLY H
PO BOX 894578
MILILANI, HI 76789

PO BOX 671288
DALLAS, TX 75367
PO BOX 671288
DALLAS, TX 75367

DELLINGER TRUST
LINGER AND ELAINE S DELLINGER CO-TRUSTEES
PO BOX 906 SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development on behalf of GotRocks Properties, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change superseding Specific Use Permit No. 57 (S-57; Ordinance No. 08-39) and changing the zoning from a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for General Retail (GR) District land uses on a 2.96 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A North Lake Shore Daycare Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for Park/Greenbelt land uses and Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Road, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Ryan Miller<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,


Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square$ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:
Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Case No．Z2020－057：Zoning Change from SF－10 to PD－41

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below：

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below．I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below．
巴慓にE





Indary is protested in accordance with this subsection，the proposed change must receive，in If the governing body．The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 3 ；or（2）the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Surround

Name: Debra $\sum_{1} \rightarrow A$ Ref (REREDuAN
Address: 404 LLILLONL
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

[^4]Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

name Janelle Stein berg
address: 318 shepards hill Dr. Rorwaee TX 73087
Tex. Loo. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square I \mathrm{am}$ in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
Al am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
 coss
of


Name: John Huclgirs No benefit to homeowners
Address: 1020 Hillcrest Circle, Rockwell 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

$\qquad$ the surrounding neefiloss.
$\qquad$
dry ere center are allowed, Lakeshore On has become heavily Hafficea


## Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.V I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WE DO NOT NEED RETAIL ANS/OR OFFICE USES IN AN AREA THAT } \\
& \text { IS } 99 \% \text { RESNSENTIALISINGLE FANILYRESNENTIAL). I AM STRONGLY } \\
& \text { AGAINST TH ZONING CHANGE. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Name: MARK FLYNT
Address: 674 PRINCETON LAy, Rockwanl, TK 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

## Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

lIam in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.[/ 1 am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Do not want apantments in my neighborhood or } \\
& \text { the traffic and congestion they would bring. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Name:
Greenke

$$
\text { Adders: } 308 \text { Shuparards Hill Drive } 75081
$$

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square$ lIam in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
1 am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


MORE


Tex. Loo. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
X am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
we do Not

shopping
center.


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square 1 \mathrm{am}$ in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
 Lake Share drive goes night by my tome at 1680 ParkVieir Dr. and the traffic is bad, but it has become a stessy stream of-reacing carse at much tours in the morning and afternoon as people going to and from work user Lakethole Os a shortant to 205 and 4 vail hun and Aped limits are Name: Goren of fablite \& Patrician Cutlet
Address: 1680 ParkView Dir. 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed increase in the inumbre of aped end infrequently enforced. Therefore the in
 an Dak thole is inchersing to the mas and more commercial bute ding whee only
CITY OF ROCKWELL 9 PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT • 385 S GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWMALL TEXAS 75087• P: (972) 771-7745 • E: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM
make
make that wrote. Nos hagudeove t thy to git an this otheret aedes.
Plus doit make it worse. We Moved her sixteen years ago to live in a quieter neighborhood, lit it has now become to bust.

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square$ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
R am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
Near our residential weighorhood, we donitweed more:

- commercial development (li .strop shopping, apartments)
- traffic (e.j. Noise, congestion, sa fety of two etenatary shool'dithen) why remove $S F$ - 10 froe the whole Preserve? What is hidden aga ida? Name: John Mark and Barbara Young
Address: 1100 Aspen Ct., Rockwall, TX 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
East Fork is a four lane road that reduces to two lanes when it intersects Goliad. Additional retail on Lakeshore Drive will increase traffic on East Fork. The remedy for this would be a traffic light at the Goliad intersection and completion of the East Fork four lane expansion to Goliad.
Tony Acuna Jr.
$\frac{\text { Tex }}{\text { ords }} 1264$ Stanford Drive, Rockwall, TX 75087
change and extending 200 teet trom that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

[^5]
## Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

```
Name: Charlotte N. PECanclen
Address:
si/ witdurood Lin. Rockuvale, TX. "15087
```

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


Name: Limber $\backslash$ yen $B+$ CArocyus he. Stewart
Address: 672 ST Tors PL Rocrwalle 75087-6016
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Case No. Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

- I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
(1) Increased traffic on an already conjested cross street (Laheshore |East Fork)
(2) Property value erosion - any office over I story is an eyesore in this residential area
(3) Safety - more activity fears in parking lot is a potential to daycare child rem

Name: Susan Thomas
Address: 703 Cornell Drive, Nochwall TX 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

## Miller, Ryan

## From:

Sent:
To:
Subject:

```
Monday, December 28, 2020 5:26 PIM
Planning
Case No Z2020-057: Zoning Change from SF-10 tp PD-41
```

Michelle Bastidas \& Mark Repman - home owners @ 1715 BayHill Drive Rockwall Texas
Both vote NO. We are opposed to the zoning change.
Reason: We do not support this zoning change as we do not want any more retail establishments encroaching on our neighborhood.

Thank you,

Michel \& Mark

[^6]
# 1102 ASPEN COURT (PINNACLE, PHASE 1) - WITHIN THE NOTIFICATION AREA OF PD-41 

## Miller, Ryan

| From: | peg@pegpannellsmith.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Sunday, January 3, 2021 3:34 PM |
| To: | Planning |
| Subject: | Z2020-057 Zoning Change from SF-10 to PD-41-1940 N Lakeshore Dr - Comments |

Please consider this email my official response to the above zoning change matter...
Peg Pannell Smith
1102 Aspen Court
Rockwall TX 75087
I personally do not have an objection to this IF AT\&T would be required to add an additional cell phone tower in this area.

Multitudes of us along Lakeshore area must use a Microcell to get phone service. AT\&T performed a survey of all the microcells in this area with red dots for each microcell... looked like the measles on paper.

My home is positioned in between the two existing cell phone towers just far enough away to not obtain coverage from either one of them. I was told when more development comes to justify a third, they would do so.

And, now, I hear microcell's are no longer being installed so when they go out, we won't have any phone service. When the microcell goes out temporarily, nothing works. As it is, when workmen and guests arrive at my house, they cannot get a signal. Everyone has to walk outside and get away from my house. I had better coverage living in Old Town in a nearly 100 year old house than the Pinnacle subdivision.

With new development, I am hopeful this could be rectified because those new businesses would need cell phone service and may just be lying in the same no-cell zone crack as me.

I believe it's worth looking into and the developer certainly needs to know. Thanks.

TEXAS LAW REQUIRES ALL LICENSE HOLDERS TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION ABOUT BROKERAGE SERVICES FORM TO PROSPECTIVE CLIENTS
Best regards,
Peg
PEGPANNELLSMITH, CCIM
CCIM, REALTOR ${ }^{\circledR}$, RSPS, GRI, SRS
TOP PRODUCER
CONSUMER PROTECTION NOTICE


COLDWELL
BANKER
COMMERCIAL

## /COMmercial to the core"/

## NRT

©2015 Coldwell Banker Real Estate LLC, dba Coldwell Banker Commercial Affiliates. All Rights Reserved. Coldwell Banker Real Estate LLC, dba Coldwell Banker Commercial Affiliates fully supports the principles of the Equal Opportunity Act. Each Office is Independently Owned and Operated. Coldwell Banker Commercial and the Coldwell Banker Commercial Logo are registered service marks owned by Coldwell Banker Real Estate LLC, dba Coldwell Banker Commercial Affiliates.
"The information in this electronic mail message is the sender's confidential business and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee(s). Access to this internet electronic mail message by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful."
"The sender believes that this E-mail and any attachments were free of any virus, worm, Trojan horse, and/or malicious code when sent. This message and its attachments could have been infected during transmission. By reading the message and opening any attachments, the recipient accepts full responsibility for taking protective and remedial action about viruses and other defects. The sender's company is not liable for any loss or damage arising in any way from this message or its attachments."
"Nothing in this email shall be deemed to create a binding contract to purchase/sell real estate. The sender of this email does not have the authority to bind a buyer or seller to a contract via written or verbal communications including, but not limited to, email communications."

This email was scanned by Bitdefender
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Miller, Ryan

| From: | kimlex woodul [kimlexbills@gmail.com](mailto:kimlexbills@gmail.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, January 7, 2021 11:35 AM |
| To: | Miller, Ryan |
| Subject: | Ref zoning number Z2020-057 and P2020-052. |
|  |  |
| Follow Up Flag: | FollowUp |
| Flag Status: | Flagged |

## HI Ryan -

On behalf of my friends and neighbors in The Shores, I would like to voice my opinion on the planning \& zoning change that is perhaps underway in area. We strongly feel that this should be left as a green space. Other than Squabble Creek (which is frequently washed out from drainage from over development in this area already), this is literally the ONLY green space on all of Lakeshore Drive.
Rockwall a a BEAUTIFUL Lakeside community - and we are destroying its beauty popping up developments in every square inch of the town. There has to be some margin remaining to keep its natural beauty.
We absolutely do not need another office building, medical building. At the corner of Goliad and Lakeshore - there are vacant buildings in place there without tenants already. Please consider this when discussing at the P\&Z meeting coming up 1/12.
Thank you,
Kim \& Lex Woodul

[^7]
## Miller, Ryan

| From: | Shawn Menefee [scmenefee@outlook.com](mailto:scmenefee@outlook.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, January 7, 2021 8:34 AM |
| To: | Miller, Ryan |
| Subject: | Zoning number Z2020-057 and P2020-052 |
|  |  |
| Follow Up Flag: | Follow up |
| Flag Status: | Flagged |

Ryan,

I would like to express concerns for the proposed zoning changes on N Lakeshore Drive (Zoning number Z2020-057 and P2020-052).

As a newer resident to Rockwall, I'm excited to see economic progress and businesses expanding in our local community. However, in this situation, I call the appropriateness of the location in question. Besides the aesthetic reasons and loss of greenbelt land, my concern is with the additional traffic that will be generated in a clearly "residential" area.

I reside at 1970 Lake Forest and obviously frequent the intersection of Lakeshore / Lake Forest several times a day. The addition of the Day Care center has brought additional traffic and challenges navigating that intersection. Adding additional retail/commercial traffic will further compound this.

I will be unable to attend the January 12th meeting. Please take these concerns into consideration.

Sincerely,
Shawn Menefee
1970 Lake Forest
Rockwall, TX 75087
316-208-6725

This email was scanned by Bitdefender
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form

Case Number *<br>Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).<br>Z2020-057

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *
$\square$ I am in favor of the request.
$\checkmark$ I am in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request.
Build business in downtown Rockwall vs in a residential neighborhood!!!

## Respondent Information

Please provide your information.

First Name *
Steve

Last Name *
Swinford

## Address *

City *
Rockwall

State *

TX

Zip Code *
75087-3346

## Email Address *

swinfordfam3@yahoo.com

Phone Number
214-673-9325

## Please check all that apply: *

$\checkmark$ I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\checkmark$ I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.Other:

How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *I received a property owner notification in the mail.I read about the request on the City's website.I saw a zoning sign on the property.I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.My neighbors told me about the request.Other: HOA email

## Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form

## Case Number *

Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).

Z2020-057

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *

I am in favor of the request.
$\checkmark$ Iam in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request.

We do not agree with making that corner into business friendly. We would like to keep it trees or even just for families. There is businesses not even a mile down each direction. We can travel a little more for whatever is gonna be put there.

## Respondent Information

Please provide your information.

## First Name *

Meghan

Last Name *

Harris

## Address *

1675 lake brook circle

City *
Rockwall

State *

TX

Zip Code *
75087

Email Address *
msmeghan@aol.com

Phone Number
214-906-2477

Please check all that apply: *

I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.

Other:

How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *I received a property owner notification in the mail.I read about the request on the City's website.I saw a zoning sign on the property.I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.My neighbors told me about the request.Other:

### 1.5.21

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Development

## Zoning Application Z2020-057-1st Comment Response Letter

## Lakeshore Office Building

## Mr Miller,

In response to the comments received on 12.22 .20 please find the attached updated site plan, as well as exhibit outlining our project in further detail. We are under contract to develop this site for Dr Eva, who currently offices on 205 just north of downtown Rockwall. The second lot (adjoining building) sole use will be an office or medical office building.

As we outlined previously, when we purchased the property there were two platted lots, one for the daycare with a SUP in place in PD-41, the other was R-12 outside of PD-41, which we graded and created the pad sites.

We have also agreed to limit the ordinance language to the uses Ive outlined in this letter, office and medical office.

Lastly, as part of the comments provided we have updated our parking calculations conforming to office and medical use, as such we will be creating a shared parking agreement for 3 parking spaces and will be submitting that with out plat re-submittal.

Sincerely,


Doug Galloway
Managing Partner
doug@viaductdev.com
512.698.9494
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## VIADUCT <br> Development

Ordinance No. 94-15
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL AS HERETOFORE AMENDED SO AS TO GRANT A ZONING CHANGE ON A TRACT OF LAND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; ORDERING A CHANGE IN THE USE OF SAID PROPERTY FROM "PD-11", PLANNED DEVELOPMENT NO. 11 AND "SF-10" SINGLE FAMILY 10 DISTRICT CLASSIFICATION TO "PD-41" PLANNED DEVELOPMENT NO. 41; PROVIDING SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, a change in zoning from "PD-11" Planned Development No. 11 and "SF10" Single Family 10 to "PD-41" Planned Development No. 41 on the property described on Exhibit "A" has been requested by MAHR Development; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas:

SECTION 1. That the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance of the City of Rockwall, Texas as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended by amending the Zoning Map of the City of Rockwall so as to grant a zoning change from "PD-11" Planned Development No. 11 and "SF-10" Single Family 10 to "PD-41" Planned Development District No. 41 on the property specifically described in Exhibit "A", attached hereto and made a part hereof.

SECTION 2. That Planned Development District Number 41 shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended and as amended hereby provided that the granting of Planned Development District No. 41 to the above described tract of land is subject to the following special conditions:
A. The use of the property covered by Planned Development District No. 41 shall be in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the list of approved uses. Any development of the property covered by Planned Development No. 41 shall be in
compliance with the preliminary plan attached hereto as Exhibit " B ", and made a part hereof, and no substantial change in the development shall be permitted, except after obtaining approval of the change of such preliminary plan in the manner required for changes and amendments to the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.
B. Development of Planned Development District No. 41 shall be regulated by the following requirements:

1. That the land uses; area requirements, including restrictions on garages on front entry lots and minimum masonry requirements; preliminary plan; and amenities as submitted or as amended herein are attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "C".
2. That the land use acreage as submitted be generally approved, and that the actual acreage for the $10,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. lots and the drainway/open space shall not be less than the acreage identified on the preliminary plan.
3. That the Developer shall dedicate 10 feet of ROW along N. Lakeshore and 5 feet of ROW along Alamo Road adjacent to the development in addition to the ROW necessary for construction of the roadway, in conjunction with the first phase of development. The Developer shall also submit for approval by the Commission and City Council a detailed screening/landscape plan for N. Lakeshore and Alamo Road in conformance with the application. The plan shall at a minimum contain screening walls/fences; sidewalks, which shall be curvilinear along N . Lakeshore; sprinkler systems to irrigate the parkway and other landscaping; and vertical landscaping to include trees and shrubs. A minimum of one large tree for every 50 feet of frontage, as defined by the City's landscape ordinance, shall be provided along N. Lakeshore. The detailed plan shall provide a phasing plan of improvements.
4. That the Developer shall provide a linear greenbelt along and generally in the configuration as shown on the preliminary plan. The greenbelt shall be cleared by the Developer as required by the City. Prior to the development of the first phase, the Developer shall submit for approval by the Commission and City Council a detailed plan for the greenbelt in conformance with the application. The plans, at a minimum, shall include a phasing plan of the improvements, location and design of the paved hiking path at a minimum width of 6 feet, cross sections of the drainway and adjacent parkway, design of any required drainage improvements including headwalls as required by the City, public streets allocated along both sides of the drainway, and overall dimensions of the greenbelt. At that time the City shall determine if said greenbelt shall be dedicated to the City or privately maintained.
5. The Developer may, if approved by the City, construct an amenity center
in conjunction with the development for the exclusive use residents of the district, in accordance with the preliminary plan. Any such facilities shall be proposed in conjunction with development of the first phase.

SECTION 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offense and each and every day such offense continues shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 4. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation, or circumstance, nor shall adjudication affect any other section or provision of the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 5. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this be and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 6. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage and the publication of the caption of said ordinance as the law in such case provides.

DULY PASSED AND APPROVED this 4th day of April. 1994.
APPROVED:


## ATTEST:



1st reading 03-21-94
and reading 04-04-94

# "PD" PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICI NO. 

STATE OF TEXAS

## COUNTY OF ROCKWALL

BEING a tract of land siluated in the A. Hanna Survey, Abstract No. 98, and the J.G.B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 124, Rockwall County, Texas, and being a part of that certain 262.474 acre tract of land described as Tract 1, in Special Warranty Deed, recorded in Volume 147, Page 474, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and further being a part of that tract of land conveyed to Robert S. Folsom by Richard W. Pickens, by deed recorded in Volume 100, Page 293, and being part of a 85.873 acre tract of land conveyed to H.L. Williams by deed recorded in Volunue 51, Page 397, and being part of a tract conveyed from Dickerson to Robert S. Folsom by deed recorded in Volume 100, Page 677, and part of a lract being conveyed from W.F. Barrow, Jr., to R.S.Folsom by deed recorded in Volume 102, Page 403, and all of the above conveyances are recorded in the Deed Records of Rockivall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set at the intersection of the North line of Northshore Phase 2B, an addition to the City of Rockwall recorded in Slide B, Page 324, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas, will the Easterly line of North Lakeshore Drive, a 100 foot right-of-way; TIIENCE: Along the Easterly lines of Norlh Lakeshore Drive, alll to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rods set for a comer, as follows:

North 4 degrecs $49^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 13.66 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right, having a central angle of 51 degrees $36^{\circ} 07^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 450.00 feet and a chord that bears North 30 degrees 37' 30" East a dislance of 391.72 leet;
Along said curve an are distance of 405.28 fect to the end of said cuve;
North 56 degrees $25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 279.87 feet to the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 67 degrees $17^{\circ} 45^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 681.01 feet, and a chord that bears North 22 degrees $46^{\prime \prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 754.68 feet;
Along said curve an are distance of 799.87 feet to the cnd of said curve;
North 10 degrees 52 ' 11 " West a distance of 800.41 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right having a eentral angle of 10 degrees $15^{\prime} 10{ }^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 750.00 fcct , and a chord that bears North 5 degrees $44^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 134.03 feel; Along said curve an are distance of 134.21 feet to the end of said curve; and North 0 degrees $37^{\prime} 01$ " West a distance of 30.46 fcet to the center of a public road (Alamo Road);
THENCE: Nong the center of said public road as follows: South 58 dcgrees $12^{\prime \prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 266.02 fect to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a comer, South 24 degrees $18^{\circ} 28^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 129.52 fcct to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a comer, Soull 42 degrees $59^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 88.95 Iect to a $1 / 22^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a comer; South 56 degrecs $23^{\circ} 18^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance
of 465.30 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a comer: and South 63 degrees $51^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 383.27 feet to a $1 / 2 "$ iron rod sel for a comer at the Northeast comer of said Pickens tract; THEN:CE: South 1 degree $08^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 25.74 feet to a li2" iron rod set for a comer in a fence line on the Southerty line of said road and at the Northwest comer of said Dickerson tract;
THENCE: Along said Southerty line and generally with said fence line all to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rods set for comers as follows: South 65 degrees $56^{\circ} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 403.91 feet; South 81 degrees $00^{\prime}$ $39^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 206.91 feet; North 88 degrees $14^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 1150.00 feet; North 89 degrees $28^{\circ} 09^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 965.12 feet; and South 63 degrees $42^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 247.93 feet to a 112 iron rod set for a comer at the Northeast comer of said Barrow tract and said Tract 1:
THENCE: South 24 degrees $0 S^{\prime}+7^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 194.61 feet with the East line of said Barrow tract and said Tract 1 to a li2" iron rod set for a comer at a fence post;
THENCE" South 8 degrees $54^{\prime \prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of $\$ 4.59$ feet with an old fence line to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a comer on the Nonh line of Northshore Phase Three, an addition to the City of Rochwall, recorded in Slide A. Page 337;
THFNCE: North 89 degrees $10^{\circ}+55^{\prime \prime}$ Fast a distance of 305.83 feet to the Nomheast comer of said addirion:
THIENCE: South 01 degree $10^{\circ} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 522.77 feet with the East line of said Addition to a point for a corner:
THENCE: South 00 degrees 12' 21" East a distance of 209.37 teet to the most Northerly Suntheast comer of said idudition;
THENCE: South 89 degrees $26^{\prime} 01$ West a distance of 252.98 feet to an "ell" comer of said Addition:
THENCE: South 00 degrees $38^{\circ} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 232.75 feet to the Southerly Southeast comer of said Addition and the most Easterly Northeast corner Northshore Phase One, recorded in Slide A. Page 181, Plat Records. Rochwall County, Texas;
THENCE: With the North lines of said Northshore Phase One and the Sourh lines of said Northshore Phase Three as follows:

South 89 degrees $+3^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of $100.05^{\circ}$ feet to a point for a comer:
South 00 degrees $58^{\prime \prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of $3.55^{\prime \prime}$ feet to a point for a comer;
South 89 degrees $43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West passing at 318.51 feet the Southwest comer of said Phase Three and continuing a total distance of 1595.56 feet to the most Northerly Northivest corner of said Phase One:
THENCE: North 0 degrees $16^{\circ} 04^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 300.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod found for a comer,
THENCE: South 89 degrees $+3^{\circ} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 127.37 teet in a li2" iron rod found at the point of curvarure of a circular curve to the left having a central angle of 24 degres $00^{\prime}$ iot", a radius of 650.00 fect, and a churd that bears South 77 degrees $43^{\prime \prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ Wiest a distance of 270.29
feet;
THENCE: Along said curve an are distance of 272.27 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner; THENCE: South 65 degrees $43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 285.56 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found at the point of curvature of a circular curve to the right having a central angle of 14 degrees $28^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 350.00 feet, and a chord that bears South 72 degrees $58^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 88.18 feet;
THENOCE: Along said curve an arc distance of 88.42 feet to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod found for a corner; IHENCE: South 9 degrees $47^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ East passing at 297.25 feet the Northwest corner of Rockwall School Addition No. 2, an addition to the City of Rochwall and continuing a total distance of 315.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ I Inon rod found for a comer,

THENCE: South 0 degrees $44^{\prime} 21^{\prime}$ East a distance of 134.43 feet along the West line of said addition to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod sel for a comer at the Northeast comer of that tract of land conveyed to N.L. Lofland by deed recorded in V'olume 29, Page 162, Deed Records, Rockivall County, Teias; THENCE: North 89 degrees $36^{\prime} 50$ " West a distance of 377.53 feet generally along a fence and the North line of said Loiland tract io a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a comer,
THENCE: South 99 degrees $28^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ Wrest a distance of 307.93 feet continuing along said fence to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a enmer at a fence comer post;
THENCE: North 0 degrees $30^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 127.33 feet to a fence comer post for a comer,
THENCE: North 89 degrees $36^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of $316.6+$ feet with said fence line to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a corner in said fence line;
THENCE: Nont 89 degrees $29^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 544.40 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a comer at the most Northerly Southeast comer of the previously mentioned Northshore Phase 2B; THENCE: Along the East and then the North lines of said addition as follorvs: North 0 degrees $31^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 190.00 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a comer, North 89 degrees $29^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 5.01 feet to a $12^{\prime}$ iron rod found for a comur; North 0 degrees $31^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 140.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod set for a comer at the most Northerty Northeast comer of said addition: North 89 degrees $29^{\prime \prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of $\$ 323.03$ feet to the Point of Deginning and containing $1+5.744$ acres of land.

## REASONS FOR REQUEST

The purpose of the request is to permit the development of single family homes comparable to those permitted on other tracts in the area. The development may include private amenities for the use and enjoyment of the residents within the subdivision. Other improvements will include greenbelts for the use and enjoyment of the general public and landscaping along North Lakeshore Drive and Alamo Road.

## PROPOSED PLANNED DEVELOPMENT JISTRICT FOR RESIDENTIAL USES

1. In conjunction with development of the District, Developer may construct an amenity center for the exclusive use and enjoyment of the residents of the district. The location and facilities included in such amenity center shall be considered for approval by the city at the time of consideration of the development plan for the first phase of the development. The cost of operating and maintaining the amenity center shall be the responsibility of the Developer and/or Homeowners Association formed by the Developer.
2. Exterior wall construction within the Planned Development district shall consist of a minimum of $75 \%$ masonry with no single elevation of any structure containing less than $50 \%$ of its exposed surface of masonry construction as specified herein. This requirement applies only to the first floor of the structure.
3. Developer shall dedicate to the City of Rockwall (subject to approval of the city) a linear greenbelt as indicated on the conceptual plan made a part of this ordinance. The details of the greenbelt shall be considered for approval by the City at the time of consideration of the development plan for the first phase of the development. Developer shall construct a public street along both sides of the greenbelt and shall install for public use a paved hiking path between one of said streets and the creek. Developer shall clear the dedicated area of underbrush and debris at the time of development of the adjoining sections of the proposed subdivision.
4. Developer shall dedicate a minimum of 10 feet of additional right-of-way along North Lakeshore Drive for the purpose of constructing screening walls; landscaping, sprinkler systems (including coverage of the street parkway) and other. improvements.
5. On those lots not accessible to a paved alley, garage doors shall be oriented so as not to face a public street.

HAROLD L. EVANS Consuting Engineer 2331 GUS THOMASSON ROAD, SUITE 102 P.O. BOX 28355<br>DALI.AS, TEXAS 75228<br>FAX 211-270-8847

Zoning Application

Total Area of the Site

Area (re-10,000 S. F. Lols Approximately 115 units

Area Two - 8,400 S.F. Lots Approximately 216 units

Area Four - 10,000 S. F. Lots
Assuming no alleys
Approximately 55 units
$\qquad$

386
Park/Greenbelh
145.7 Acres
46.1 Acres
72.0 Acres
19.6 Acres
137.7 Acres
8.0 Acres
145.7 Acres

The plan as submitted indicates the following percentages:

| 10,000 S. F. Lots | $48 \%$ by land area | $44 \%$ of total units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8,400 S. F. L.ots | $52 \%$ by land area | $56 \%$ of total units |

## HILLCREST MEADOWS

AREA REQUIREMENTS - AREA ONE

1. Minimum Lot Area -
2. Max. number of single family dwellings per lot -
3. Minimum square footage per dwelling unit -
4. Minimum lot width -
5. Minimum lot depth -
6. Minimum depth of front set back -
7. Minimum depth of rear set back -
8. Minimum width of side set back -
a) Internal lot -
$\sigma$ feet
b) Sideyard set back
(abutting street) - 15 feet
C) Sideyard set back
(abutting an arterial) - 20 feet
9. Minimum distance between separate buildings on the same lot -
10. Maximum building area (as a percentage of lot area). $35 \%$
11. Maximum height of structures - 36 feet
12. Minimum number of off street parking spaces per unit (garage area will not be considered) -

2

1. Minimum Lot Area -

$$
8,400 \text { square feet }
$$

2. Max. number of single family dwellings per lot -
3. Minimum square footage per dwelling unit - $\quad 1,800$ square feet
4. Minimum lot width - 65 feet at the front building line
5. Minimum lot depth - 100 feet
6. Minimum depth of front set back - 25 feet
7. Minimum depth of rear set back -

10 feet
8. Minimum width of side set back -
a) Internal lot -
6 feet
b) Sideyard set back
(abutting street) - 15 feet
c) Sideyard set back
(abutting an arterial) - 20 feet
9. Minimum distance between separate buildings on the same lot - lo feet
10. Maximum building area (as a percentage of lot area) -
$35 \%$
11. Maximum height of structures -

36 feet
12. Minimum number of off street parking spaces per unit (garage area will not be considered) -


## MIMTCREST MEADOWS

PD
AREA REQUIREMENTS - AREA FOUR

1. Minimum Lot Area -
2. Max. number of single familydwellings per lot -1
3. Minimum square footage per dwelling unit - 1,800 square feet
4. Minimum lot width -
5. Minimum lot depth -
6. Minimum depth of front set back - ..... 25 feet
7. Minimum depth of rcar set back - ..... 10 feet
8. Minimum width of side set back -
a) Internal lot - ..... 6 feet
正
b) Sideyard set back(abutting street) -15 feet
c) Sideyard set back
(abutting an arterial) - ..... 20 feet
9. Minimum distance between separate buildings on the same lot - ..... 10 feet
10. Maximum building area (as a percentage of lot area) - ..... $35 \%$
11. Maximum height of structures - ..... 36 feet
12. Minimum number of off streetparking spaces per unit (garagearea will not be considered) -2
10,000 square feet (w/o alleys) 8,400 square feet (with alleys)
70 feet at the frontbuilding line
100 feet
100 feet

.





NOTE: STREET ALIGNMENT SHOWN IS CONCEPTLAL ONLY.

| HAROLD L. EVANS CONSULTING ENGINEER | - | 'N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2331 GUS THOMASSON RD. SUTTE D2 OALLAS.TEXAS 75228 | HILLCK. | $M$ |
| PHONE (214) 32a-8133 | MAMR DEVELO. |  |

## ordinance no. Ol-21


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL AND ORDINANCE 94-15 AS HERETOFORE AMENDED SO AS TO GRANT A ZONING CHANGE ON A TRACT OF LAND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN; ORDERING A CHANGE IN THE USE OF SAID PROPERTY "PD-41", PLANNED DEVELOPMENT NO. 41; ADOPTING REVISED AREA REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, a City of Rockwall initiated change in zoning from "PD-41" Planned Development No. 41 with "SF-10"-Single Family 10 and "SF-8.4"-Single Family 8.4 residential district requirements to "PD-41" - Planned Development No. 41 with "SF-10"-Single Family 10 on the property more fully described on the attached Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas:

Section 1. That the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance (Ord. No. 83-23) and Ordinance No. 94-15 of the City of Rockwall, Texas as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended with the approval of the amended Concept Plan (Exhibit "B") with revised area requirements for the property more fully described in Exhibit "A", attached hereto and made a part thereof.

Section 2. That "PD-41" - Planned Development No. 41, the tract of land described in the attached Exhibit "A" shall be used only in the manner and for the
purposes provided for in the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting of this approval of the revised Concept Plan (Exhibit "B") for "PD-41" shall affect only the property described in the attached Exhibit "A" and said property shall be limited to the uses, density, area, setback and other requirements set forth herein and is subject to the following special conditions:
A. The use of the property covered by "PD-41" - Planned Development No. 41 shall be in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the list of approved uses. Any development of the property covered by Planned Development No. 41 shall be in compliance with the revised Concept Plan attached hereto as Exhibit "B", and made part hereof, and no substantial change in the development shall be permitted, except after obtaining approval of the change of such Concept Plan in the manner required for changes and amendments to the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance of the City of Rockwall.
B. Development of "PD-41" - Planned Development No. 41 shall be regulated by the following requirements:

1. That the land uses; area requirements, including restrictions on garages, on front-entry garages and minimum masonry requirements; Concept Plan; and amenities as submitted or as amended herein are attached hereto and made part hereof as Exhibit "C".
2. That the land use acreage as submitted be generally approved, and that the actual acreage for the $10,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. lots, $10,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. lots within the drainways and the park/greenbelt space shall not be less than the acreage identified on the Concept Plan (Exhibit "B") and detailed within Exhibit " $C$ ".
3. That the Developer shall dedicate 10 feet of right-of-way along North Lakeshore and 5 feet of right-of-way along Alamo Road adjacent to the development in addition to the right-of-way necessary for construction of the roadway, in conjunction with the first phase of development. The Developer shall also submit for approval by the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council a detailed screening/landscape plan for North Lakeshore and Alamo Road in conformance with the application. The plan shall at a minimum contain screening walls/fences; sidewalks, which shall be curvilinear along North Lakeshore; sprinkler systems to irrigate the parkway and other landscaping; and vertical landscaping to include trees and shrubs. A minimum of one large tree for every 50 feet of frontage, as defined by the City landscape ordinance, shall be provided along North Lakeshore. The detailed plan shall provide a phasing plan of improvements.
4. That the Developer shall provide a linear greenbelt along and generally in the configuration as shown on the Concept Plan (Exhibit " $B$ "). The greenbelt shall be cleared by the Developer as required by all applicable ordinances of the City of Rockwall. Prior to the development of the first phase, the Developer shall submit for approval by the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council a detailed plan for the greenbelt ib conformance with the application. The plans, at a minimum, shall include a phasing plan for improvements, location and design of the paved hiking path at a minimum width of 6 feet, cross sections of the drainway and adjacent parkway, design of any required drainage improvements including headwalls as required by the City, public streets allocated both sides of the drainway, and overall dimensions of the greenbelt. At that time the City shall determine if said greenbelt will be dedicated to the City or privately maintained. All elements of the greenbelt, pathways, hiking trails and sidewalks are subject to review and recommendation by the City's Park Board.
5. The Developer may, if approved by the City, construct an amenity center in conjunction with the development for the exclusive use of residents of the district, in accordance with the revised Concept Plan. Any such facilities shall be proposed in conjunction with development of the first phase.

Section 3. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

Section 4. If any section paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 83-23 of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable.

Section 5. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

Section 6. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage and the publication of the caption of said ordinance as the law in such cases provides.

DULY PASSED AND APPROVED this 18 day of gene, 2001 .


APPROVED


# EXHIBIT "A" 

## HILLCREST MEADOWS

# "PD" PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT NO. 41 

## STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF ROCKWALL

BEING a tract of land situated in the A. Hanna Survey, Abstract No. 98, and the J.G.B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 124, Rockwall County, Texas, and being a part of that certain 262.474 acre tract of land described as Tract 1, in Special Warranty Deed, recorded in Volume 147, Page 474, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and further being a part of that tract of land conveyed to Robert S. Folsom by Richard W. Pickens, by deed recorded in Volume 100, Page 293, and being part of a 85.873 acre tract of land conveyed to H.L. Williams by deed recorded in Volume 51, Page 397, and being part of a tract conveyed from Dickerson to Robert S. Folsom by deed recorded in Volume 100, Page 677, and part of a tract being conveyed from W.F. Barrow Jr., to R.S. Folsom by deed recorded in Volume 102, Page 403, and all of the above conveyances are recorded in the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set at the intersection of the North line of Northshore Phase 2B, an addition to the City of Rockwall recorded in Slide B, Page 324, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas, with Easterly line of North Lakeshore Drive, 1100 foot right-of-way;
THENCE: Along the Easterly lines of North Lakeshore Drive, all to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rods set for corner as follows:

North $4^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 13.66 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right, having a central angle $51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 450 feet, and a chord that bears North $30^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 391.72 feet;
Along said curve an arc distance of 405.28 feet to the end of said curve; North $56^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 279.87 feet to the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of $67^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 681.01 feet, and a chord that bears North $22^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 754.68 feet;
Along said curve an arc distance of 799.87 feet to the end of said curve; North $10^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 800.41 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of $10^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 750.00 feet, and a chord that bears North $5^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 134.03 feet;
Along said curve an arc distance of 134.21 feet to the end of said curve; and North $0^{\circ} 37 \prime$ '01" West a distance of 30.46 feet to the center of a public road (Alamo Road);

THENCE: Along the center of said public road as follows: South $58^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 266.02 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $24^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 129.52 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $42^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 88.95 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $56^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 465.30 feet to a

## EXHIBIT "A"

$1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $63^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 383.27 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner at the Northeast corner of said Pickens tract;
THENCE: South $1^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 25.74 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner in a fence line on the Southerly line of said road and at the Northwest corner of said Dickerson tract;
THENCE: Along said Southerly line and generally with said fence line all $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rods set for corner as follows: South $65^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 403.91 feet; South $81^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 206.91 feet; North $88^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 1150.00 feet; North $81^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 965.12 feet; and South $63^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 247.93 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner at the Northeast corner of said Barrow Tract and said Tract 1;
THENCE: South $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 194.61 feet with the East line of said Barrow tract and said Tract 1 to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner at a fence post;
THENCE: South $08^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 84.59 feet with an old fence line to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner on the North line of Northshore Phase Three, an addition to the City of Rockwall, recorded in Slide A, Page 337;
THENCE: North $89^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 305.83 feet to the Northeast corner of said addition;
THENCE: South $01^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 522.77 feet with the East line od said Addition to a point for a corner;
THENCE: South $00^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 209.37 feet to the most Northerly Southeast corner of said Addition;
THENCE: South $89^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 252.98 feet to an "ell" corner of said Addition;
THENCE: South $00^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 232.75 to the Southerly Southeast corner of said Addition and the most Easterly Northeast corner of Northshore Phase One, recorded in Slide A, Page 181, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;
THENCE: With the North lines of said Northshore Phase One and the South lines of said Northshore Phase Three as follows:

South $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 190.05 feet to a point for a corner; South $00^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 3.55 feet to a point for a corner; South $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West passing at 318.51 feet to the Southwest corner of said Phase Three and continuing a total distance of 1595.56 feet to the most Northerly Northwest corner of said Phase One;

THENCE: North $00^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 300.00 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner;
THENCE: South $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 127.37 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found at the point of curvature of a circular curve to the left having a central angle of $24^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 650.00 feet, and a chord that bears South $77^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 270.29 feet;
THENCE: Along said curve an arc distance of 272.27 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner;
THENCE: South $65^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 285.66 feet to a $1^{\prime \prime}$ " iron rod found at the point of curvature of a circular curve to the right having a central angle $14^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$, a

## EXHIBIT "A"

radius of 350.00 feet, and a chord that bears South $72^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 88.18 feet;
THENCE: Along said curve an arc distance of 88.42 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner;
THENCE: South $09^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ East passing at 297.25 feet to the Northwest corner Rockwall School Addition No. 2, an addition to the City of Rockwall and continuing a total distance of 315.00 feet to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;
THENCE: South $00^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 134.43 feet along the West line of said addition to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod set for corner at the Northeast corner of that tract of land conveyed to N.L. Lofland by deed recorded in Volume 29, Page 462, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;
THENCE: South $89^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 377.53 feet generally along a fence and the North line of said Lofland tract to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a corner;
THENCE: North $89^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 307.93 feet continuing along said fence to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner at a fence corner post;
THENCE: North $00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 127.33 feet to a fence corner post for a corner;
THENCE: North $89^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 544.40 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner at the most Northerly Southeast corner of the previously mentioned Northshore Phase 2B;
THENCE: Along the East and then the North lines of said addition as follows: North $00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 190.00 feet to a $1^{1 / 2}$ iron rod set for a corner; North $89^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 5.01 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a corner; North $00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 140.00 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a corner at the most Northerly Northeast corner of said addition: North $89^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 500.68 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 145.744 acres of land.


| HAROLD L.EVANS CONSULTING ENGINEER <br> 331 GUS THOMASSON RD. SUITE 102 PHONE (214) 328-8133 |  |  |  | ZONING MAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | HILLCREST MEADOWS |
|  |  |  |  | MAHR DEVELOPMENT CORP. |
| $\frac{\operatorname{scate}}{1: 200}$ | ${ }_{\text {dant }}^{\text {Di.2.93 }}$ | - |  | 5 Preston Road, Suite 830, Dallas, Texas 75240 |

## REASONS OF THE REQUEST

The city initiated planned development zoning change of "PD-41" - Planned Development No. 41, amendment of the City of Rockwall Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance (Ord. No. 83-23) and Ordinance No. 94-15 resulted from the Planned Development review process performed by the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission as required under the Planned Development section of the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.

Furthermore, the purposed of the change is to allow the development of a singlefamily residential homes comparable to those permitted on other tracts in the area. The development may include private amenities for the use an enjoyment of the residents within the proposed development. Other improvements include greenbelts and landscaping along North Lakeshore Drive and Alamo Drive for the use and enjoyment of the general public.

## EXHIBIT "C"

## PROPOSED PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT FOR RESIDENTIAL USES

1. In conjunction with development of the District, Developer may construct an amenity center for the exclusive use and enjoyment of the residents of the district. The location and facilities included within such amenity center shall be considered for approval by the City at the time of consideration of the development plan for the first phase of the development. The cost of operating and maintaining the amenity center shall be responsibility of the Developer and/or Homeowners Association formed by the Developer.
2. Exterior wall construction with the Planned Development district shall consist of a minimum of $75 \%$ masonry with no single elevation of any structure containing less than $50 \%$ of its exposed surface of masonry construction as specified herein. This requirement applies only to the first floor of the structure.
3. Developer shall dedicate to the City of Rockwall (subject to approval of the City) a linear greenbelt as indicated on the revised Concept Plan (Exhibit "B") made part hereto of this ordinance. The details of the greenbelt shall be considered for approval by the City at the time of consideration of the development plan for the first phase of the development. Developer shall construct a public street along both sides of the greenbelt and shall (with review and recommendation for approval by the City's Park Board) install for public use a paved hiking path between one of the said streets and the creek.
4. Developer shall dedicate a minimum of 10 feet of additional right-of-way along North Lakeshore Drive and 5 feet along Alamo Drive for the purposes of constructing screening walls, landscaping, irrigation systems (including coverage of the street parkway) and other improvements.
5. On those lots not accessible to a paved alley, garage doors shall be oriented so as to not face a public street (i.e. j-swing garage access)

## HILLCREST MEADOWS

## REVISED ZONING APPLICATION

Total Area of the Site 145.7 Acres
Area One - 10,000 s.f. Lots Approximately 294 units* ..... 118.1 Acres
Area Two - 10,000 s.f. Lots Approximately 55 units ..... 19.6 Acres Assuming No Alleys
Area Three - Park / Greenbelt 8.0 Acres145.7 Acres
The revised Concept Plan as reflected through this ordinance indicates the following percentages:
10,000 s.f. Lots 81 \% by land area 84 \% by total units
10,000 s.f. Lots 13 \% by land area 16 \% by total units

# HILLCREST MEADOWS <br> PLANNED DEVELOPMENT NO. 41 AREA REQUIREMENTS - AREA ONE 

1. Maximum Lot Area -
2. Maximum number of single family dwellings per lot -

1
3. Minimum square footage per dwelling unit -
4. Minimum Lot Width -
5. Minimum Lot Depth -
6. Minimum Depth of Front Setback -

2,000 square feet
80 feet at the front building line
7. Minimum Depth of Rear Setback -

10 feet
8. Minimum Depth of Side Setback -
(a) Internal Lot - 6 feet
(b) Sideyard set back (abutting street) -

15 feet
(c) Sideyard set back (abutting an arterial) -

20 feet
9. Minimum distance between separate buildings on the same lot -

10 feet
10. Maximum building area (as a percentage of lot area) 35\%
11. Maximum Height of Structures 36 feet
12. Minimum Number of off-street Parking spaces per unit (garage Area will not be considered) -2

# HILLCREST MEADOWS <br> PLANNED DEVELOPMENT NO. 41 AREA REQUIREMENTS - AREA TWO 

1. Maximum Lot Area -
2. Maximum number of single family dwellings per lot -
3. Minimum square footage per dwelling unit -
4. Minimum Lot Width -
5. Minimum Lot Depth -
6. Minimum Depth of Front Setback -
7. Minimum Depth of Rear Setback -
8. Minimum Depth of Side Setback -
(a) Internal Lot -
(b) Sideyard set back (abutting street) -
(c) Sideyard set back (abutting an arterial) -
9. Minimum distance between separate buildings on the same lot -

10 feet
10. Maximum building area (as a percentage of lot area) -

35\%
11. Maximum Height of Structures -
13. Minimum Number of off-street

Parking spaces per unit (garage
Area will not be considered) -
1

100 feet
25 feet
10 feet

6 feet

15 feet

20 feet

都

36 feet

2

10,000 square feet (without alleys)

1,800 square feet
80 feet at the front building line

|  | ritze | $\frac{86871}{3170}$ | 3ve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dYOD LNJWdO7ヨA |  <br>  Zヨ3NI9NG 9NIITISNOD SNVAヨ $7070 ४ \forall H$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| d $V$ W SNINOZ |  |  |  |
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## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 41 (PD-41) [ORDINANCE NO.'S 94-15 \& 01-27] AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 04-38] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 41 (PD-41) AND REZONING A 1.46-ACRE TRACT OF LAND FROM SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 41 (PD-41), BEING A ~145.744-ACRE TRACT OF LAND Situated within the a. hanna survey, abstract no. 98 AND THE J. G. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 124, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT ' $A$ ' AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT ' $B$ ' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF A FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Doug Galloway of Viaduct Development on behalf of GotRocks Properties, LLC for the approval of a zoning change from Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) for General Retail (GR) District land uses for a 1.46-acre tract of land being a portion of a larger 2.96-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, North Lake Shore Daycare Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District and Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) [Ordinance No.'s 94-15 \& 01-27] for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) land uses, addressed as 1940 N. Lakeshore Drive, and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance as Tract 2, and depicted in Exhibit 'B' of this ordinance as a portion of Area 4, which hereinafter the combine acreage of Tracts $1 \& 2$ in Exhibit 'A' shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and,

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) [Ordinance No.'s $94-$ 15 \& 01-27], Specific Use Permit (SUP) No. S-057 [Ordinance No. 08-39], and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the approval of this ordinance shall supersede all requirements stipulated in Ordinance No. 's 94-15, 01-27 \& 08-39;

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 2002] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Concept Plan, described in Exhibit 'B' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $B$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the $P D$ Development Standards, described in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 5. That the official zoning map of the City of Rockwall, Texas be corrected to reflect the change in zoning described here in.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of two thousand dollars ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38], and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] of any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

## PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $1^{\text {ST }}$ DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021.



## EXHIBIT 'A':

Legal Description

## Tract 1: Existing Planned Development District 41 (PD-41)

BEING a tract of land situated in the A. Hanna Survey, Abstract No. 98, and the J.G.B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 124, Rockwall County, Texas, and being a part of that certain 262.474 acre tract of land described as Tract 1, in Special Warranty Deed, recorded in Volume 147, Page 474 Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas, and further being a part of that tract of land conveyed to Robert S. Folsom by Richard W. Pickens, by deed recorded in Volume 100, Page 293, and being part of a 85.873-acre tract of land conveyed to H. L. Williams by deed recorded in Volume 51, Page 397, and being part of a tract conveyed from Dickerson to Robert S. Folsom by deed recorded in Volume 100, Page 677, and part of a tract being conveyed from W. F. Barrow Jr. to R.S. Folsom by deed recorded in Volume 102, Page 403, and all of the above conveyances are recorded in the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set at the intersection of the north line of Northshore Phase 2B, an addition to the City of Rockwall recorded in Slide B, Page 324, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas, with easterly line of N . Lakeshore Drive, 100-foot right-of-way;

THENCE along the easterly lines of N. Lakeshore Drive, all to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rods set for corner as follows:
North $4^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 13.66 -feet to the beginning of a curve to the right, having a central angle $51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 450 -feet, and a chord that bears North $30^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 391.72-feet;

Along said curve an arc distance of 405.28 -feet to the end of said curve;
North $56^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 279.87 -feet to the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of $67^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 681.01 -feet, and a chord that bears North $22^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 754.68-feet;

Along said curve an arc distance of 799.87 -feet to the end of said curve;
North $10^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 800.41 -feet to the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of $10^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 750.00 -feet, and a chord that bears North $5^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 134.03-feet;

Along said curve an arc distance of 134.21 -feet to the end of said curve, and North $0^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 30.46 -feet to the center of a public road (Alamo Road);

THENCE along the center of said public road as follows:
South $58^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 266 . 02 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $24^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 129.52 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $42^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 88.95 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $56^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 465.30 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner; South $63^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 383.27 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner at the northeast corner of said Pickens Tract;

THENCE South $1^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 25.74 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner in a fence line on the southerly line of said road and at the northwest corner of said Dickerson Tract;

THENCE along said southerly line and generally with said fence line all $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rods set for corner as follows:

South $65^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 403.91 -feet;
South $81^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 206.91 -feet;
North $88^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of $1,150.00$-feet;

North $81^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 965.12 -feet;
South $63^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 247.93 feet-to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner at the northeast corner of said Barrow Tract and said Tract 1;

THENCE South $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 194.61 -feet with the east line of said Barrow Tract and said Tract 1 to a $1 / 2 / 2$ iron rod set for corner at a fence post;

THENCE South $08^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 84.59 -feet with an old fence line to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for corner on the north line of Northshore Phase 3, an addition to the City of Rockwall, recorded in Slide A, Page 337;

THENCE North $89^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 305.83 -feet to the northeast corner of said addition;
THENCE South $01^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 522.77 -feet with the east line od said addition to a point for a corner;

THENCE South $00^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 209.37 -feet to the most northerly southeast corner of said addition;

THENCE South $89^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 252.98 -feet to an "ell" corner of said addition;
THENCE South $00^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 232.75 -to the southerly southeast corner of said addition and the most easterly northeast corner of Northshore Phase 1, recorded in Slide A, Page 181, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE with the north lines of said Northshore Phase 1 and the south lines of said Northshore Phase 3 as follows:

South $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 190.05 -feet to a point for a corner;
South $00^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 3.55 -feet to a point for a corner;
South $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West passing at 318.51 -feet to the southwest corner of said Phase 3 and continuing a total distance of $1,595.56$-feet to the most northerly northwest corner of said Phase 1;

THENCE North $00^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 300.00 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner;
THENCE South $89^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 127.37 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found at the point of curvature of a circular curve to the left having a central angle of $24^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 650.00 -feet, and a chord that bears South $77^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 270.29 -feet;

THENCE along said curve an arc distance of 272.27 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner;
THENCE South $65^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 285.66 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found at the point of curvature of a circular curve to the right having a central angle $14^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 350.00 -feet, and a chord that bears South $72^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 88.18 -feet;

THENCE along said curve an arc distance of 88.42 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner;
THENCE South $09^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ East passing at 297.25 -feet to the northwest corner Rockwall School Addition No. 2, an addition to the City of Rockwall and continuing a total distance of 315.00 -feet to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;

THENCE South $00^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 134.43 -feet along the west line of said addition to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for comer at the northeast comer of that tract of land conveyed to N. L. Lofland by deed recorded in Volume 29, Page 462, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE South $89^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 377.53 -feet generally along a fence and the north line of said Lofland tract to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a corner;

THENCE North $89^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 307.93 -feet continuing along said fence to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner at a fence corner post;

THENCE North $00^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 127.33 -feet to a fence corner post for a corner;
THENCE North $89^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 544.40 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for a corner at the most northerly southeast corner of the previously mentioned Northshore Phase 2B;

THENCE Along the east and then the north lines of said addition as follows:
North $00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 00$ " East a distance of 190.00 -feet to a $1^{\prime \prime}$ " iron rod set for a corner; North $89^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 5.01 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a corner;
North $00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 140.00 -feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod set for a corner at the most northerly northeast corner of said addition.

THENCE North $89^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 500.68 feet to the POINT BEGINNING and containing 145.744-acres of land.

Tract 2: Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District Tract of Land Being Incorporated into Planned Development District 41 (PD-41)

BEING a tract of land situated in the A. Hanna Survey, Abstract No. 98, and the N. Butler Survey, Abstract No. 21, Rockwall County, Texas, and part of the North Lakeshore Daycare Addition, as recorded on August 30, 2018 in the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the most northeastern corner of the North Lakeshore Daycare Addition, an addition to the City of Rockwall, Block A, Lot 1, Rockwall Central Appraisal District Property ID\# 72086;

THENCE Along the property lines and adjacent zoning boundary lines of said addition as follows:
South $13^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 186.68 -feet for a corner;
South $45^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 148.33 -feet for a corner;
North $24^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 129.52 -feet for a corner;
North $58^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 266.02 -feet for a corner;
North $89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 52.33 -feet along the North boundary of the subdivision for a point;
North $89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East continuing a distance of 419.29 -feet to the POINT BEGINNING and containing 1.46-acres ( $63,457.121894$ SF) of land.



## EXHIBIT 'B’:

Concept Plan


## EXHIBIT 'C':

PD Development Standards

(A) Purpose.
(1) Ordinance No. 94-15. The purpose of the request is to permit the development of single-family homes comparable to those permitted on other tracts in the area. The development may include private amenities for the use and enjoyment of the residents within the subdivision. Other improvements will include greenbelts for the use and enjoyment of the general public and landscaping along N . Lakeshore Drive and Alamo Road.
(2) Ordinance No. 01-27. The City initiated a zoning amendment to Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) in accordance with the Planned Development Review Process performed by the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission as required under the Planned Development of the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance (Ordinance No. 83-23).
(3) Ordinance No. . The purpose of this request is to incorporate a 1.46 -acre tract of land into Planned Development District 41 (PD-41) and change a 2.96 -acre property at the northeast corner of E. Fork Drive and N. Lakeshore Drive to allow limited General Retail (GR) District land uses and development standards.
(B) Areas 1 \& 2: ~145.7-Acres [Phases 1, 2 \& 3 of the Preserve Subdivision]: The area identified as Areas 1 \& 2 in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the following requirements:
(1) Permitted Land Uses. Areas $1 \& 2$ shall be subject to the permitted land uses stipulated for properties in a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District as specified by Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future.
(2) Lot Composition. The lot composition shall conform to the following:

| Area | Acreage | Minimum Lot <br> Size (SF) | Dwelling <br> Units (\#) | Dwelling <br> Unit (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\sim 118.10-$ Acres | 10,000 SF | 294 | $84.24 \%$ |
| 2 | $\sim 19.60-$ Acres | 10,000 SF | 55 | $15.76 \%$ |
| TOTAL: | $\sim 145.70$-Acres |  | 349 | $100.00 \%$ |

(3) Density and Dimensional Requirements. The following density and dimensional requirements are required for development on Areas $1 \& 2$ :

Lot Type (see Concept Plan) $\quad$ AREA $1{ }^{(1)}$

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | AREA 1 ${ }^{(1)}$ | AREA 2 ${ }^{(1)}$ \& (2) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | 10,000 SF | 10,000 SF |
| Minimum Lot Width at Front Building Setback | $80^{\prime}$ | $80^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $100^{\prime}$ | $100^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | $25^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | $6^{\prime}$ | $6^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Residential Street) | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (Adjacent to an Arterial Street) | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | $10^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) | $2,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 2,000 SF |
| Minimum Distance Between Buildings | $10^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Maximum Height | $36^{\prime}$ | $36^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Off-Street Parking Requirement ${ }^{(3)}$ | 2 | 2 |

General Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : Maximum number of single-family dwellings per lot is one (1).
${ }^{2}$ : Without alleyways.
3: Minimum two (2) car garage required.

## EXHIBIT 'C'

PD Development Standards
(4) Masonry Requirements. Exterior wall construction within the Planned Development District shall consist of a minimum of $75 \%$ masonry with no single elevation of any structure containing less than $50 \%$ of its exposed surface of masonry construction as specified herein. This requirement applies only to the first floor of the structure.
(5) Garage Orientation. On lots not accessible by an alleyway, garage doors shall be oriented in a Traditional Swing or J-Swing (i.e. oriented so that the garage doors do not face onto a public street).
(6) Schematic Sections. All development within Areas $1 \& 2$ shall maintain conformance with the following schematics:

Figure 1: Park and Trail

## EXHIBIT 'C'

PD Development Standards
Figure 2: Screening Along N. Lakeshore Drive


Figure 3: Screening Along Alamo Road

(C) Area 3: 8.0-Acres [Greenbelt and Open Spacel: The area identified as Area 3 in Exhibit 'B' of this ordinance shall be preserved as a greenbelt, and shall not be developed for any purpose other than to provide open space for the area identified as Areas 1 \& 2 in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
(D) Area 4: 2.96-Acres [General Retail (GR) District]: The area identified as Area 4 in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the following requirements:

## EXHIBIT 'C':

PD Development Standards
(1) Permitted Land Uses. Area 4 shall be limited to the following land uses: [1] Medical Office Building, [2] Office Building, and [3] Daycare with Seven (7) or More Children. These land uses shall be subject to the Conditional Land Use Standards stipulated for a property in a General Retail (GR) District as required by Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future.
(2) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Area 4 shall be subject to all of the development standards for a property in a General Retail (GR) District as stipulated by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future; however, the minimum lot depth for a property in Area 4 shall be 83 -feet.
(3) General Overlay District Standards. Area 4 shall be subject to the development requirements contained in Subsection 06.02, General Overlay District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC); however, only a ten (10) foot landscape buffer will be required along N. Lakeshore Boulevard and E. Fork Road.


| TO: | Planning and Zoning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATE: | January 12, 2021 |
| APPLICANT: | David LeCour |
| CASE NUMBER: | Z2020-058; Specific Use Permit for an Accessory Building at 507 S. Clark Street |

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David LeCour for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory structure on a 0.50 -acre parcel of land identified as Block 107 of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 507 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed prior to 1934 based on the August 25, 1934 Sanborn Map. At some point after August 25, 1934 the subject property was platted as Block 107, B. F. Boydston Addition. According to the City's historic zoning maps, the subject property was zoned Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District as of January 3, 1972. This designation changed between January 4, 1972 and May 15, 1983 to Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District based on the May 16, 1983 zoning map. According to the Rockwall County Appraisal District (RCAD) an 884 SF single-family home was constructed on the subject property in 1975. RCAD also indicates there is a 600 SF and a 70 SF accessory building on the subject property; however, according to the applicant these accessory buildings were removed from the subject property after they were damaged by storms in 2019.

## PURPOSE

The property owner and applicant -- David LeCour -- is requesting the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow the construction of an accessory building that exceeds the maximum square footage permitted for accessory buildings as stipulated by Subsection 07.04, Accessory Structure Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is addressed as 507 S . Clark Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property are six (6) parcels of land (i.e. 503, 501, 407, 405, 403 S Clark Street), three (3) vacant, three (3) with single-family homes, and all zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this are five (5) single-family homes within the Old Town Rockwall Historic District, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is Hartman Street, which is identified as a R2 (i.e. residential, two [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

South: Directly south of the subject property are eight (8) single-family homes (i.e. 509, 511, $513,515,601,603,605$, and 607 S. Clark Street) zoned Two-Family (2F) District. Beyond this are three (3) parcels of land (703, 705, and 709 E. Boydstun Avenue) zoned Planned Development District 52 (PD-52) for Two-Family (2F) District land uses. Beyond this is E. Boydstun Avenue, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property are three (3) parcels of land (i.e. 502 and 506 Renfro Street) zoned SingleFamily 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is Renfro Street, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

West: $\quad$ Directly west of the subject property is S . Clark Street, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is the Mill Co. Subdivision, which is comprised of 14 lots. Six (6) of the lots are zoned Commercial (C) District and eight (8) are zoned Two-Family (2F) District. Beyond this is Sherman Street, which is identified as a R2 (i.e. residential, two [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted an application, survey, and photos requesting to permit a 35 -foot by 20 -foot (or 700 SF ) accessory building. The applicant indicated in the Planning and Zoning Commission Work Session meeting on December 29, 2020 that the accessory building's façade will be clad in unpainted corrugated aluminum. The proposed accessory structure will not be set on a permanent foundation (i.e. no concrete slab foundation), and will utilize individual posts set in concrete in lieu of framing (i.e. the structure will be a pole barn) in much the same way agricultural structure is constructed. The structure will be $\sim 11$-feet, 2 -inches in total height, and be situated at the rear of the subject property -- adjacent to the southern property line -- approximately 79 -feet from the rear property line and twelve (12) feet from the side yard property line. Staff should note that the applicant started construction on the structure without a permit, and currently several poles have been erected (see picture in the attached packet).

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 07.04, Accessory Structure Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District allows a total of two (2) accessory buildings each being 144 SF in size or one (1) accessory building at 144 SF in size and one (1) detached garage at 625 SF in size. In addition, accessory buildings are limited to a maximum height of 15 -feet and a minimum setback of three (3) feet from the rear property line and six (6) feet from the side property line. Accessory buildings are required to have a minimum of six (6) feet of separation from any other primary or accessory structure. This section of the code goes on to state, "(a)ccessory buildings and structures shall be architecturally compatible with the primary structure, and be situated behind the front façade of the primary structure ... [and] (a)ccessory buildings and structures not meeting the size requirements stipulated by this section shall require a Specific Use Permit (SUP)." In addition, the section states that "(a)ll accessory buildings that are not portable accessory buildings require a permanent concrete foundation."

## STAFF ANALYSIS

In this case, the applicant's request conforms with the setback and height requirements for accessory buildings; however, the proposed size of the accessory building exceeds the maximum permissible size by 556 SF. Staff performed a review of the aerial imagery of the homes in the surrounding area (a total of 33 single-family homes are in this area) and determined that there does not appear to be any accessory buildings in the area of a similar size as to what the applicant is proposing. Staff should also note that 15 of the 33 homes surveyed had accessory structures, with the largest accessory structure observed being a detached garage (built in 1964) that is 800 SF . The average size of all accessory structures analyzed is 304 SF , and the average size of the accessory structures excluding detached garages is 182 SF . Staff should also note that the proposed exterior cladding (i.e. unpainted corrugated aluminum) does not appear to be compatible with the primary structure, and the foundation is atypical for accessory buildings on residential lots; however, the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) request is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission. If this request is approved the applicant must have a surveyor complete a survey of the subject property to ensure the proposed building is not within the 100 -floodplain or encroaching any easements. The completion of this survey is listed as a condition of approval. With all this being said, the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) request is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On December 22, 2020, staff mailed 85 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Park Place Homeowner's Association (HOA), which is the only HOA/Neighborhood Organizations that is within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted staff had received the following:
(1) Three (3) property owner notifications from property owners within the notification area (i.e. within the 500 -foot buffer) in favor of the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory building that exceeds the maximum permissible size, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the operational conditions contained in the SUP ordinance and which are detailed as follows:
(a) The Accessory Building shall generally conform to the concept plan and the conceptual building elevations depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of the Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance; and
(b) The Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum size of 700 SF ; and
(c) The subject property shall not have more than one (1) accessory building.
(2) A survey of the property must be completed to ensure the proposed building is not within the 100 -floodplain or any established easements;
(3) The applicant will need to apply for a building permit from the Building Inspections Department; and
(4) Any construction resulting from the approval of this zoning change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

## DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO. $22070-058$
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Replat ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat ( $\$ 150.00$ )
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request (\$100.00)
Site Plan Application Fees:
[ ] Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

Zoning Application Fees:
[ ] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ 1 Specific Use Permit $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)

## Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]



## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

Current Zoning
Proposed Zoning
Acreage

Current Use
Proposed Use
Lots [Current]

Lots [Proposed]
[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.

## OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]




## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared

[Owner] the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following:
"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$ 2 / 5 \frac{10}{}$
 that the City of Rockwall (ie. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information, contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public information."
Given under my hand and seal of office on this the


Owner's Signature


Notary Public in and for the State of Texas



City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Case Number: Z2020-058
Case Name: SUP for an Accessory Structure
Case Type:
Zoning: Case Address: 507 S. Clark Street


## Miller, Ryan

| From: | Gamez, Angelica |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, December 22, 2020 12:13 PM |
| Cc: | Miller, Ryan; Gonzales, David; Lee, Henry |
| Subject: | Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2020-058] |
| Attachments: | Public Notice (12.21.2020).pdf; HOA Map (12.19.2020).pdf |

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on December 25, 2020. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 $\underline{P M}$. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website:
https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

## Z2020-058 SUP for an Accessory Structure

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David LeCour for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory structure on a 0.50-acre parcel of land identified as Block 107 of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 507 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

## Angelica Gamez

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

This email was scanned by Bitdefender

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EmAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-058: Specific Use Permit for Accessory Structure

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David LeCour for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory structure on a 0.50 -acre parcel of land identified as Block 107 of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 507 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

-     -         - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM


## Case No. Z2020-058: Specific Use Permit for Accessory Structure

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


#### Abstract

Name:

\section*{Address:}

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.


MCCALLUM DARRELL
1 SOAPBERRY LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GADDIS DANNY E 12922 EPPS FIELD RD FARMERS BRANCH, TX 75234

HOGUE MIKE \& VICKY 1498 HUBBARD DR FORNEY, TX 75126

J\&M WARDELL HOLDINGS LLC 215 GRIFFIN AVENUE FATE, TX 75189

GLASS JO KAY HARRIS
301 MEADOWDALE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BRYAN KYLE \& HALEY BROOKE
401 E KAUFMAN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PITTMAN MICHAEL J JR \& JANIS
403 S CLARK ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TOVAR LUIS \& MARICELA 405 TYLER ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RICHARD HARRIS AND JUDY HARRIS FAMILY TRUST
RICHARD AND JUDY HARRIS- TRUSTEES
408 RENFRO ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RICHARD HARRIS AND JUDY HARRIS FAMILY TRUST
RICHARD AND JUDY HARRIS- TRUSTEES

$$
410 \text { RENFRO ST }
$$

ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ANGEL NADA
11014 ITASCA DR
DALLAS, TX 75228

TUTTLE LEON ETUX
1408 DHAKA DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LOWREY DAVID D 2070 PONTCHARTRAIN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MUNSON PARTNERS 1 LLC
2241 AUBURN AVE
DALLAS, TX 75214

HAMANN KRISTIE M
315 ROLLING MEADOWS CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PITTMAN MICHAEL J \& JANIS A
401 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TUTTLE LEON ETUX 404 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SOLID ROCK HOLDINGS LLC 406 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOSS MORRIS E AND DEBRA K BOSS 408 RIDGEVIEW ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
SIMS CHRIS AND TERESA
    4 1 0 ~ S ~ C L A R K ~ S T ~
    ROCKWALL, TX }7508
```

OLIVARES JAIME
1209 QUAIL DR GARLAND, TX 75040

GROOVYS BUSINESS PROPERTIES, LLC - SERIES
602 S CLARK
143 STONELEIGH DRIVE HEATH, TX 75032

RICHARD HARRIS AND JUDY HARRIS FAMILY
TRUST
RICHARD AND JUDY HARRIS- TRUSTEES
210 GIENN AVENUE
210 GLENN AVENUE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOWEN CHASE AND
PERRY BOWEN
230 MYERS ROAD
HEATH, TX 75032

COWAN JAMES MICHAEL AND PHYLLIS DIANE 3299 ROCHELLE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PANTOJA ANGEL \& DENESYN FIGUEROA
402 S CLARK STREET
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LIVINGSTON JUSTIN R \& BROOKE D
405 S CLARK ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOWEN CHASE AND
PERRY BOWEN
407 S CLARK ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GADDIS CAMILLE D 408 SOUTH CLARK STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RICHARD HARRIS AND JUDY HARRIS FAMILY TRUST
RICHARD AND JUDY HARRIS- TRUSTEES
412 RENFRO ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## TRUST

RICHARD AND JUDY HARRIS- TRUSTEES
500 RENFRO ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STARK ROBERT CLAYTON
501 SHERMANST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BRYAN KYLE \& HALEY BROOKE
503 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WARDELL JOHN P AND JULIE ANN C
506 RENFROST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MUNSON PARTNERS 1 LLC
508 MUNSON ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HAMANN KRISTIE M 511 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JIMENEZ ANTONIO P CRUZ AND NORMA L CRUZ HERNANDEZ

513 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GLASS JO KAY HARRIS
601 S CLARK ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GROOVYS BUSINESS PROPERTIES, LLC - SERIES
602 S CLARK
602 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES PEGGY
604 STORRS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087


EARNHEART JOHN L 504 MUNSON ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HITT FLOYD ESTATE DOROTHY SUE HITT MATTHIES AND 507 RENFRO ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HAMANN KRISTIE M 509 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEL BOSQUE RODOLFO 512 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GLASS JO KAY HARRIS 515 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOGUE CAROLYN SUE 602 RENFRO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COWAN JAMES MICHAEL AND PHYLLIS DIANE 603 ST MARYS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

J\&M WARDELL HOLDINGS LLC
604 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WARDELL JOHN P \& JULIE C 606 RENFRO ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILKERSON CLAUDE JR 606 SAINT MARY ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STARK ROBERT SCOTT 607 SAINT MARY ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOSS MORRIS E AND DEBRA K BOSS 608 ST MARYS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FARRELL KIMBERLY A 610 SAINT MARY ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

H \& M TOOL AND DIE CO
611 SAINT MARY ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOGUE ALLEN
703 E BOYSTUN AVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STARK ROBERT CLAYTON
710 AGAPE ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WARDELL JOHN P \& JULIE C 880 IVY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVIS AMY M AND WESLEY D 606 STORRS STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OLIVO DANIELA AND ALFRED 607 SOUTH CLARK STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> LOWREY DAVID D 608 STORRS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087


ANGEL NADA
612 STORRSST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOGUE ALLEN
705 E BOYDSTUN AVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STARK ROBERT C 710 AGAPE ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOLID ROCK HOLDINGS LLC 904 CAMPTON CT ROCKWALL, TX 75032

HOGUE MIKE \& VICKY 606 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HALDEMAN MICHAEL 607 STORRS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GADDIS DANNY E 609 STORRS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOGUE MIKE \& VICKY 610 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCCALLUM DARRELL 613 ST MARYS PL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOGUE MIKE AND VICKY 709 E BOYDSTUN AVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WARDELL JOHN P AND JULIE ANN C 880 IVY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HITT FLOYD ESTATE DOROTHY SUE HITT MATTHIES AND LYNDEL RAY TIPTON JR INDEPENDENT CO EXECUTORS 7836 YAMINI DR DALLAS, TX 75230

DEL BOSQUE RODOLFO PO BOX 2437
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Case No. Z2020-058: Specific Use Permit for Accessory Structure

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:
Address:
 Harris




Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

CITY OF ROCKWELL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-058: Specific Use Permit for Accessory Structure

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David LeCour for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory structure on a 0.50-acre parcel of land identified as Block 107 of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 507 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at $6: 00$ PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Henry Lee

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
USE THIS QR CODE

Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2020-058: Specific Use Permit for Accessory Structure

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
N am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

## Case No. Z2020-058: Specific Use Permit for Accessory Structure

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

a $a$ in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
Not apposed to an accessory structure.

Name: Sherri Johnston
Address: $\square$ Storms Street
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

> PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE


1) According to F.E.M.A. Flood Insurance Rate Map. Community Panel No. 4805470005 C dated
June 16, 1992 , this property lies in Zones A \& X. Part of this property does appear to lie within a June 16, 1992, this property lie
2) BEARING SOURCE: RECORDED PLAT.
3) ALL $1 / 2$ " IRS ARE CAPPED WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAPS "R.S.C.I. RPLS 5034.

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE
1, Harold D. Fetty, III, Registered Professional Land Surveyor No 5034, do hereby certify that the above
plat of the property surveyed for DAVID LECOUR at 507 S. Clark Street, Rockwall. Texas, is the result plat of the property surveyed for DAVID LECOUR at 507 S . Clark Street, Rockwall, Texas, is the result All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the B.F. BOYDSTUN SURVEY, ABSTRACT
NO. 14 and the R. BALLARD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being a part of that tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from Frank M. Jordan and wife, Lela Jordan to J.E. Harris, dated October 20, 1950 and being recorded in Volume 46 , Page 557 of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly escribed as follows:
BEGINNING at a $3 / 8 "$ iron rod found for corner in the East boundary line of S. Clark Street,
said point being at the Southwest corner of a tract of land as described in a Warranty deed said point being at the Southwest corner of a tract of land as described in a Warranty deed
from Joyce Elaine Gholson to Mario De Bosque, as recorded in Volume 1103, Page 152 of the from Joyce Elaine Gholson to Mario De Botque, as
THENCE S. 89 deq. 34 min .49 sec . E. along the common line between said De Bosque and Harris tracts, a distance of 278.84 fiet to a $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner at the Southeast
corner of said De Bosque tract.
THENCE S. 00 deg. 39 min . 19 sec . W. a distance of 80.02 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod with yellow
plastic cap stamped R.S.C.1,RPLS $5034^{\prime \prime}$ set for corner
THENCE WEST, at 157.92 feet pass a $1 / 2$ " iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "R.S.C.I. RPLS 5034 set for comer at the Northeast corner of Lot 7 , J.E. HARRIS SUBDIVISION, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Texas, according to the Plat thereof recorded in Cabinet A, Slide 7 of the P Ret Records of Rockwall Counly, Texas, and continuing for a total 5034 set ior comer in the East right-of-way line of S. Clark Street;
THENCE NORTH (Controlling bearing line) along the East along said right-of-way line, a
distance of 82.06 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 0.52 acres of land.
found at the time of survey. This survey meets the requirements of the Minimum Standards of Practic as approved and published by the Texas Board of Professional Land Surveying, effective September 1992 and subsequent revisions, at
the 9th day of September, 1999 .

$\qquad$ OMPANY, INC. 306 E. WASHINGTON, SUITE C ROCKWALL, TEXAS 76007 PHONE (972) 772-5434 FAX (972) 772-5443

Dent SiR or man am
ON APRIL 62018 A. STORM CAME THOUGH Rock wall damaging tit wort side of mY house and destroying mite roof and barn

THE ROOF WAS REPLACED IN MAY 2018. BUT THE INSURANCE ONLY PAID FO H THE barn and siding mapgriaz. No labor.

I Gouger siding and saw I could do it. I FINISHED TIE SIDING IN JUNE 2019

I stamen tearing down the band in Ser. 2019 And finished putting the poles in the ground.

ON DEC. 4 I WENT TO CITY HALL WITH SOME quESTIONS ABOUT STV AGE SHEDS'. DURING TIAI MEETING I FOUND I WASNOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH A CODE BI NOT HAVING THE bAN BUITT witting in months of the damaging event.

IF I had KNOWN THAT EVGYTHING WOULD HAVE BEEN DONE. IF ALLOWED TO FINISH IT WOULD BE COMPLETE IN 5 MONTHS

RESpeCt fully
David) LE COR





## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-XXX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) TO ALLOW AN ACCESSORY BUILDING THAT EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SIZE ON A 0.50ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS BLOCK 107, B. F. BOYDSTON ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DEPICTED AND DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from David LeCour for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) to allow an Accessory Building that exceeds the maximum allowable size on a $0.50-$ acre parcel of land described as Block 107 of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 507 S. Clark Street, and being more specifically depicted and described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) allowing an Accessory Building as stipulated by Subsection 07.04, Accessory Structure Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 07.04, Accessory Structure Development Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] -- as heretofore amended and as may be amended in the future --, and with the following conditions:

### 2.1. OPERATIONALCONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the operation of an Accessory Building on the Subject Property and conformance to these conditions are required for continued operations:
(1) The Accessory Building shall generally conform to the concept plan depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
(2) The Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum size of 700 SF.
(3) The subject property shall not have more than one (1) accessory building.

### 2.2. COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP), of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require compliance to the following:
(1) Upon obtaining a Building Permit, should the homeowner fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outline in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City Council may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Section 2.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $1^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021.

## ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: January 19, 2021
2nd Reading: February 1, 2021

Jim Pruitt, Mayor


Exhibit 'A'
Zoning Exhibit
Address: 507 S. Clark Street
Legal Description: Block 107, B. F. Boydston Addition


Exhibit 'B':
Site Plan


TO:<br>DATE:<br>APPLICANT:<br>CASE NUMBER:<br>Planning and Zoning Commission<br>January 12, 2021<br>Matthew Deyermond; TC Planning and Design Group<br>Z2020-060; Zoning Change from Agricultural (AG) District and Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-<br>2.0) District to Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5)


#### Abstract

SUMMARY Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Matthew Deyermond of TC Planning and Design Group on behalf of the owners Donald Wallace for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District on a 8.17-acre portion of a larger 123.00-acre tract of land identified as Tract 44-01 and all of Tracts 45-02 \& 45-07 of the W. W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District, generally located on the north side of H . Wallace Lane north of the intersection of H . Wallace Lane and Horizon Road [FM-3097], and take any action necessary.


## BACKGROUND

The subject property is an 8.17 -acre portion of a larger 123.00 -acre tract of land that is situated within the W.W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80. This property is generally located at the northwest quadrant of the intersection of H . Wallace Lane and Horizon Road [FM-3097], and is commonly referred to as the Wallace Tract. The City Council approved Ordinance No. 08-13, annexing the subject property on February 18, 2008. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On August 3, 2020, the City Council approved a zoning change [Case No. Z2020-029] for a two (2) acre tract of land from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District. This tract of land has been incorporated into the 8.17acre subject property that the applicant is requesting to rezone to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District.

## PURPOSE

On December 18, 2020, the applicant -- Matthew Deyermond of TC Planning and Design -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District. The applicant has stated the purpose of the rezoning request is to establish three (3) single-family residential parcels of land.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located at the northwest quadrant of the intersection of H. Wallace Lane and Horizon Road [FM-3097]. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is County Line Road, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this thoroughfare is the eastside of the Lake Rockwall Estates subdivision, which is zoned Planned Development District 75 (PD-75) [Ordinance No. 16-01] for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. Adjacent to this property, on the eastside of Lake Rockwall Estates, is an 84.0 -acre vacant tract of land being a portion of a larger 144.0-acre tract of land identified as Tract 3 of the J. R. Johnson Survey, Abstract No. 128. This property is zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

South: Directly south of the subject property is Horizon Road [FM-3097], which is designated as a M4D (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan. Beyond this is the City's corporate boundaries
followed by the City of Heath. On the eastside, south of the subject property is H . Wallace Lane, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan. Beyond this are several residential land uses zoned Agricultural (AG) and Single-Family Estate 1.5 \& 2.0 (SFE-1.5 \& SFE-2.0) Districts. In addition, there are several office buildings zoned Planned Development District 57 (PD-57) for Commercial (C) District land uses. These uses are a part of the Alliance Addition.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is H . Wallace Lane, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan. Beyond this are the Oaks of Buffalo Way and Willowcrest Estates Subdivisions, which consist of 91 single-family residential lots. These subdivisions are zoned Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District and Planned Development District 51 (PD-51) for single-family estate land uses.

West: Directly west of the subject property are several non-residential properties zoned Commercial (C) District. Beyond this is Ranch Trail Road, which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant is requesting to rezone the 8.17-acre portion of subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District and a SingleFamily Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for the purpose of constructing single-family residential homes on three (3) individual lots. It should be noted that the applicant will be required to final plat the 8.17 -acre tract into three (3) buildable lots in order to construct the homes.

## INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's submittal the following infrastructure is required:

## Water Improvements

The Water Distribution Master Plan indicates that a 12 -inch waterline will be constructed in the front of these properties in the future. The applicant will be required to dedicate a 20 -foot utility easement at the front property line at the time of platting in order to accommodate the installation of the water line.

## Sewer Improvements

Since City sanity sewer lines are greater than 100 -feet from the subject property and the proposed lot will be greater than $11 / 2$ acre in size, the applicant will need to provide an On-Site Sewage Facility (OSSF) meeting the City of Rockwall and Rockwall County's standards.

## Roadways

The Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the Comprehensive Plan indicates $\underline{H}$. Wallace Lane is identified as a Minor Collector, which requires a minimum of a 60 -foot right-of-way with a 41 -foot, back-to-back roadway. The applicant is responsible dedicating any additional right-of-way (i.e. as measured 30 -feet from the centerline of the roadway) necessary for this roadway at the time final plat.

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 03.02, Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District is the proper zoning classification for rural, estate lots that are a minimum of $1^{112}$ acres in size ...(t)hese lots are typically in the City's hinterland, away from higher density residential developments and non-residential developments; however, they may be used in areas closer to the periphery of the City's developed areas, where they will serve as a logical transition to an estate or rural area." In this case, this portion of the Wallace Tract (i.e. 259.924-acres) was annexed into the City in 2008 and is primarily an agriculturally used property. Staff should note that the majority of the properties adjacent to H. Wallace Lane are zoned Agricultural (AG) District, with the exception of two (2) properties that were rezoned in the past creating four (4) single-family estate lots (i.e. SFE-2.0 \& SFE-1.5). If the applicant's zoning request is approved, the subject property will be required to conform to all of the requirements stipulated for properties in a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District, which are summarized as follows:

## TABLE 1: SINGLE-FAMILY ESTATE 1.5 (SFE-1.5) DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

| Number of Dwelling Units/Lot | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Dwelling Units/Acre | 1.0 |
| Minimum Dwelling Unit | 2,000 SF |
| Minimum Lot Area | 65,340 SF |
| Minimum Lot Width | $150-$ Feet |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 250 -Feet |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | 50 -Feet |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | $10-$ Feet |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | $25-$-eet |
| Between Buildings | $10-$ Feet |
| Building Height | 36 -Feet |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | $35 \%$ |
| Required Parking Spaces | 2 |

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan indicates that the subject property is located in the Southwest Residential District and is designated for Low Density Residential land uses. The applicant's request, to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District and Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE1.5) District, is in conformance with the Low Density Residential designation. In addition, the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan seeks to "...(p)reserve the City's current residential to non-residential land use ratio (i.e. 80\% Residential; $20 \%$ Commercial) in order to maintain a balance mix of land uses for fiscal sustainability ..." [Section 02.01; CH. 1; Page 1-1]. The Land Use Plan contained in the Comprehensive Plan was created to guide the City toward the desired $80 \%$ residential to $20 \%$ non-residential land use balance. Currently, the land use balance is at $75.90 \%$ residential land uses to $24.10 \%$ nonresidential land uses. Since this request is in conformance with the Land Use Plan, the land use ratio will remain unchanged if approved.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On December 22, 2020, staff notified nine (9) property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. There are no Homeowners Association's (HOA's)/Neighborhood Associations within 1,500-feet of the subject property participating in the HOA/Neighborhood Notification Program. At the time this report was written, staff had not received any notices regarding the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE 2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE1.5) District, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) Any construction resulting from the approval of this zoning change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SJGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat $\left(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat (\$200.00 + \$15.00 Acre) ${ }^{1}$
] Final plat $\{\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
] Replat ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat (\$150.00)
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request (\$100.00)
Site Plan Applicotion Fees:
[ ] Site Plan $\left(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

## Zoning Application Fees:

[ 4 Z Zoning Change $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
1: In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]



## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| Current Zoning | A | Current Use | Restdential \& A |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Zoning | SFE 1.5 | Proposed Use | Residentical |

[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you ocknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the Gity no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]


DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION * CITY OF ROCKWALL * 385 SOUTH GOLIAO STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 • [P] (972) 771-7745 • (F] (972) 771-7727


City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com


## City of Rockwall

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
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Case Number: Z2020-060
Case Name: AG \& SFE-2.0 to SFE-1.5 Case Type:

Zoning:

Agricultural (AG) District and SingleFamily Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District Case Address: 330 H . Wallace Lane

Date Created: 12/19/2020
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


HUNT JACKSON W JR
191 JEFF BOYD RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

KRECEK RICHARD L AND JANETTE C
405 H WALLACELN
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MCCOSH GORDON ETUX 529 H WALLACE LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

MORTON MARGARET ANNE WALLACE
224 H WALLACE LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

PALOMBA LISA
421 H WALLACE LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

WALLACE LAND PARTNERS LP
6271 HORIZON RD
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

WALLACE DONALD J \& CATHERINE
330 H WALLACE LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

WILCK PAUL JJR
463 H WALLACE LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ROCKWALL RENTAL PROPERTIES LP PO BOX 818
TERRELL, TX 75160

CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
PHONE: (972) 771-7745
EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2020-060: Zoning Change from AG to SFE-1.5

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Matthew Deyermond of TC Planning and Design Group on behalf of the owners Donald Wallace for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District on a 8.17-acre portion of a larger 123.00-acre tract of land identified as Tract 44-01 and all of Tracts 45-02 \& 45-07 of the W. W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District, generally located on the north side of $H$. Wallace Lane north of the intersection of H. Wallace Lane and Horizon Road [FM-3097], and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:
David Gonzales
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

Sincerely,


Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE

MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

- . - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM



## Case No. Z2020-060: Zoning Change from AG to SFE-1.5

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square$ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

Address:
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.



| LEGEND: | PERMITTED LAND USES IN AN SINGLE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use NOT Permitted |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted By-Right |  |  |  |
| P Land Use Permitted with Conditions |  |  |  |
| S Land Use Permitted Specific Use Permit (SUP) | FAMILY ESTATES (SFE) DISTRICT |  |  |
| X Land Use Prohibited by Overlay District |  |  |  |
| A Land Use Permitted as an Accessory Use |  |  |  |
| LAND USE SCHEDULE | LAND USE DEFIIITION REFERENCE [Reference Atricle 13, Definitions] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONDITIONAL USE } \\ & \text { REFERENCE } \\ & \text { Reference [Article 04, } \\ & \text { Permissible Uses] } \end{aligned}$ | SINGLE FAMILY ESTATE DISTRICTS |
| UTILITIES, COMMUNICATIONS AND TRANSPORTATION LAND USES | 2.02(K) | 2.03(K) |  |
| Antenna, as an Accessory | (2) | (1) | S |
| Antenna; for an Amateur Radio | (4) | (3) | A |
| Antenna Dish | (5) | (4) | A |
| Utilities (Non-Municipally Owned or Controlled), Including Sanitary Landilil, Water Treatment, and Supply, and Wastewater Treatment | (10) |  | S |
| Municipally Owned or Controlled Facilities, Utilities and Uses | (11) |  | P |
| Private Streets | (12) |  | S |
| Railroad Yard or Shop | (14) |  | S |
| Satellite Dish | (16) |  | A |
| Solar Energy Collector Panels and Systems | (17) | (7) | A |
| Utilities Holding a Franchise from the City of Rockwall | (21) |  | S |
| Utility Installation Other than Listed | (22) |  | S |
| Utility/Transmission Lines | (23) |  | S |
| Wireless Communication Tower | (24) |  | S |

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT AND SINGLE-FAMILY ESTATE 2.0 (SFE-2.0) DISTRICT TO A SINGLE-FAMILY ESTATE 1.5 (SFE-1.5) DISTRICT FOR AN 8.17-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS A PORTION OF TRACT 44-01 AND ALL OF TRACTS 45-02 \& 4507 OF THE W.W. FORD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 80, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT ' $A$ ' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Matthew Deyemond of TC Planning and Design on behalf of the owner Donald Wallace for a change in zoning from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estates 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District for an 8.17-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Tract 44-01 and all of Tracts 45-02 \& 45-07 of the W.W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SFE-2.0) District, and more fully depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, Texas, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended by amending the zoning map of the City of Rockwall so as to change the zoning of the Subject Property from an Agricultural (AG) District and a Single-Family Estate 2.0 (SF-2.0) District to a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE1.5) District.

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes provided for a Single-Family Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District as stipulated in Subsection 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Subsection 03.02, Single-Family

Estate 1.5 (SFE-1.5) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting of this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future.

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in the zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 5. If any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable.

SECTION 6. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be and the same are hereby repealed, and all other ordinances of the City of Rockwall not in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $1^{\text {ST }}$ DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021.

## ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:


Frank J. Garza, City Attorney


## Exhibit 'A'

Legal Description

## TRACT ONE (1):

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM W. FORD SURVEY, Abstract No. 80, in Rockwall County, Texas, being a portion of a tract of land conveyed to Donald J. Wallace (hereinafter referred to as "Wallace tract 750"), as recorded in Volume 177, Page 750, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and all of a tract of land conveyed to Donald J. \& Catherine Wallace, as recorded in Volume 6568, Page 258, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, as shown on survey, and being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a PK nail set for comer, being the South comer of said "Wallace 750" tract, also being the East comer of a tract of land conveyed to Billy R. and Margaret Morton, as recorded in Volume 3603, Page 1, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and in the approximate center line of H . Wallace Lane;

THENCE North 46 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds West, at a distance of 361.50 feet passing the North comer of said Morton tract, said comer also being the Eastern most comer of a tract of land conveyed to Margaret Wallace Morton as recorded in Volume 180, Page 592, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, continuing for a total distance of 500.00 feet to a $3 / 8$ inch iron rod found for comer, being the West comer of said "Wallace tract 750";

THENCE North 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds East, a distance of 331.22 feet to $1 / 2$ inch yellow-capped iron rod set for comer, being on the Northwest line of said "Wallace tract 750";

THENCE South 46 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 500.00 feet to a PK nail set for corner, being in the approximate center line of H. Wallace Lane;

THENCE South 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds West, a distance of 331.22 feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING and containing 165,611.50 square feet or 3.802 acres of land.

## TRACT TWO (2):

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM W. FORD SURVEY, Abstract No. 80, in Rockwall County, Texas, and being a portion of a tract of land conveyed to Donald J. Wallace (hereinafter referred to as "Wallace tract 750"), as recorded in Volume 177, Page 750, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and a portion of a tract of land conveyed to Donald J. Wallace, as recorded in Volume 177, Page 570 (hereinafter referred to as "Wallace tract 570"), of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, as shown on survey, and being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

COMMENCING at a PK nail set for comer, being the South corner of said "Wallace tract 750", also being the East comer of a tract of land conveyed to Billy and Margaret Morton, as recorded in Volume 3603, Page I, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and in the approximate center line of H. Wallace Lane;

THENCE North 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds East, a distance of 331.22 feet to a PK nail set for comer, being on the Southeast line of said "Wallace tract 750," and being in the approximate center line of H. Wallace Lane, and being the POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE North 46 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds West, a distance of 500.00 feet to a PK nail set for corner, being in the Northwest line of said "Wallace tract 750";

THENCE North 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds East, at a distance of 119.28 feet passing the North comer of said "Wallace tract 750," also being the East comer of said "Wallace tract 570," continuing a total distance of 180.32 feet to $1 / 2$ inch yellow-capped iron rod set for comer, being on the Northwest line of said "Wallace tract 570";

THENCE South 46 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 500.00 feet to a PK nail set for corner, being in the approximate center line of H . Wallace Lane;

THENCE South 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds West, a distance of 180.32 feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING and containing 90,159 square feet or 2.07 acres of land.

## TRACT THREE (3):

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM W. FORD SURVEY, Abstract No. 80, in Rockwall County, Texas, being a portion of a tract of land conveyed to Donald J. Wallace, as recorded in Volume 177, Page 570 (hereinafter referred to as "Wallace tract 570"), of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, as shown on survey, and being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

COMMENCING at a PK nail set for comer, being the South comer of a tract of land conveyed to Donald J. Wallace, as recorded in Volume 177, Page 750, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, also being the East comer of a tract of land conveyed to Billy and Margaret Morton, as recorded in Volume 3603, Page I, of the Deed Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and in the approximate center line of H . Wallace Lane;

THENCE North 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds East, a distance of 511.54 feet to a PK nail set for comer, being on the Southeast line of said "Wallace tract 570," and being in the approximate center line of H. Wallace Lane, and being the POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE North 46 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds West, a distance of 500.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch yellow-capped iron rod set for comer, being on the Northwest line of said "Wallace tract 570";

THENCE North 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds East, at a distance of 200.32 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch orange-capped iron rod found for comer, being the North comer of said "Wallace tract 570";

THENCE South 46 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 500.00 feet to a PK nail set for comer, from which a 1/2-inch orange-capped iron rod found for reference bears North 46 degrees 20 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 33.05 feet, and being in the approximate center line of H . Wallace Lane;

THENCE South 43 degrees 39 minutes 04 seconds West, a distance of 200.32 feet to the PLACE OF BEGINNING and containing 100,159 square feet or 2.30 acres of land.


## Exhibit 'C'

## Location Map \& Legal Description

General Location: Northeast of the Intersection of H. Wallace Lane and Horizon Road [FM3097] Legal Description: An 8.17-Acre Portion of Tract 44-01 of the W.W. Ford Survey, Abstract No. 80


385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:<br>Planning and Zoning Commission<br>DATE:<br>APPLICANT:<br>CASE NUMBER:<br>January 12, 2021<br>Phil Craddock, AIA; Craddock Architecture<br>SP2020-032; Amended Site Plan for Heritage Christian Academy (HCA) for a Gymnasium and Classrooms


#### Abstract

SUMMARY Discuss and consider a request by Phil Craddock, AIA of Craddock Architecture on behalf of Brad Helmer of Heritage Christian Academy for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Gymnasium and Classrooms in conjunction with an existing private school on a 6.64 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Heritage Christian Academy, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District, addressed as 1408 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.


## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed into the City of Rockwall on June 20, 1959 by Ordinance No. 59-02. The City's historic zoning maps indicate that the subject property was zoned Multi-Family 1 (MF-1) District as of January 3, 1972, and MultiFamily 15 (MF-15) District as of May 16, 1983. The change in zoning designation from Multi-Family (MF-1) District to MultiFamily (MF-15) District was the result of the adoption of the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance [Ordinance No. 83-23] on May 16, 1983. On June 7, 2004, the City Council adopted the Unified Development Code (UDC), which changed the zoning designation of the subject property from Multi-Family 15 (MF-15) District to Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District [Ordinance No. 0438]. On January 7, 2019, the City Council approved a Specific Use Permit (SUP) [Case No. Z2018-046; Ordinance No. 19-02] extending the use of the temporary educational buildings that are currently on the subject property for a period of two (2) years. These buildings were originally approved by a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) in 1999, which was extended in 2003, and reissued as a Specific Use Permit (SUP) in 2009. This Specific Use Permit (SUP) was also extended in 2013. The purpose of continuing to extend this CUP and SUP's were to allow the Heritage Christian Academy (HCA) additional time to establish the funding and plans necessary to construct a permanent facility. The current SUP also established a schedule for the phasing out of the three (3) temporary educational buildings. The applicant is currently on track with that schedule.

## PURPOSE

On December 18, 2020, the applicant -- Phil Craddock, AIA of Craddock Architecture -- submitted an application requesting the approval of an amended site plan for the purpose of constructing a $\sim 22,409 \mathrm{SF}$ gymnasium and classroom facility in conjunction with an existing private school (i.e. Heritage Christian Academy).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is addressed as 1408 S . Goliad Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property is a cemetery followed by S. Goliad Street [SH-205], which is identified as a P6D (i.e. principle arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is are several vacant tracts of land zoned Planned Development District 68 (PD-68) for limited Commercial (C) District land uses.

South: Directly south of the subject property is a house of worship (i.e. Our Land of the Lake Catholic Church) and an apartment complex (i.e. Sonoma Court Apartments). These properties are zoned Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District.

Beyond this is a single-family residential subdivision (i.e. Waterstone Estates Subdivision) consisting of 123 single-family residential lots, which is zoned for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is an apartment complex (i.e. Pebble Brook Apartments) that is zoned MultiFamily 14 (MF-14) District. Beyond this is S. Goliad Street [SH-205], which is identified as a P6D (i.e. principle arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are several commercial buildings (e.g. Auto Zone, Rustic Warehouse, Dairy Queen, etc.). These properties are zoned for Commercial (C) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is a vacant tract of land, which is zoned Planned Development District 27 (PD-27) for multi-family land uses. Beyond this is a shopping center (i.e. Eastridge Center), which is zoned Planned Development District 1 (PD-1) for General Retail (GR) District and Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District land uses.

## DENSITY AND DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

With the exclusion of the variances and exceptions being requested, and the items outlined in the Conditions of Approval section of this case memo, the submitted site plan, landscape plan, treescape plan, photometric plan, and building elevations generally conform to the technical requirements contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC) for a property located within a Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District. A summary of the density and dimensional requirements for the subject property and the proposed projects conformance to these requirements is as follows:

| Ordinance Provisions | Zoning District Standards | Conformance to the Standards |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 6.636 -Acres; In Compliance |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | 25 Feet | $x>25$-Feet; In Compliance |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | 10 Feet | $x>10$-Feet; In Compliance |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 10 Feet | $x>10$-Feet; In Compliance |
| Maximum Building Height | 36 Feet | $\sim 32$ '5" at Midpoint of Roof; In Compliance |
| Maximum Building/Lot Coverage | $45 \%$ | $\sim 7.75 \%$; In Compliance |
| Minimum Masonry Requirement | $90 \%$ | $x<90 \% ;$ Variance Required |
| Minimum Number of Parking Spaces | 55 | 56 Provided; In Compliance |
| Minimum Stone Requirement | $X<20 \% ;$ Variance Required |  |
| Minimum Landscaping Percentage | $20 \%$ on Each Facade | $\sim 33.8 \%$; In Compliance |

## TREESCAPE PLAN

The treescape plan provided by the applicant indicates a total of 66 caliper inches of trees will be removed from the site with this project. The landscape plan shows that 24 , four (4)-caliper inch trees or 96 caliper inches [i.e. $244^{* \prime \prime}=96^{\prime \prime}$ ] will be planted with this project. Additionally, the applicant is saving a 33 caliper inch Pecan tree, which will reduce the mitigation balance on an inch-for-inch basis to 33 -caliper inches due. The installation of the new canopy trees and the 33 caliper inch tree credit for saving the Pecan tree will satisfy the tree mitigation balance [i.e. 33 -inch mitigation balance -96 -inches of new trees $=63$-inch surplus].

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

Subsection 03.12, Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), indicates that the Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District is intended for high density developments (i.e. multi-family developments) and should be located within 1,200-feet of retail and other services. According to Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the proposed uses associated with a private school (i.e. gymnasium and classroom facility) are allowed by-right in a Multi-family 14 (MF-14) District. In this case, the addition of the gymnasium and classroom facility will alleviate the need for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the temporary educational buildings, which will bring the property closer to conforming with the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC). In addition, the proposed gymnasium and classroom facility land uses are not typically considered intensive commercial land uses, nor are they high-volume water/wastewater users.

## VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS REQUESTED BY THE APPLICANT

Based on the information submitted by the applicant, staff has identified the following variances and exceptions to the requirements of Subsection 04.01, General Commercial District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, and Subsection 06.02, General Overlay District Standards, of Section 06.01, Overlay Districts, of Article 05, of the Unified Development Code (UDC):
(1) Building Articulation.
(A) Articulation (Primary Building Facades). According to Subsection 04.01(C)(1), General Commercial District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the UDC, primary facades require projections associated with entryways, architectural elements and wall lengths. Specifically, primary architectural/entryway elements are required to extend a minimum of $25 \%$ above the top of the wall and a minimum of $25 \%$ from the walls surface. In addition, no wall should exceed a length of three (3) times the walls height without an architectura/entryway element. In this case, the primary entrance (i.e. south elevation) does provide articulation; however, there is a long expanse that exceeds 66 -feet (i.e. three (3) times the walls height) and does not meet the horizontal articulation standards and requires approval of an exception to the General Commercial District Standards of the UDC.
(B) Articulation (Secondary Building Façades). According to Subsection 04.01(C)(2), General Commercial District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the UDC, primary facades require projections associated with entryways, architectural elements and wall lengths. Specifically, primary architectural/entryway elements are required to extend a minimum of $15 \%$ above the top of the wall and a minimum of $15 \%$ from the walls surface. In addition, no wall should exceed a length of three (3) times the walls height without an architectura/entryway element. In this case, the secondary facades (i.e. north, east, and west elevations) provide vertical articulation; however, there are long expanses that exceeds 66 -feet (i.e. three (3) times the walls height) and does not meet the horizontal articulation standards and requires approval of an exception to the General Commercial District Standards of the UDC.
(2) Roof Design Standards. According to Subsection 04.01(A)(1), General Commercial District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the UDC, structures being constructed with a pitched roof system must have a minimum of a $6: 12$ roof pitch. The proposed building incorporates a pitched roof with a $4: 12$ roof pitch.
(3) Materials and Masonry Composition.
(A) Masonry Composition. According to Subsection 06.02(C)(1), General Overlay District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the UDC, each exterior wall of a building's façade shall consist of a minimum of $90 \%$ Primary Materials and/or a maximum of ten (10) percent Secondary Materials. The proposed building incorporates less than $75 \%$ brick and more than ten (10) percent architectural metal panels on each façade. Based on this the request requires approval of a variance to the General Overlay District Standards of the UDC.
(B) Stone. According to Subsection 06.02(C)(1)(a)(1), General Overlay District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the UDC. A minimum of $20 \%$ natural or quarried stone is required on all building facades. The proposed building does not incorporate any natural or quarried stone on any of the facades; however, the applicant is providing $20.10 \%$ stone on the south facing elevation on the retaining wall, which is located below the subfloor of the structure. Additionally, west elevation incorporates $4.70 \%$ stone. The lack of natural or quarried stone requires approval of a variance to the General Overlay District Standards of the UDC.

According to Subsection 09.01, Exceptions to the General Standards, and Subsection 09.02, Variances to the General Overlay District Standards, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), unless otherwise specified by the UDC "(a)n applicant may request the Planning and Zoning Commission grant an exception [variance] to the provisions contained in the Unified Development Code (UDC), where unique or extraordinary conditions exist or where strict adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) would create an undue hardship." In this case, two (2) exceptions and one (1) variance is being requested from the Planning and Zoning Commission, which would require six (6) compensatory measures that "... directly offset the requested exception." The
applicant has provided a letter outlining the requested exceptions and variance and the off-setting compensatory measures, which are summarized as follows:
(1) The provision of additional canopy and accent trees on the north and south side of the building.
(2) The provision of stone at the base of the building foundation and on the retaining walls.
(3) The provision of a plaza space in front of the main entry to the Gym and green space between the building and the retaining wall.
(4) The provision of display windows and varied roof heights.

Staff is obligated to point out that the applicant has failed to provide the required six (6) compensatory measures and that compensatory measure \#'s 2 (all retaining walls require stone finishes) \& 4 (articulation and architectural elements) are actually requirements of both the General Commercial District Standards and the General Overlay District Standards, and that the applicant in most cases is just meeting the minimum requirements; however, staff would also like to point out: [1] the approval of the proposed gym would allow for the removal of the temporary buildings, and [2] the private school has an established aesthetic and the applicant has done a good job of incorporating materials that make the building complimentary to the existing school campus. With all this being said, the approval of any variance and/or exception to the requirements of the UDC is a discretionary decision for the Planning and Zoning Commission, and will require a supermajority vote (i.e. a three-fourths vote of those members present) -- with a minimum of four (4) votes in the affirmative required -- for approval.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Plan adopted with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan indicates that the subject property is located within the Scenic District, and is designated for Quasi-Public land uses. This district has a large percentage of public and quasi-public land uses ( $\sim 26.58 \%$ ), which include Rockwall High School, Yellow Jacket Park, Heritage Christian Academy, and Our Lady of the Lake Catholic Church. With regard to the District Strategies for the Scenic District, this area is not anticipated to change or transition in the future due to the significant development already existing within this district. The zoning on the subject property and the proposed land uses are existing and therefore in conformance with the Future Land Use Map designation for the subject property.

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD (ARB) RECOMMENDATION

On December 29, 2020, the Architectural Review Board (ARB) reviewed the proposed building elevations for the gymnasium and classroom facility and requested the applicant revise the north building elevation to provide additional projections (i.e. pilasters) and/or recesses that would bring this elevation into better conformance with the UDC. Additionally, the ARB requested color elevations and that the applicant provide additional magnolia trees along Damascus Road as compensatory measure for the requested variances. The applicant has made changes to the north elevation providing recesses and contrasting gray brick areas, intended to create the appearance of articulation. In addition, the applicant has added integrated banding elements to this elevation as an added architectural feature. The applicant has also provided the requested color elevations and added trees along Damascus Road as requested. The Architectural Review Board (ARB) will review the applicant's revised building elevations at the January 12, 2021 meeting, and provide a recommendation to the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to approve the applicant's amended site plan for a gymnasium and classrooms on the subject property, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All staff comments provided by the Planning, Engineering and Fire Department must be addressed prior to the submittal of engineering plans.
(2) A Certificate of Occupancy (CO) will not be issued for the new facility (i.e. gymnasium and classrooms) until all existing temporary classroom structures are removed from the site.
(3) The subject property will require a replat prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
(4) Any construction resulting from the approval of this site plan shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087

DATE: 1/8/2021

| PROJECT NUMBER: | SP2020-032 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT NAME: | Site Plan for Heritage Christian Academy Addition |
| SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS: | 1406 S GOLIAD ST |

SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS: 1406 S GOLIAD ST

| CASE MANAGER: | David Gonzales |
| :--- | :--- |
| CASE MANAGER PHONE: | (972) 772-6488 |
| CASE MANAGER EMAIL: | dgonzales@rockwall.com |

CASE CAPTION: Discuss and consider a request by Phil Craddock, AIA of Craddock Architecture on behalf of Brad Helmer of Heritage Christian Academy for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Gymnasium and Classrooms in conjunction with an existing private school on a 6.64-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Heritage Christian Academy, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District, addressed as 1408 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ENGINEERING | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ |  |
| $01 / 06 / 2021:$ Revisions are approved. |  |  | Approved w/Condition |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| BUILDING | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| No Comments |  |  | N/A |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| FIRE | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |

01/06/2021: Fire lane radii shall comply with current fire code requirements based on building height—review for compliance.
FDC shall be facing and visible from the fire lane.
FDC must be within 100 -feet of a fire hydrant.
The FDC shall be clear and unobstructed with a minimum of a 5 -feet clear all-weather path from fire lane access. Review landscape plan for obstructions unless remote FDC is planned.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GIS | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  |  | N/A |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| POLICE | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  | NTATUS OF PROJECT |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| PARKS | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |



The City prefers that a representative(s) be present for all of the scheduled meetings.

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

| Platting Application Fees: |
| :--- |
| [ ] Master Plat $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$ |
| [ ] Preliminary Plat $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$ |
| [ ] Final Plat $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { Acre) })^{1}$ |
| [ ] Replat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre $^{1}$ |
| [ ] Amending or Minor Plat $(\$ 150.00)$ |
| [ ] Plat Reinstatement Request $(\$ 100.00)$ |
| Site Plan Application Fees: |
| [X] Site Plan $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$ |
| [ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan $(\$ 100.00)$ |

```
Zoning Application Fees:
[ ] Zoning Change ( \(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\) Acre) \({ }^{1}\)
[ ] Specific Use Permit \((\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}\)
[ ] PD Development Plans \(\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.\) Acre) \({ }^{1}\)
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[X] Variance Request (\$100.00)
```

Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]



## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| Current Zoning | MF-14 |  | Current Use | PRIVATE SCHOOL |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Zoning | NO CHANGE |  | Proposed Use | NO CHANGE |
| Acreage | 6.641 | Lots [Current] | 1 | Lots [Proposed] |

[X] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ [Owner] the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following:
$\qquad$ omitted herein is true and corr
day of
December
contained within this application $\qquad$ and the a
2020
plication fee of $\$$ $\square$82
"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all inform a
cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the _, 2020 By signing this application, Lagre that the City of Rockwall (i.e. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public information."
Given under my hand and seal of office on this the

$\qquad$


DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION * CITY OF ROCKWALL * 385 SOUTH GOLIAD STREET * ROCKWALL, TX 75087 * [P] (972) 771-7745 * [F] (972) 771-7727


Letter of Variance Requests
12/16/2020

## Attn: ARB and Planning and Zoning

## Re: Development of new HCA Gym and Classroom Building at 1408 S. Goliad St. Rockwall TX 75087

This is a Private School, The Existing Buildings are Set back off S. Goliad St. (205) along Damascus Road. The Existing Campus consists of 3 different buildings each with their own Architectural Vernacular. The New Facility is a 22,351 SF building with a Gym, 6 Classrooms and Locker rooms. The intent is that the new Building will allow HCA to remove the Portable Buildings in the back of the site and utilize the new Classrooms as well as the Gym.

The Site touches the Overlay district of 205 so we will be requesting several variances to the Development Ordinance. The existing zoning on the Site is MF-14 at 6.641 Acres.


The Building above is the most recent
With the original being top right and then The Bottom right coming in between.

The Basis for the requested variance is the Eclectic and very different design styles Of the campus. Our intent was to design

A New Modern Gym / Classroom building
With elements that could be carried over


To the existing buildings at a later date.

The Design of the New building is intended to incorporate a Blend of the Tan Bricks as the main field with Dark Gray Velour textured brick. The Top portion incorporates some Architectural Metal panel and Red Accent Stripes reflective of the Schools Colors.


Developments within the MF-14 Shall conform to Sub. 1.01 Gen. Commercial District and 7.02 Multi Fam. District Standards.

## Variances Requested:

1. Sec. 04 (A) Construction Standards
a. Articulation Standards - The Facades don't meet the Articulation standards. The building is broken up with Material colors and roof planes. This is a Private School and not a Commercial Building open to the Public. The last building added to the Site does not have any Articulation.
b. Roof Pitch - Sloped roofs by ordinance should be 6:12
i. We are Requesting 4:12 pitch on the Main Roof and then a 1:12 pitch on the low roof at the locker rooms and forming the porch areas. A steep pitch will only increase the visibility and is really not feasible over a Gymnasium. A Flat roof would not have as nice of a look as the building designed.
ii. The intent is to infill the lot to provide a new Classroom and Gym Facility that will enable the school to eliminate the portable buildings therefore it is important to maximize the footprint of the Structure.
iii. The Compensatory measure 1, is to add Additional Canopy and Accent Trees on the North and South side of the Building.
iv. The Compensatory measure 2, is to provide Natural stone at the Base of the Building foundation wall and on all of the Retaining walls
2. Overlay District Material Standards
a. The Standards require 20\% Natural Stone with a $90 \%$ Masonry and 10\% Secondary material Requirement. The Existing Buildings are 100\% masonry and do not have stone. In order to provide a Modern Design with the use of some metal panel and a varied color and material façade we are requesting a Variance to the Material Standards.
i. The Compensatory measure 1, is the Plaza Space in front of the Main entry to the Gym as well as the green space between the building and the Retaining wall.
ii. The Compensatory measure 2 , is the Display windows and varied roof heights provided.

## Thank you for considering our Variance Requests, we do feel like this building will make a Nice New Aesthetic for the Campus as we continue to grow.

## Sincerely,

## Craddock Architecture, PLLC \& Heritage Christian Academy.
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| Catalog <br> Number |
| :--- |
| Notes |
| Type |

## Introduction

The modern styling of the D-Series is striking yet unobtrusive - making a bold, progressive statement even as it blends seamlessly with its environment.
The D-Series distills the benefits of the latest in LED technology into a high performance, high efficacy, long-life luminaire. The outstanding photometric performance results in sites with excellent uniformity, greater pole spacing and lower power density. The Size 2 is ideal for replacing 400-1000W metal halide in area lighting applications with energy savings of up to $80 \%$ and expected service life of over 100,000 hours.

| Ordering Information |  |  | EXAMPLE: DSX2 LED P7 40K T3M MVOLT SPA NLTAIR2 PIRHN DDBXD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DSX2 LED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Series | LEDS | Color temperature | Distribution |  |  | Voltage | Mounting |  |  |
| DSX2 LED |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 30 \mathrm{~K} & 3000 \mathrm{~K} \\ 40 \mathrm{~K} & 4000 \mathrm{~K} \\ 50 \mathrm{~K} & 5000 \mathrm{~K} \end{array}$ | T1S <br> T2S <br> T2M <br> T3S <br> T3M <br> T4M <br> TFTM | Type I Short (Automotive) <br> Type II Short <br> Type II Medium <br> Type III Short <br> Type III Medium <br> Type IV Medium <br> Forward Throw <br> Medium | T5VS Type V Very Short ${ }^{3}$ <br> T5S TypeV Short ${ }^{3}$ <br> T5M TypeV Medium ${ }^{3}$ <br> T5W TypeVWide ${ }^{3}$ <br> BLC Backlight control ${ }^{4}$ <br> LCCO Left corner cutoff ${ }^{4}$ <br> RCCO Right corner cutoff ${ }^{4}$ | MVOLT ${ }^{5}$ <br> XVOLT <br> (277V-480V) ${ }^{6,7,8}$ <br> $120^{9}$ <br> $208{ }^{9}$ <br> $240^{9}$ <br> $277^{9}$ <br> $347^{9}$ <br> $480^{9}$ | Shipped included |  |  |
| Control options |  |  |  |  |  | Other options |  | Finish (required) |  |
| Shipped installed  <br> NLTAIR2 nLight AlR generation 2 enabled ${ }^{13}$ <br> PIRHN Network, Bi-Level motion/ambient sensor ${ }^{14}$ <br> PER NEMA twist-lock receptacle only (no controls) ${ }^{15}$ <br> PER5 Five-wire receptacle only (no controls) 15,16 <br> PER7 Seven-wire receptacle only (no controls) <br> 15,16  |  |  | PIRH Bi-level, motion/ambient sensor, 15-30' <br> height, ambient sensor enable at $5 f f^{20}$ <br> PIRH1FC3V High/low, motion/ambient sensor, 8 -15' <br> height, ambient sensor enabled at 1 1f ${ }^{20}$ <br> FAO Field Adjustable Output ${ }^{23}$ |  |  | Shipped inst <br> HS House- <br> SF Single fis <br> DF Double <br> L90 Left rot <br> R90 Rightro <br> HA $50^{\circ} \mathrm{Cam}$ <br> Shipped sepa <br> BS Bird spi <br> EGS Externa | ed <br> e shield ${ }^{22}$ <br> $(120,277,347 \mathrm{~V})^{9}$ <br> se $(208,240,480 \mathrm{~V})^{9}$ <br> doptics ${ }^{2}$ <br> ted optics ${ }^{2}$ <br> ient operations ${ }^{1}$ <br> tely <br> 21 <br> lare shield | DDBXD <br> DBLXD <br> dnaxd <br> DWHXD <br> DDBTXD <br> DBLBXD <br> DNATXD <br> DWHGX | Dark bronze <br> Black <br> Natural aluminum <br> White <br> Textured dark bronze <br> Textured black <br> Textured natural aluminum <br> Textured white |

## Ordering Information

## Accessories

Ordered and shipped separately

DLL127F 1.5 JU
DLL347F 1.5 CULJU
DLL480F 1.5 CULJU
DSHORT SBKU
DSX2HS 80CU
DSX2HS 90CU
DSX2HS 100 CU
PUMBA DDBXD U*
KMA8 DDBXD U
Photocell - SSL twist-lock (120-277V) Photocell - SSL twist-lock (347V) ${ }^{24}$ Photocell - SSL twist-lock (480V) ${ }^{24}$ Shorting cap ${ }^{24}$
House-side shield for 80 LED unit ${ }^{22}$ House-side shield for 90 LED unit ${ }^{22}$ House-side shield for 100 LED unit ${ }^{22}$ Square and round pole universal mounting bracket (specify finish) ${ }^{25}$ Mast arm mounting bracket adaptor (specify finish) ${ }^{12}$
DSX2EGS (FINISH) U External glare shield
For more control options, visit DTL and ROAM online.

## NOTE

1 HA not available with P5, P7, P8, P13, and P14.
2 P10, P11, P12, P13 or P14 and rotated optics (L90, R90) only available together.
3 Any Type 5 distribution with photocell, is not available with WBA
4 Not available with HS
5 MVOLT driver operates on any line voltage from $120-277 \mathrm{~V}(50 / 60 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
6 XVOLT is only suitable for use with P5, P6, P7, P8, P13 and P14
7 XVOLT works with any voltage between 277 V and 480 V .
8 XVOLT not available with fusing (SF or DF) and not available with PIRH or PIRH1FC3V.
9 Single fuse (SF) requires $120 \mathrm{~V}, 277 \mathrm{~V}$ or 347 V . Double fuse (DF) requires $208 \mathrm{~V}, 240 \mathrm{~V}$ or 480 V
10 Suitable for mounting to round poles between $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ diameter.
11 Universal mounting bracket intended for retrofit on existing pre-drilled poles only. 1.5 G vibration load rating per ANCl C 136.31 . Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT Lithonia template \#8
12 Must order fixture with SPA option.Must be ordered as a separate accessory; see Accessories information. For use with 2-3/8" diameter mast arm (not included).
13 Must be ordered with PIRHN. Sensor cover only available in dark bronze, black, white or natural aluminum color
14 Must be ordered with NLTAIR2. For more information on nLight Air 2 visit this link.
15 Photocell ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. See accessories. Not available with DS option. Shorting Cap included
16 If ROAM® node required, it must be ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. Node with integral dimming.
17 DMG not available with PIRHN, PER5, PER7, PIR, PIRH, PIR1FC3V or PIRH1FC3V, FAO
18 Requires (2) separately switched circuits with isolated neutrals.
19 Provides 50/50 fixture operation via (2) independent drivers. Not available with PER, PER5, PER7, PIR or PIRH. Not available with P1, P2, P10
20 Reference Controls Options table settings table on page 4. Reference Motion Sensor Default table on page 4 to see functionality.
21 Reference controls options table on page 4.
22 Not available with BLC, LCCO and RCCO distribution. Also available as a separate accessories; see Accessories information.
23 Must be ordered with fixture for factory pre-drilling.
24 Requires luminaire to be specified with PER, PER5 and PER7 option. Ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls.
25 For retrofit use only. Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT Lithonia template \#8.

## Options

## EGS - External Glare Shield



## Drilling

HANDHOLE ORIENTATION


A
Handhole

Template \#8


## Tenon Mounting Slipfitter

| Tenon 0.D. | Mounting | Single Unit | 2 @ 180 | $2 @ 90$ | $3 @ 90$ | 3 @120 | 4 @ 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2-3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | RPA | AS3-5 190 | AS3-5 280 | AS3-5 290 | AS3-5390 | AS3-5320 | AS3-5 490 |
| $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | RPA | AST25-190 | AST25-280 | AST25-290 | AST25-390 | AST25-320 | AST25-490 |
| $4 "$ | RPA | AST35-190 | AST35-280 | AST35-290 | AST35-390 | AST35-320 | AST35-490 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Option | Drilling Template | Single | 2 @ 180 | $2 @ 90$ | $3 @ 90$ | $3 @ 120$ | $4 @ 90$ |
| Head Location |  | Side B | Side B \& D | Side B \& C | Side B, C \& D | Round Pole Only | Side A, B, C\&D |
| Drill Nomenclature | \#8 | DM19AS | DM28AS | DM29AS | DM39AS | DM32AS | DM49AS |

## DSX2 Area Luminaire - EPA

*Includes luminaire and integral mounting arm. Other tenons, arms, brackets or other accessories are not included in this EPA data.

| Fixture Quantity \& Mounting <br> Configuration | Single DM19 | 2@180 DM28 | 2@90 DM29 | 3@90 DM39 | 3@120 DM32 | 4 @ 90 DM49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Type | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| DSX2 LED | 1.100 | 2.200 | 2.120 | 3.300 | 2.850 | 4.064 |


|  | Drilling Template | Minimum Acceptable Outside Pole Dimension |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPA | $\# 8$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| RPA | $\# 8$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ |
| SPUMBA | $\# 5$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3 \prime$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| RPUMBA | $\# 5$ | $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5^{\prime \prime}$ |

Isofootcandle plots for the DSX2 LED 80C 1000 40K. Distances are in units of mounting height ( $30^{\prime}$ ).












## Lumen Ambient Temperature (LAT) Multipliers

Use these factors to determine relative lumen output for average ambient temperatures from $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32-104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$

| Ambient |  | Lumen Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $41^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.03 |
| $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.02 |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.01 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 ^ { \circ } \mathrm { C }}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 ^ { \circ }} \mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.99 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.98 |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.97 |

## Projected LED Lumen Maintenance

Data references the extrapolated performance projections for the platforms noted in a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ambient, based on 10,000 hours of LED testing (tested per IESNA LM-80-08 and projected per IESNA TM-21-11).
To calculate LLF, use the lumen maintenance factor that corresponds to the desired number of operating hours below. For other lumen maintenance values, contact factory.

| Operating Hours | 0 | 25000 | 50000 | 100000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumen Maintenance Factor | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.85 |


| Electrical Load |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Current (A) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Performance Package | LED Count | Drive Current | Wattage | 120 | 208 | 240 | 277 | 347 | 480 |
| Forward Optics (Non-Rotated) | P1 | 80 | 530 | 140 | 1.18 | 0.68 | 0.59 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.32 |
|  | P2 | 80 | 700 | 185 | 1.56 | 0.90 | 0.78 | 0.66 | 0.52 | 0.39 |
|  | P3 | 80 | 850 | 217 | 1.82 | 1.05 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.63 | 0.48 |
|  | P4 | 80 | 1050 | 270 | 2.27 | 1.31 | 1.12 | 0.99 | 0.79 | 0.59 |
|  | P5 | 80 | 1250 | 321 | 2.68 | 1.54 | 1.34 | 1.17 | 0.93 | 0.68 |
|  | P6 | 100 | 1050 | 343 | 2.89 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.37 | 1.00 | 0.71 |
|  | P7 | 100 | 1250 | 398 | 3.31 | 1.91 | 1.66 | 1.45 | 1.16 | 0.81 |
|  | P8 | 100 | 1350 | 431 | 3.61 | 2.07 | 1.81 | 1.57 | 1.25 | 0.91 |
| Rotated Optics <br> (Requires L90 or R90) | P10 | 90 | 530 | 156 | 1.30 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.45 | 0.32 |
|  | P11 | 90 | 700 | 207 | 1.75 | 1.01 | 0.87 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.46 |
|  | P12 | 90 | 850 | 254 | 2.12 | 1.22 | 1.06 | 0.94 | 0.73 | 0.55 |
|  | P13 | 90 | 1200 | 344 | 2.88 | 1.65 | 1.44 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.73 |
|  | P14 | 90 | 1400 | 405 | 3.39 | 1.95 | 1.71 | 1.48 | 1.18 | 0.86 |


| Motion Sensor Default Settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | Dimmed State | High Level (when triggered) | Phototcell Operation | Dwell Time | Ramp-up Time | Ramp-down Time |
| PIR or PIRH | 3V (37\%) Output | 10V (100\%) Output | Enabled @ 5FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |
| *PIR1FC3V or PIRH1FC3V | 3V (37\%) Output | 10V (100\%) Output | Enabled @ 1FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |


| Controls Options |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nomenclature | Description | Functionality | Primary control device | Notes |
| FAO | Field adjustable output device installed inside the luminaire; wired to the driver dimming leads. | Allows the luminaire to be manually dimmed, effectively trimming the light output. | FAO device | Cannot be used with other controls options that need the $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ leads |
| DS | Drivers wired independently for 50/50 luminaire operation | The luminaire is wired to two separate circuits, allowing for 50/50 operation. | Independently wired drivers | Requires two separately switched circuits. Consider nLight AIR as a more cost effective alternative. |
| PER5 or PER7 | Twist-lock photocell receptical | Compatible with standard twist-lock photocells for dusk to dawn operation, or advanced control nodes that provide $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ dimming signals. | Twist-lock photocells such as DLL Elite or advanced control nodes such as ROAM. | Pins $4 \& 5$ to dimming leads on driver, Pins 6 \& 7 are capped inside luminaire |
| PIR or PIRH | Motion sensors with integral photocell. PIR for 8-15' mounting; PIRH for 15-30' mounting | Luminaires dim when no occupancy is detected. | Acuity Controls SBGR | Also available with PIRH1FC3V when the sensor photocell is used for dusk-to-dawn operation. |
| NLTAIR2 PIRHN | nLight AIR enabled luminaire for motion sensing, photocell and wireless communication. | Motion and ambient light sensing with group response. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride when wirelessly connected to the nLight Eclypse. | nLight Air rSBGR | nLight AIR sensors can be programmed and commissioned from the ground using the CIAIRity Pro app. |

## Performance Data

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts.
Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| orward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive Current | Power Package | System <br> Watts | Dist. <br> Type | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| 80 | 530 | P1 | 140W | T1S | 17,575 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 18,933 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 135 | 19,173 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 17,556 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 18,913 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 135 | 19,152 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 17,647 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 19,010 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 136 | 19,251 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 17,090 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 18,411 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 132 | 18,644 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 17,604 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 18,964 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 135 | 19,204 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 17,221 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 | 18,552 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 133 | 18,787 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 17,593 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 18,952 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 135 | 19,192 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 18,297 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 131 | 19,711 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 141 | 19,961 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 18,312 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 19,727 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 141 | 19,977 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 18,266 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 130 | 19,677 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 141 | 19,926 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 142 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 18,146 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 19,548 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 140 | 19,796 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 14,424 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 103 | 15,539 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 15,736 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 10,733 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 77 | 11,562 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 83 | 11,709 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 10,733 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 77 | 11,562 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 83 | 11,709 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 84 |
| 80 | 700 | P2 | 185W | T1S | 22,305 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 24,029 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 24,333 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 22,281 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 24,003 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 24,307 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 22,396 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 24,127 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 24,432 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 21,690 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 23,366 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 126 | 23,662 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 22,342 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 24,068 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 24,373 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 21,857 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 23,545 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 23,844 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 22,328 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 24,054 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 24,358 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 23,222 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 25,016 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 135 | 25,333 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 23,241 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 126 | 25,037 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 135 | 25,354 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 23,182 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 24,974 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 135 | 25,290 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 23,030 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 24,810 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 134 | 25,124 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 18,307 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 99 | 19,721 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 19,971 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 108 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 13,622 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 74 | 14,674 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 14,860 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 13,622 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 74 | 14,674 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 14,860 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 80 |
| 80 | 850 | P3 | 217W | T1S | 26,202 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 28,226 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 28,584 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 26,174 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 28,196 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 28,553 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 26,309 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 28,342 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 131 | 28,700 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 25,479 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 117 | 27,448 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 126 | 27,795 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 26,245 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 28,273 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 28,631 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 25,675 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 27,659 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 28,009 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 26,229 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 28,255 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 28,613 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 27,279 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 29,387 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 135 | 29,759 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 27,301 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 126 | 29,410 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 136 | 29,783 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 27,232 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 29,336 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 135 | 29,707 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 27,053 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 125 | 29,144 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 134 | 29,513 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 21,504 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 99 | 23,166 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 23,459 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 108 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 16,001 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 74 | 17,238 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 17,456 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 16,001 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 74 | 17,238 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 17,456 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 80 |
| 80 | 1050 | P4 | 270W | T1S | 30,963 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 33,355 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 33,777 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 30,930 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 33,320 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 33,742 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 31,089 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 33,491 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 33,915 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 30,108 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 32,435 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 120 | 32,845 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 31,014 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 33,410 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 33,833 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 30,340 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 32,684 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 121 | 33,098 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 30,995 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 33,390 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 124 | 33,812 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 32,235 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 34,726 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 129 | 35,166 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 32,261 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 34,754 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 35,194 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 32,180 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 34,667 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 128 | 35,105 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 31,969 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 34,439 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 128 | 34,875 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 25,412 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 94 | 27,376 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 101 | 27,722 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 18,909 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 20,370 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 75 | 20,628 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 18,909 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 20,370 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 75 | 20,628 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 76 |
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## Performance Data

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts.
Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Forward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive Cur- | Power | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW | Lumens | B | - | 6 | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| 80 | 1250 | P5 | 321W | T1S | 35,193 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 37,912 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 38,392 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 35,155 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 37,872 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 38,351 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 35,336 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 38,067 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 38,549 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 34,222 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 36,866 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 37,333 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 35,251 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 37,974 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 38,455 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 34,485 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 37,149 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 116 | 37,620 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 35,229 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 37,951 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 38,431 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | TSVS | 36,639 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 39,470 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 39,970 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 36,669 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 39,502 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 40,002 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 36,576 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 39,403 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 39,901 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 36,336 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 39,144 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 39,640 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 28,884 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 90 | 31,115 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 97 | 31,509 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 21,492 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 67 | 23,153 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 72 | 23,446 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 21,492 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 67 | 23,153 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 72 | 23,446 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 |
| 100 | 1050 | P6 | 343W | T15 | 37,824 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 40,747 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 41,263 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 37,784 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 40,704 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 41,219 |  | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 37,979 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 40,913 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 41,431 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 36,780 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 39,623 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 116 | 40,124 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 37,886 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 40,814 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 41,331 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 37,063 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 108 | 39,927 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 116 | 40,433 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 37,863 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 40,789 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 41,305 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | TSVS | 39,379 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 42,422 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 42,959 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 39,411 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 42,456 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 42,993 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 39,311 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 42,349 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 42,885 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 39,053 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 42,071 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 | 42,604 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 31,043 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 91 | 33,442 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 97 | 33,865 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 23,099 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 67 | 24,884 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 | 25,199 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 23,099 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 67 | 24,884 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 | 25,199 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 |
| 100 | 1250 | P7 | 398W | T15 | 42,599 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 107 | 45,890 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 46,471 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 42,553 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 45,842 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 46,422 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 42,773 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 107 | 46,078 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 46,661 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 41,423 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 104 | 44,624 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 45,189 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 42,669 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 45,966 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 46,548 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 41,742 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 105 | 44,967 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 45,537 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 42,643 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 45,938 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 46,519 |  | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 44,350 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 47,777 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 48,381 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 44,385 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 112 | 47,815 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 48,420 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 44,273 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 47,695 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 48,298 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 43,983 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 111 | 47,382 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 47,982 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 34,962 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 88 | 37,664 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 38,140 |  | 0 | 5 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 26,015 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 28,025 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 28,380 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 26,015 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 28,025 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 28,380 |  | 0 | 5 | 71 |
| 100 | 1350 | P8 | 448 W | T15 | 45,610 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 49,135 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 49,757 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 45,562 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 106 | 49,083 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 49,704 |  | 0 | 5 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 45,797 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 49,336 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 49,960 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 44,352 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 103 | 47,779 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 111 | 48,384 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 45,686 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 106 | 49,216 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 49,839 |  | 0 | 5 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 44,693 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 104 | 48,147 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 48,756 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 45,657 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 106 | 49,186 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 49,808 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 47,485 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 110 | 51,155 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 51,802 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 47,524 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 51,196 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 51,844 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 47,404 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 51,067 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 51,713 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 47,093 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 109 | 50,732 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 118 | 51,374 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 37,434 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 87 | 40,326 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 94 | 40,837 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 27,854 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 30,006 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 30,386 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 27,854 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 65 | 30,006 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 30,386 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 71 |

© 2011-2020 Acuity Brands Lighting, Inc. All rights reserved.

Lumen Output
Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts.
Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Rotated Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED Count | Drive Cur- | Power | System | Dist. Type | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 40 K$(4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI})$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| 90 | 530 | P10 | 156W | T1S | 20,145 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 | 21,702 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 139 | 21,977 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 20,029 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 128 | 21,577 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 138 | 21,850 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 140 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 20,391 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 | 21,967 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 141 | 22,245 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 19,719 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 126 | 21,242 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 136 | 21,511 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 20,379 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 | 21,954 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 141 | 22,232 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 19,995 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 128 | 21,540 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 138 | 21,812 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 140 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 20,511 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 131 | 22,096 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 142 | 22,376 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 20,655 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 132 | 22,251 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 143 | 22,533 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 144 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 20,482 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 22,064 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 141 | 22,343 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 20,477 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 131 | 22,059 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 141 | 22,338 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 20,293 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 21,861 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 140 | 22,138 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 142 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 16,846 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 108 | 18,148 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 18,378 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 12,032 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 77 | 12,961 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 83 | 13,125 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 12,016 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 77 | 12,944 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 83 | 13,108 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 84 |
| 90 | 700 | P11 | 207W | T1S | 25,518 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 27,490 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 133 | 27,837 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 25,371 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 | 27,331 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 132 | 27,677 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 25,829 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 | 27,825 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 134 | 28,177 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 24,977 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 | 26,907 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 130 | 27,248 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 25,814 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 125 | 27,809 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 134 | 28,161 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 25,327 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 27,284 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 132 | 27,629 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 25,981 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 126 | 27,989 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 135 | 28,343 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 26,164 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 28,185 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 136 | 28,542 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 25,943 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 125 | 27,948 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 135 | 28,302 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 25,937 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 27,941 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 135 | 28,295 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 25,704 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 27,691 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 134 | 28,041 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 21,339 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 103 | 22,988 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 23,279 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 15,240 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 74 | 16,418 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 79 | 16,626 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 15,220 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 74 | 16,396 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 79 | 16,604 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 80 |
| 90 | 850 | P12 | 254W | T15 | 29,912 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 32,223 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 32,631 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 29,740 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 | 32,038 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 126 | 32,443 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 30,277 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 32,616 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 128 | 33,029 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 29,278 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 31,540 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 124 | 31,940 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 30,259 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 32,597 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 128 | 33,010 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 29,688 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 | 31,982 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 126 | 32,387 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 30,455 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 | 32,808 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 129 | 33,224 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 30,669 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 33,039 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 130 | 33,457 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 30,411 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 32,761 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 129 | 33,176 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 30,404 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 32,753 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 129 | 33,168 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 30,131 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 32,459 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 128 | 32,870 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 25,013 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 98 | 26,946 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 27,287 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 17,865 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 19,245 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 76 | 19,489 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 77 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 17,841 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 19,220 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 76 | 19,463 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 77 |
| 90 | 1200 | P13 | 344W | T1S | 38,768 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 41,764 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 | 42,292 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 38,545 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 41,523 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 121 | 42,049 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 39,241 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 42,273 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 | 42,808 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 37,947 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 110 | 40,879 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 119 | 41,396 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 39,218 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 42,249 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 123 | 42,783 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 38,478 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 41,451 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 120 | 41,976 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 39,472 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 42,522 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 124 | 43,060 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 39,749 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 116 | 42,821 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 43,363 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 39,415 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 42,461 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 42,998 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 39,405 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 42,450 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 42,988 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 39,052 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 42,069 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 122 | 42,602 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 32,419 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 94 | 34,925 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 102 | 35,367 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 23,154 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 67 | 24,943 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 | 25,259 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 23,124 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 67 | 24,910 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 72 | 25,226 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 73 |
| 90 | 1400 | P14 | 405W | T1S | 42,867 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 106 | 46,180 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 46,764 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 42,621 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 105 | 45,914 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 46,495 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 43,390 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 46,743 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 47,335 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 41,959 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 104 | 45,201 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 112 | 45,773 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 43,365 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 46,716 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 47,307 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 42,547 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 105 | 45,834 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 113 | 46,414 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 43,646 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 108 | 47,018 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 116 | 47,614 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 43,952 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 109 | 47,349 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 117 | 47,948 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 43,583 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 46,950 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 47,545 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 43,572 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 108 | 46,939 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 47,533 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 43,181 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 46,518 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 | 47,107 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 35,847 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 89 | 38,617 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 39,106 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 25,602 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 27,580 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 68 | 27,930 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 25,569 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 27,544 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 68 | 27,893 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 69 |
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## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

## INTENDED USE

The sleek design of the D-Series Area Size 2 reflects the embedded high performance LED technology. It is ideal for applications like car dealerships and large parking lots adjacent to malls, transit stations, grocery stores, home centers, and other big-box retailers.

## CONSTRUCTION

Single-piece die-cast aluminum housing has integral heat sink fins to optimize thermal management through conductive and convective cooling. Modular design allows for ease of maintenance and future light engine upgrades. The LED drivers are mounted in direct contact with the casting to promote low operating temperature and long life. Housing is completely sealed against moisture and environmental contaminants (IP65). Low EPA ( $1.1 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) for optimized pole wind loading.

## FINISH

Exterior parts are protected by a zinc-infused Super Durable TGIC thermoset powder coat finish that provides superior resistance to corrosion and weathering. A tightly controlled multi-stage process ensures a minimum 3 mils thickness for a finish that can withstand extreme climate changes without cracking or peeling. Available in both textured and non-textured finishes.

## OPTICS

Precision-molded proprietary acrylic lenses are engineered for superior area lighting distribution, uniformity, and pole spacing. Light engines are available in 3000 K , 4000 K, or 5000 K (70 CRI) configurations. The D-Series Size 2 has zero uplight and qualifies as a Nighttime Friendly ${ }^{\top M}$ product, meaning it is consistent with the LEED ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Green Globes ${ }^{T \mathrm{M}}$ criteria for eliminating wasteful uplight.

## ELECTRICAL

Light engine configurations consist of high-efficacy LEDs mounted to metal-core circuit boards to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life (up to L85/100,000
hrs at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Class 1 electronic drivers are designed to have a power factor $>90 \%$,
THD $<20 \%$, and an expected life of 100,000 hours with $<1 \%$ failure rate. Easilyserviceable 10 kV surge protection device meets a minimum Category C Low operation (per ANSI/IEEE C62.41.2).

## INSTALLATION

Included mounting block and integral arm facilitate quick and easy installation. Stainless steel bolts fasten the mounting block securely to poles and walls, enabling the D-Series Size 2 to withstand up to a 2.0 G vibration load rating per ANSI C136.31. The D-Series Size 2 utilizes the AERIS ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ series pole drilling pattern (Template \#8). NEMA photocontrol receptacle is available.

## STANDARD CONTROLS

The DSX2 LED area luminaire has a number of control options. DSX Size 2, comes standard with 0-10V dimming drivers. Dusk to dawn controls can be utilized via optional NEMA twist-lock photocell receptacles. Integrated motion sensors with onboard photocells feature field-adjustable programing and are suitable for mounting heights up to 30 feet.

## nLIGHT AIR CONTROLS

The DSX2 LED area luminaire is also available with nLight ${ }^{\circledR}$ AIR for the ultimate in wireless control. This powerful controls platform provides out-of-the-box basic motion sensing and photocontrol functionality and is suitable for mounting heights up to 40 feet. Once commissioned using a smartphone and the easy-to-use CLAIRITY app, nLight AIR equipped luminaries can be grouped, resulting in motion sensor and photocell group response without the need for additional equipment. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride can be achieved when used with the nLight Eclypse. Additional information about nLight Air can be found here.

## LISTINGS

UL Listed for wet locations. Light engines are IP66 rated; luminaire is IP65 rated. Rated for $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ minimum ambient. U.S. Patent No. D670,857 S. International patent pending.
DesignLights Consortium ${ }^{\circledR}$ (DLC) Premium qualified product and DLC qualified product. Not all versions of this product may be DLC Premium qualified or DLC qualified. Please check the DLC Qualified Products List at www.designlights.org/ QPL to confirm which versions are qualified.

International Dark-Sky Association (IDA) Fixture Seal of Approval (FSA) is available for all products on this page utilizing 3000K color temperature only.

## WARRANTY

5 -year limited warranty. Complete warranty terms located at:
www.acuitybrands.com/support/customer-support/terms-and-conditions
Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application.
All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specifications subject to change without notice.


## Specifications

## Luminaire

| Height: | $8-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(21.59 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | $177^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(43.18 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Depth: | $10-3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(25.9 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Weight: | 20 lbs <br> $(9.1 \mathrm{~kg})$ |



## Optional Back Box (PBBW)

| Height: | $8.49^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(21.56 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | $17.01^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(43.21 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| Depth: | $1.70^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(4.32 \mathrm{~cm})$ |



## Optional Back Box (BBW)

| Height: | $4^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(10.2 \mathrm{~cm})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | $5-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(14.0 \mathrm{~cm})$ <br>  <br> Depth: <br> $1-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ <br> $(3.8 \mathrm{~cm})$ |

 Number

## Notes

Type

## SA+Capable Luminaire

This item is an A+ capable luminaire, which has been designed and tested to provide consistent color appearance and system-level interoperability.

- All configurations of this luminaire meet the Acuity Brands' specification for chromatic consistency
- This luminaire is A+Certified when ordered with DTL ${ }^{\circledR}$ controls marked by a shaded background. DTL DLL equipped luminaires meet the $A+$ specification for luminaire to photocontrol interoperability1
- This luminaire is part of an A+Certified solution for ROAM ${ }^{\circledR}$ or XPoint ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Wireless control networks, providing out-of-the-box control compatibility with simple commissioning, when ordered with drivers and control options marked by a shaded background ${ }^{1}$

To learn more about A+, visit www.acuitybrands.com/aplus.

See ordering tree for details.
A+ Certified Solutions for ROAM require the order of one ROAM node per luminaire. Sold Separately: Link to Roam; Link to DTL DLL

Ordering Information
EXAMPLE: WST LED P1 40K VF MVOLT DDBTXD


## Accessories

Ordered and shipped separately.
WSTVCPBBW DDBXD U Premium Surface - mounted back box
WSBBW DDBTXD U Surface - mounted back box
RBPW DDBXD U Retrofit back plate
DLL127F 1.5 JU Photocell - SSL twist-lock (120-277V) ${ }^{17}$
DLL347F 1.5 CUL JU Photocell - SSL twist-lock (347V) ${ }^{17}$
DLL480F 1.5 CUL JU Photocell-SSL twist-lock (480V) $)^{17}$

## NOTES

1 MVOLT driver operates on any line voltage from 120-277V $(50 / 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
2 Single fuse (SF) requires $120 \mathrm{~V}, 277 \mathrm{~V}$ or 347 V . Double fuse (DF) requires $208 \mathrm{~V}, 240 \mathrm{~V}$ or 480 V .
3 Also available as a separate accessory; see accessories information.
4 Top conduit entry standard.
5 Not available with VG or WG. See PER Table.
6 Reference Motion Sensor table.
7 Not available with 347/480V.
8 Need to specify 120, 208, 240 or 277 voltage.
9 Photocell ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. Shorting Cap included.

10 Not available with Emergency options, PE or PER options.
11 DMG option not available with standalone or networked sensors/controls.
12 Battery pack rated for $-20^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
13 Comes with PBBW.
14 Warranty period is 3 -years.
15 Not available with BBW.
16 Must order with fixture; not an accessory.
17 Requires luminaire to be specified with PER, PER5 or PER7 option. See PER Table.

## Emergency Battery Operation

The emergency battery backup is integral to the luminaire - no external housing required! This design provides reliable emergency operation while maintaining the aesthetics of the product.

 and NFPA 101 Life Safety Code Section 7.9, provided luminaires are mounted at an appropriate height and illuminate an open space with no major obstructions.
The examples below show illuminance of 1 fc average and 0.1 fc minimum of the P 1 power package and VF distribution product in emergency mode.


WST LED P1 27K VF MVOLT E7WH


WST LED P2 40K VF MVOLT E20WH

Lumen Ambient Temperature (LAT) Multipliers
Use these factors to determine relative lumen output for average ambient temperatures from $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32-104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

| Ambient |  | Lumen Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.03 |
| $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.02 |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.01 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 ^ { \circ }} \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}^{\circ} \mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.99 |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.98 |

Projected LED Lumen Maintenance
Values calculated according to IESNA TM-21-11 methodology and valid up to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Operating Hours | 0 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumen Maintenance <br> Factor | 1.0 | $>0.95$ | $>0.92$ | $>0.87$ |



| Motion Sensor Default Settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | Dimmed State | $\begin{gathered} \text { High Level } \\ \text { (when triggered) } \end{gathered}$ | Photocell Operation | Ramp-up time | Dwell Time | Ramp-down time |
| *PIR or PIRH | 3 V (37\%) Output | 10 V (100\%) Output | Enabled @ 5FC | 3 sec | 5 min | 5 min |
| PIR1FC3V or PIRH1FC3V | 3 V (37\%) Output | 10V (100\%) Output | Enabled @ 1FC | 3 sec | 5 min | 5 min |

*for use with site wide Dusk to Dawn control

## PER Table

| Control | $\begin{gathered} \text { PER } \\ \text { (3 wire) } \end{gathered}$ | PER5 (5 wire) |  | PER7 (7 wire) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wire 4/Wire 5 |  | Wire 4/Wire 5 | Wire 6/Wire7 |
| Photocontrol Only (On/off) | $\checkmark$ | 4 | Wired to dimming leads on driver | 4 | Wired to dimming leads on | Wires Capped inside fixture |
| ROAM | 0 | $\checkmark$ | Wired to dimming leads on driver | 4 | Wired to dimming leads on driver | Wires Capped inside fixture |
| ROAM with Motion | 0 | 4 | Wired to dimming leads on driver | 4 | Wired to dimming leads on | Wires Capped inside fixture |
| Futureproof* | ) | 4 | Wired to dimming leads on driver | $\checkmark$ | Wired to dimming leads on | Wires Capped inside fixture |
| Futureproof* with Motion | 0 | ¢ | Wired to dimming leads on driver | $\checkmark$ | Wired to dimming leads on | Wires Capped inside fixture |

Recommended
Will not work
4. Alternate
*Futureproof means: Ability to change controls in the future.

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative
of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts.

| Performance Package | System <br> Watts (MVOLT') | Dist. Type | $\begin{gathered} 27 \mathrm{~K} \\ (2700 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | 6 | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| P1 | 12W | VF | 1,494 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 1,529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 1,639 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 1,639 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
|  |  | VW | 1,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 1,548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 1,659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 1,660 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| P2 | 25W | VF | 3,163 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 127 | 3,237 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 129 | 3,469 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 139 | 3,468 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 139 |
|  |  | VW | 3,201 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 3,276 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 3,512 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 3,512 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| P3 | 50W | VF | 6,025 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 6,165 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 6,609 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 132 | 6,607 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 132 |
|  |  | VW | 6,098 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 6,240 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 6,689 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 6,691 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 134 |

## Photometric Diagrams To see complete photometric reports or download ies files for this product, visit Lithonia Lighting's WST LED homepage.

Isofootcandle plots for the WST LED P3 40K VF and VW. Distances are in units of mounting height ( $10^{\prime}$ ).
LEGEND
0.1 f
$0.5 f$
1.0 ff
5.0 fc


Distribution overlay comparison to 175 W metal halide.


## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

## INTENDED USE

The classic architectural shape of the WST LED was designed for applications such as hospitals, schools, malls, restaurants, and commercial buildings. The long life LEDs and driver make this luminaire nearly maintenance-free.

## CONSTRUCTION

The single-piece die-cast aluminum housing integrates secondary heat sinks to optimize thermal transfer from the internal light engine heat sinks and promote long life. The driver is mounted in direct contact with the casting for a low operating temperature and long life. The die-cast door frame is fully gasketed with a one-piece solid silicone gasket to keep out moisture and dust, providing an IP65 rating for the luminaire.

## FINISH

Exterior parts are protected by a zinc-infused Super Durable TGIC thermoset powder coat finish that provides superior resistance to corrosion and weathering. A tightly controlled multi-stage process ensures a minimum 3 mils thickness for a finish that can withstand extreme climate
changes without cracking or peeling. Standard Super Durable colors include dark bronze, black, natural aluminum, sandstone and white. Available in textured and non-textured finishes.

## OPTICS

Well crafted reflector optics allow the light engine to be recessed within the luminaire, providing visual comfort, superior distribution, uniformity, and spacing in wall-mount applications. The WST LED has zero uplight and qualifies as a Nighttime Friendly ${ }^{\top M}$ product, meaning it is consistent with the LEED ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Green Globes ${ }^{\top M}$ criteria for eliminating wasteful uplight.

ELECTRICAL
Light engine(s) consist of 98 high-efficacy LEDs mounted to a metal core circuit board and integral aluminum heat sinks to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life (100,000 hrs at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{L} 87$ ). Class 2 electronic driver has a power factor $>90 \%, \mathrm{THD}<20 \%$. Easilyserviceable surge protection device meets a minimum Category B (per ANSI/IEEE C62.41.2).

## INSTALLATION

A universal mounting plate with integral mounting support arms allows the fixture to hinge down for easy access while making wiring connections.

## LISTINGS

CSA certified to U.S. and Canadian standards. Luminaire is IP65 rated. PIR and back box options are rated for wet location. Rated for $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ambient.

DesignLights Consortium ${ }^{\circledR}$ (DLC) Premium qualified product. Not all versions of this product may be DLC Premium qualified. Please check the DLC Qualified Products List at www.designlights.org/QPL to confirm which versions are qualified.

## WARRANTY

5 -year limited warranty. Complete warranty terms located at:
www.acuitybrands.com/support/warranty/terms-and-conditions
Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application. All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specifications subject to change without notice.


TO:
FROM:
DATE:
SUBJECT:

Planning and Zoning Commission
David Gonzales, Planning and Zoning Manager
January 12, 2021
SP2020-033; Amended Site Plan for Gas Canopy in Conjunction with an Existing General Retail Store with Gasoline Sales

On May 11, 2010, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a site plan [Case No. SP2010-006] for a retail store with gasoline sales at 2301 S. Goliad Street. This facility (i.e. RaceTrac) was constructed in 2010-2011 and has been in operation since late 2011. The applicant, David Bond of Spiars Engineering, is requesting the approval of an amended site plan for the purpose of constructing an additional, separate Gas Canopy in conjunction with the existing general retail store with gasoline sales. The proposed Gas Canopy will be located directly east of the existing building on the adjacent parcel of land (i.e. Lot 7, Block 1, Meadow Creek Business Center, Phase 2) and will be accessible via SH-205 and SH-276. The proposed gas canopy will be $2,620 \mathrm{SF}$, have a maximum height of $\sim 22$-feet, and be constructed in the same style and utilizing the same materials as the current gas canopy. Additionally, the applicant is providing trees and shrubs (i.e. headlight screening) along SH-276 (i.e. the portion of SH-276 that will be renamed to Caddo Ridge) directly adjacent to the proposed gas canopy within the required 15 -foot landscape buffer; however, the 15 -foot landscape buffer is required to extend along the entire adjacency of the parcel along SH-276 (i.e. approximate 1,268-feet). Within the landscape buffer the applicant is required to provide a minimum of two (2) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees per 100 linear feet of frontage, which would equate to roughly 14 canopy trees and 28 accent trees. The applicant has indicated to staff that there is an existing tree line along the undisturbed area along $\mathrm{SH}-276$ and that this area is primarily floodplain and would not be developed. Based on this the applicant is requesting a variance to Subsection 06.02, General Overlay District Standards, of Article 05, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) to allow the existing trees to serve as the required trees for the portion of the landscape buffer in the floodplain. After reviewing the applicant's request staff has requested that the applicant fill in gaps along SH-276 with four (4) additional canopy trees and provide four (4) canopy trees to the west of the proposed canopy to further screen it from $\mathrm{SH}-205$. The applicant has agreed to staff's request and listed these as the compensatory measures for the requested variance; however, the approval of any variance is a discretionary decision for the Planning and Zoning Commission. As a condition of approval, staff is requesting that the applicant be required to replat the property into one (1) parcel of land. On December 29, 2020, the Architectural Review Board (ARB) reviewed the proposed gas canopy and is forwarding a recommendation of approval by a vote of $3-0$, with Board Members Meyrat, Mitchell, Johnson and Avenetti absent. Should the Planning and Zoning Commission have any questions staff will be available at the January 12, 2021 meeting.

## PROJECT COMMENTS

CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S. GOLIAD STREET
ROCKWALL, TEXAS 75087 PHONE: (972) 771-7700

## DATE: 1/8/2021

| PROJECT NUMBER: | SP2020-033 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT NAME: | Site Plan for Meadowcreek Business Center Phase II |
| SITE ADDRESS/LOCATIONS: | 2301 S GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, 75032 |


| CASE MANAGER: | David Gonzales |
| :--- | :--- |
| CASE MANAGER PHONE: | (972) 772-6488 |
| CASE MANAGER EMAIL: | dgonzales@rockwall.com |

CASE CAPTION: Discuss and consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on behalf of Andrew Malzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of an Amended Site Plan for a Gas Canopy in conjunction with an existing general retail store with gasoline sales on a 8.240-acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | David Gonzales | 01/08/2021 | N/A |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| ENGINEERING | David Gonzales | 01/07/2021 | Approved |
| 01/07/2021: No Comments. Plans are approved. |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| BUILDING | David Gonzales | 01/07/2021 | N/A |
| No Comments |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| FIRE | David Gonzales | 01/07/2021 | Approved |

01/07/2021: The facility will be considered an unattended self-service motor fuel-dispensing operation and shall comply with the 2015 International Fire Code Chapter 23 as .such. Specifically, review quantity limits, Section 2304.3.7.

| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GIS | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ |  |
| No Comments |  |  | N/A |
|  | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| DEPARTMENT | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| NoLICE |  |  |  |
| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER | DATE OF REVIEW |  |
| PARKS | David Gonzales | $01 / 07 / 2021$ | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| No Comments |  |  | Approved w/Condition |


| DEPARTMENT | REVIEWER DATE OF REVIEW | STATUS OF PROJECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLANNING | David Gonzales 01/07/2021 | Approved w/Condition |
| 01/07/2021: SP2020-033; Revision 1 - Amended Site Plan for RaceTrac - Meadowcreek Business Center Please address the following comments ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Mandatory Comments; I = Informational Comments) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| I.1 For questions or comments concerning this case please contact David Gonzales in the Planning Department at (972) 772-6488 or email dgonzales@rockwall.com. |  |  |
| I. 2 Please note that the property will require a replat prior to the issuance of a building permit. |  |  |
| I. 3 Please note the scheduled meetings for this case: |  |  |
| 1) Planning \& Zoning meeting will be held on January 12, 2021. |  |  |
| I. 4 All meetings representative(s) | d in the City's Council Chambers. All meetings listed above are scheduled meetings. | p.m. (P\&Z). The City pref | representative(s) be present for all of the scheduled meetings.

# City of Rockwall 

Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat ( $\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Replat ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat ( $\$ 150.00$ )
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request (\$100.00)
Site Plan Application Fees:
( $\sqrt{ }$ Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) $)^{1}$
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

Zoning Application Fees:
[ ] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
Address 2301 Goliad st.
Subdivision Meadow creek Business Center Phase 2 Lot 6 \& 7 Block 1
General Location SE Corner of St. Hwy $205 \&$ St. Hwy 276

| Current Zoning | C |  | Current Use | Convenience Store |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Zoning | $C$ |  | Proposed Use | Convenience Store |
| Acreage | $8.240 A C$, | Lots [Current] | 687 | Lots[Proposed] $6 R$ |

[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACt/original signatures are required]


NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared . $\qquad$ [Owner) the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following: "I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and wadABM\&Aforyfee of $\$ 414.80$, to cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the 3 day of December 20 EN ESighlthy his application, I agree that the City of Rockwall (ie. "City") Is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application) with copetffreoobde (city "g also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, If such reproductions estakigulibi9n eikon jay request for public information."
Given under my hand and seal of office on this the

Owner's Signature
Notary Public in and for the State of Texas



City of Rockwall



edo canopy elevation





integrated environmental solutions
15 December 2020

Mr. David Bond, P.E.
Spiars Engineering
765 Custer Road, Suite 100
Plano, Texas 75075

## Re: Racetrac Tree Survey

Approximately 9.2 acres located at 2301 South Goliad Street, Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas

## Dear Mr. Bond,

Integrated Environmental Solutions, LLC. (IES) performed a tree survey on an approximately 9.2 acres located at 2301 South Goliad Street, Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas (Attachment A, Figure 1). The survey area was derived from graphics and digital boundaries provided by your office. According to the City of Rockwall Tree Preservation and Mitigation Ordinance, Article IX - Tree Preservation, all protected trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 4 inches or greater and non-protected trees with a DBH of 11 inches or greater are to be located, identified by species, and assessed for general health condition. Non-protected trees include Bois d'Arc, willows, cottonwoods, locust, chinaberry, hackberry, and cedar trees. The trees were measured, recorded, and tagged with aluminum tags with a number that corresponds with the attached maps and data tables.

One hundred and thirty-five trees were identified and located within the survey corridor totaling 1,531.6 diameter inches (Attachment A, Figures 2A and 2B). The following tree species were identified: sugarberry (Celtis laevigata), Hercules-club (Zanthoxylum clava hurculis), American elm (Ulmus americana), black willow (Salix nigra), cedar elm (Ulmus crassifolia), green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanicum), bald cypress (Taxodium distichum), eastern redcedar (Juniperus virginiana), live oak (Quercus virginiana), persimmon (Diospyros virginiana), Shumard's oak (Quercus shumardii), western soapberry (Sapindus saponaria), and Osage-orange (Maclura pomifera) (Attachment B).

Most of the site was a combination of small open fields and groves of relatively young eastern redcedars with a drainage corridor surrounded by predominantly hardwood trees and shrubs. As such, most of the protected trees were centrally located around the drainage corridor and the remaining trees were sporadically surveyed along fence lines and eastern redcedar dominant fields. A gas station was built on the western side of the survey area with some ornamental trees surrounding the building.

IES appreciates the opportunity to work with you and Spiars Engineering on this project. In the event there are any questions or if we can provide any further assistance, please contact us at skipp@intenvsol.com or rreinecke@intenvsol.com or call 972-562-7672.

## Sincerely,

Integrated Environmental Solutions, LLC.


Mr. Shae Kipp
ISA Certified Arborist \#TX-4518A

## Attachments

File ref: 04.196.062

## ATTACHMENT A

Figures


Figure 1. General Location Map

Racetrac Tree Survey City of Rockwall Rockwall County, Texas

| 1 in = 1,000 feet | Fee |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1,000 |  |
| File Ref. 04.196 .062 <br> Date: $12 / 14 / 2020$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |




ATTACHMENT B

Tree Tabular Data

Racetrac Tree Survey Tabular Data
Rockwall, Texas

| Tree Number | Diameter at Breast Height (Inches) | Species | Canopy Radius (Feet) | Multiple Trunks | General Condition | Dead Branches (\%) | Lean | Fence in Trunk | Dead/ Missing Bark | Sapwood Damage/ Decay | Heartwood Damage/ Decay | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.5 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068466 | -96.4473815 |
| 2 | 7.4 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068310 | -96.4474301 |
| 3 | 8.7 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068076 | -96.4474634 |
| 4 | 8.6 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068895 | -96.4474114 |
| 5 | 8.2 | Shumard's oak | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068684 | -96.4475059 |
| 6 | 8.6 | Shumard's oak | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068824 | -96.4475605 |
| 7 | 4.6 | Shumard's oak | 5 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068629 | -96.4475953 |
| 8 | 8.4 | Shumard's oak | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064786 | -96.4478537 |
| 9 | 8.2 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062947 | -96.4477402 |
| 10 | 8.7 | cedar elm | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062070 | -96.4476990 |
| 11 | 8.9 | Shumard's oak | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061562 | -96.4476817 |
| 12 | 10.5 | live oak | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9059648 | -96.4472801 |
| 13 | 10.0 | live oak | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9059980 | -96.4472129 |
| 14 | 11.3 | live oak | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060293 | -96.4471543 |
| 15 | 5.1 | Shumard's oak | 5 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061862 | -96.4471460 |
| 16 | 6.2 | live oak | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064112 | -96.4469412 |
| 17 | 9.0 | live oak | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064942 | -96.4469876 |
| 18 | 7.8 | live oak | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065701 | -96.4470331 |
| 19 | 7.8 | live oak | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066544 | -96.4470794 |
| 20 | 10.1 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067121 | -96.4462435 |
| 21 | 17.5 | Black willow | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067759 | -96.4462620 |
| 22 | 12.3 | Black willow | 15 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068142 | -96.4462793 |
| 23 | 11.7 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067920 | -96.4463140 |
| 24 | 10.9 | cedar elm | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067726 | -96.4463060 |
| 25 | 9.6 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068398 | -96.4463332 |
| 26 | 5.6 | American elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068651 | -96.4462797 |
| 27 | 13.0 | sugarberry | 15 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068565 | -96.4461083 |
| 28 | 24.3 | sugarberry | 20 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068501 | -96.4460352 |
| 29 | 13.1 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067979 | -96.4449234 |
| 30 | 15.1 | western soapberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067876 | -96.4447574 |
| 31 | 24.6 | sugarberry | 15 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067868 | -96.4446503 |
| 32 | 26.1 | sugarberry | 25 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067797 | -96.4443622 |
| 33 | 11.4 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067393 | -96.4443049 |
| 34 | 11.8 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067615 | -96.4442167 |
| 35 | 11.2 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067541 | -96.4442206 |
| 36 | 21.6 | sugarberry | 20 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067621 | -96.4441049 |
| 37 | 22.9 | eastern red cedar | 20 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067034 | -96.4438885 |
| 38 | 24.0 | sugarberry | 20 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067186 | -96.4438487 |
| 39 | 17.5 | sugarberry | 20 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066506 | -96.4439420 |
| 40 | 21.4 | sugarberry | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066446 | -96.4439388 |
| 41 | 12.4 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065030 | -96.4443076 |
| 42 | 12.0 | sugarberry | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065963 | -96.4443112 |
| 43 | 12.2 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065868 | -96.4443133 |
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Racetrac Tree Survey Tabular Data
Rockwall, Texas

| Tree Number | Diameter at Breast Height (Inches) | Species | Canopy Radius (Feet) | Multiple Trunks | General Condition | Dead Branches (\%) | Lean | Fence in Trunk | Dead/ Missing Bark | Sapwood <br> Damage/ Decay | Heartwood Damage/ Decay | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 16.9 | sugarberry | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064654 | -96.4446138 |
| 45 | 14.8 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063927 | -96.4446741 |
| 46 | 11.1 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063648 | -96.4446881 |
| 47 | 16.8 | sugarberry | 18 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063295 | -96.4447002 |
| 48 | 13.9 | Osage-orange | 12 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063348 | -96.4447863 |
| 49 | 24.9 | Osage-orange | 20 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062799 | -96.4448357 |
| 50 | 16.0 | sugarberry | 15 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064268 | -96.4447415 |
| 51 | 18.2 | sugarberry | 18 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064388 | -96.4447015 |
| 52 | 10.5 | Hercules-club | 10 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064606 | -96.4447509 |
| 53 | 4.6 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065222 | -96.4448668 |
| 54 | 5.6 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066072 | -96.4448147 |
| 55 | 5.3 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066139 | -96.4448298 |
| 56 | 5.2 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065519 | -96.4448852 |
| 57 | 5.0 | cedar elm | 5 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065759 | -96.4450004 |
| 58 | 6.5 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065834 | -96.4450533 |
| 59 | 4.0 | cedar elm | 5 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065728 | -96.4450472 |
| 60 | 4.7 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066090 | -96.4450994 |
| 61 | 4.0 | cedar elm | 5 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065632 | -96.4451194 |
| 62 | 18.5 | sugarberry | 18 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | Yes |  |  |  | 32.9064207 | -96.4451064 |
| 63 | 11.7 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063090 | -96.4449654 |
| 64 | 11.6 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062627 | -96.4449254 |
| 65 | 11.3 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061864 | -96.4450308 |
| 66 | 11.3 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061363 | -96.4450871 |
| 67 | 11.9 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062452 | -96.4450770 |
| 68 | 12.3 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063084 | -96.4450265 |
| 69 | 30.2 | sugarberry | 25 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063151 | -96.4450320 |
| 70 | 11.4 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062871 | -96.4451345 |
| 71 | 15.7 | green ash | 18 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062374 | -96.4451639 |
| 72 | 12.0 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061900 | -96.4451903 |
| 73 | 11.3 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061234 | -96.4451952 |
| 74 | 12.4 | green ash | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061146 | -96.4452025 |
| 75 | 11.2 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060865 | -96.4451983 |
| 76 | 11.8 | sugarberry | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060728 | -96.4452285 |
| 77 | 12.2 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061959 | -96.4452598 |
| 78 | 16.4 | sugarberry | 20 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062241 | -96.4452907 |
| 79 | 11.9 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062931 | -96.4452472 |
| 80 | 12.5 | sugarberry | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063348 | -96.4451977 |
| 81 | 21.0 | cedar elm | 20 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065243 | -96.4453214 |
| 82 | 8.9 | Hercules-club | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066002 | -96.4453659 |
| 83 | 6.4 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066195 | -96.4453917 |
| 84 | 8.8 | cedar elm | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067129 | -96.4455117 |
| 85 | 15.1 | sugarberry | 20 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067120 | -96.4460196 |
| 86 | 14.4 | Osage-orange | 15 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9068253 | -96.4462222 |
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Racetrac Tree Survey Tabular Data
Rockwall, Texas

| Tree Number | Diameter at Breast Height (Inches) | Species | Canopy Radius (Feet) | Multiple Trunks | General Condition | Dead Branches (\%) | Lean | Fence in Trunk | Dead/ Missing Bark | Sapwood Damage/ Decay | Heartwood Damage/ Decay | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | 8.8 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066709 | -96.4459726 |
| 88 | 18.0 | black willow | 15 | Yes | Unhealthy | 40 | 61-90 | No | Trunk \& Branches | Trunk \& Branches | Trunk \& Branches | 32.9066449 | -96.4459565 |
| 89 | 8.7 | green ash | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9066238 | -96.4459109 |
| 90 | 5.4 | Hercules-club | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9065375 | -96.4458398 |
| 91 | 12.3 | cedar elm | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064447 | -96.4456321 |
| 92 | 11.3 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064010 | -96.4456542 |
| 93 | 11.4 | sugarberry | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063820 | -96.4454921 |
| 94 | 12.4 | sugarberry | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064495 | -96.4454254 |
| 95 | 11.8 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063718 | -96.4454118 |
| 96 | 5.5 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063844 | -96.4453572 |
| 97 | 9.2 | cedar elm | 10 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063745 | -96.4453490 |
| 98 | 9.5 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063751 | -96.4453275 |
| 99 | 9.0 | cedar elm | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063743 | -96.4453124 |
| 100 | 5.0 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063690 | -96.4452735 |
| 101 | 5.3 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063460 | -96.4453278 |
| 102 | 8.2 | cedar elm | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063118 | -96.4454183 |
| 103 | 9.2 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062872 | -96.4454232 |
| 104 | 7.8 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062747 | -96.4454043 |
| 105 | 10.2 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062229 | -96.4454416 |
| 106 | 8.2 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062275 | -96.4454117 |
| 107 | 14.3 | sugarberry | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061789 | -96.4454044 |
| 108 | 12.0 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060956 | -96.4454849 |
| 109 | 11.2 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061554 | -96.4453502 |
| 110 | 10.0 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061118 | -96.4453150 |
| 111 | 15.9 | green ash | 18 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060435 | -96.4453345 |
| 112 | 12.2 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9059818 | -96.4454196 |
| 113 | 11.0 | eastern red cedar | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9059379 | -96.4454786 |
| 114 | 4.0 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060237 | -96.4454017 |
| 115 | 12.1 | green ash | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060149 | -96.4453226 |
| 116 | 4.0 | bald cypress | 4 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9057363 | -96.4460937 |
| 117 | 5.1 | persimmon | 5 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060291 | -96.4455515 |
| 118 | 6.1 | persimmon | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060273 | -96.4455536 |
| 119 | 4.5 | cedar elm | 7 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060387 | -96.4456148 |
| 120 | 12.5 | eastern red cedar | 12 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060596 | -96.4455767 |
| 121 | 4.2 | persimmon | 5 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062218 | -96.4455530 |
| 122 | 12.0 | eastern red cedar | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062162 | -96.4455640 |
| 123 | 10.9 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9062089 | -96.4456257 |
| 124 | 6.5 | cedar elm | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061859 | -96.4457443 |
| 125 | 18.0 | cedar elm | 20 | Yes | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9061582 | -96.4457559 |
| 126 | 9.3 | cedar elm | 10 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063491 | -96.4458249 |
| 127 | 5.6 | persimmon | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064489 | -96.4457308 |
| 128 | 17.4 | green ash | 20 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064836 | -96.4457395 |
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Racetrac Tree Survey Tabular Data
Rockwall, Texas

| Tree Number | Diameter at Breast Height (Inches) | Species | Canopy Radius (Feet) | Multiple Trunks | General Condition | Dead Branches (\%) | Lean | Fence in Trunk | Dead/ Missing Bark | Sapwood <br> Damage/ <br> Decay | Heartwood Damage/ Decay | Latitude | Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129 | 10.0 | cedar elm | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064037 | -96.4458342 |
| 130 | 13.5 | cedar elm | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9063361 | -96.4459573 |
| 131 | 12.1 | cedar elm | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064131 | -96.4459970 |
| 132 | 12.6 | sugarberry | 15 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9064552 | -96.4459154 |
| 133 | 13.6 | eastern red cedar | 12 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9067645 | -96.4464527 |
| 134 | 8.4 | live oak | 8 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9060911 | -96.4469398 |
| 135 | 6.4 | cedar elm | 6 | No | Healthy | 0 | 61-90 | No |  |  |  | 32.9069026 | -96.4474665 |
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